Research

Open Access

Protein expression profile of *Gasterophilus intestinalis* larvae causing horse gastric myiasis and characterization of horse immune reaction

Liselore Roelfstra^{*1}, Cornelia A Deeg³, Stefanie M Hauck^{2,3}, Christina Buse³, Mathieu Membrez⁴, Bruno Betschart¹ and Kurt Pfister^{4,1}

Address: ¹Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland, ²Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Neuherberg, Germany, ³Institute of Animal Physiology, Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany and ⁴Institute of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich, Germany

Email: Liselore Roelfstra* - liselore.roelfstra@unine.ch; Cornelia A Deeg - deeg@tiph.vetmed.uni-muenchen.de; Stefanie M Hauck - hauck@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Christina Buse - chrissibuse@yahoo.com; Mathieu Membrez - mathieu.membrez@gmail.com; Bruno Betschart - bruno.betschart@unine.ch; Kurt Pfister - Kurt.Pfister@tropa.vetmed.unimuenchen.de

Received: 27 October 2008

Accepted: 8 January 2009

* Corresponding author

Published: 8 January 2009

Parasites & Vectors 2009, 2:6 doi:10.1186/1756-3305-2-6

This article is available from: http://www.parasitesandvectors.com/content/2/1/6

© 2009 Roelfstra et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Little information is available on the immunological aspect of parasitic *Gasterophilus intestinalis* (Diptera, Oestridae) larvae causing horse gastric myiasis. The objectives of this research were to analyze the protein content of larval crude extracts of the migrating second and third larvae (L2 and L3) of *G. intestinalis* in order to characterize the immune response of horses.

Results: The proteomic profile of L2 and L3, investigated by using one and two dimensional approaches, revealed a migration pattern specific to each larval stage. Furthermore, Western blots were performed with horse sera and with sera of Balb/c mice immunised with the larval crude extracts of L2 or L3, revealing a different immune reaction in naturally infected horses vs. artificially induced immune reaction in mice. The comparisons of the immunoblot profiles demonstrate that the stage L2 is more immunogenic than the stage L3 most likely as an effect of the highest enzymatic production of L2 while migrating through the host tissues. Fifteen proteins were identified by mass spectrometry.

Conclusion: This work provides further information into the understanding of the interaction between *G. intestinalis* and their host and by contributing a novel scheme of the proteomic profile of the main larval stages.

Background

Nine species of *Gasterophilus* (Diptera, Oestridae) flies have been described causing, in the larval stage, gastrointestinal myiasis in equids. While *Gasterophilus intestinalis* (De Geer, 1776) and *Gasterophilus nasalis* (Linnaeus, 1758) are distributed worldwide and are often the only species reported in many parts of the New World, the remaining species are only reported in very limited areas of Europe, Eastern Countries [1] and Africa [2]. Adult bot flies deposit their eggs on the hosts' hair at different loca-

tions depending on the species of *Gasterophilus* [3]. *G. pecorum* is an exception as females lay their eggs on grass, leaves and stems of plants [1]. Infection occurs when eggs are introduced into horse mouth by animal licking and grooming. The first larval stage (L1) hatches, starts migrating and moulting into the second larval stage (L2) in the oral cavity [4]. Larvae of different species of *Gasterophilus* are specifically present in one or more regions of the gastrointestinal tract where the third larval stage (L3) remains attached to the mucosa for about 8–10 months [5]. The clinical signs associated with the migration and maturation stages of the larvae are difficult to diagnose, but it has been shown that different species of *Gasterophilus* can cause severe damages during their life cycle [6-9].

In the past years studies concerning the immunology and immunopathology of many oestrid myiasis causing larvae have increased because of their important implications in diagnostics and in immunisation programmes [10]. While immunological studies were mainly focused on Hypoderma cattle grub infection [11], and sheep nasal oestrosis by Oestrus ovis [12], the immunology of Gasterophilus spp. caused myiasis received little attention. This is also due to the inherent difficulties in studying immunological host-parasite interactions at the gastrointestinal mucosa interface. As a consequence, so far no major immunogens have been reported [13]. A single study discussed the development of antibodies for the diagnosis of myiasis by G. intestinalis larvae although the specificity of the immune reaction was not tested in the occurrence of concomitant horse parasitic infection [14]. More recently, many proteomics-based analyses, combined with twodimensional gel-electrophoresis, have offered a comprehensive approach to better understand biological and immunological processes of pathogens and diseases [15-17]. The aim of this study was to characterize L2 and L3 proteins of G. intestinalis and to analyze the immune response of horses and immunized mice against larval antigens.

Results

I-D analysis of the larval crude extract (LCE) of L2 and L3 Migration of the LCE2 on the 1-D silver-stained gel showed a specific pattern (Figure 1A) with 14 bands that were isolated from the gel for further identification by mass spectrometry (MS) (Table 1). The selection of the bands was based upon the intensity of the band on the silver stained gel, as well as the immuno-reactivity observed after immunoblotting with horse serum (Figure 1B) or L2mice serum (Figure 1C). Three proteins in 4 out of the 14 selected bands gave a significant score (p < 0.05) and were identified as actin (Figure 1A, band 8), glyceraldehyde 3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (Figure 1A, band 9) and hemoglobin (Figure 1A, bands 13 and 14). A different migration pattern was observed for the LCE3 on the 1-D silver-stained gel (Figure 1D). Analogously, immunoblots were performed with horse sera (Figure 1E) and sera of L3mice (Figure 1F). The selection of 13 bands, indicated with arrows (Figure 1D), was based upon the same criteria as above. They were isolated for further identification by MS (Table 2). Ten proteins out of the 13 selected bands gave a significant score (p < 0.05) and were identified as the alpha chain of larval serum protein (Figure 1D, bands 1-4), arylphorin (Figure 1D, band 6), beta chain of larval serum protein (Figure 1D, band 7), hemoglobin (Figure 1D, bands 10-12) and murein lipoprotein (Figure 1D, band 13).

2-D analysis of the LCE of L2

The protein migration of the LCE of L2 on a 2-D gel (Figure 2A) showed the presence of proteins all along the pH spectrum. Western blots were performed with L2-mice serum (Figure 2B) and with horse serum (Figure 2C). The silver-stained gel was used to align detected protein spots on both immunoblot profiles. A larger range of immunoreactive proteins was observed on the horse immunoblot profile than on the L2-mice immunoblot. Circles indicate 12 spots that were considered as immuno-reactive with L2-mice serum (Figure 2A, spots: 1, 2, 6, 8, 14-20, 25) and arrows indicate 24 spots that were considered as immune reactive with horse serum (Figure 2A, spots: 1-13 and 16-26). A total of 26 spots were selected for MS analysis (Table 3). Among the 26 selected spots, 7 proteins were successfully identified (p < 0.05) by MS: paramyosin (Figure 2A, spot 1), serum albumin (Figure 2A, spot 5), tubulin (Figure 2A, spot 9), enolase (Figure 2A, spot 11), tropomyosin (Figure 2A, spot 14), GAPDH (Figure 2A, spot 19) and hemoglobin (Figure 2A, spot 20).

Table 1: Mass spectrometry identification of proteins identified from the LCE of L2.

Band ID	Protein name	Species	Accession number	MW (Da)	Рl	Protein score
8	Protein similar to Actin-87E isoform 2	Drosophila melanogaster	AAM29410	37816	5.36	223
9	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Drosophila hydei	S24630	35369	8.2	224
13	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	17912	8.44	440
14	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	17912	8.44	144

Spots assignments refer to Figure 1. Proteins listed have been identified with a significant probability score at p < 0.05 in MSDB.

Band ID	Protein name	Species	Accession number	MW (Da)	рl	Protein score
					-	
I	Larval serum protein I alpha chain precursor	Drosophila melanogaster	LSPIA_DROME	98802	5.72	92
2	Larval serum protein 1 alpha chain precursor	Drosophila melanogaster	LSPIA_DROME	98802	5.72	89
3	Larval serum protein I alpha chain precursor	Drosophila melanogaster	LSPIA_DROME	98802	5.72	98
4	Larval serum protein I alpha chain precursor	Drosophila melanogaster	LSPIA_DROME	98802	5.72	102
6	Arylphorin subunit A4 precursor	Calliphora vicina	ARYI_CALVI	92282	5.59	71
7	Larval serum protein I beta chain precursor	Drosophila melanogaster	LSPIB_DROME	95849	5.41	69
10	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	17912	8.44	247
П	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	17912	8.44	132
12	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	LPEBWM	17912	8.44	112
13	Major outer membrane lipoprotein precursor (Murein-lipoprotein)	Pectobacterium atrosepticum	LPP_ERWCT	8396	9.36	69

Table 2: Mass spectrometr	y identification	of proteins identified	from the LCE of L3.
---------------------------	------------------	------------------------	---------------------

Spots assignments refer to Figure 1. Proteins listed have been identified with a significant probability score at p < 0.05 (1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 13: Expasy database; 10, 11, 12: MSDB).

2-D analysis of the LCE of L3

The proteomic profile of the LCE of L3 presented on figure 3A shows that most of the proteins are located in a basidic range between pH 7-11. Western blots were performed with L3-mice serum (Figure 3B) and with horse serum (Figure 3C). The intensity of the immune reaction differs when the LCE of L3 is exposed with L3-mice serum or with horse serum but the immunoblot profiles were similar. After comparison of the immunoblots with the silverstained gel, 39 spots, indicated by circles were selected for further MS identification (Table 4). 19 out of the 39 isolated spots were successfully identified (p < 0.05), corresponding to 8 different proteins: filamin (Figure 3A, spot 1), heat shock protein (HSP-70) (Figure 3A, spot 2), serum albumin precursor (Figure 3A, spot 3,18–20), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK) (Figure 3A, spot 8), enolase (Figure 3A, spot 22,23), fumarase (Figure 3A, spot 1), beta-actin (Figure 3A, spot 27), hemoglobin (Figure 3A, spot 32-39).

Discussion

The comparison of the migration patterns of the LCE2 and LCE3 in both dimensional analysis (1-D and 2-D), indicates that the larval proteinic profile is stage specific, thus suggesting a different composition in larval metabolism and antigenic properties. The 1-D silver-stained gels of the larval crude extracts indicated a very dense concentration of proteins; consequently the alignment of the immunoreactive bands detected by horse and mice might present some differences. The proteomic approach confirmed the specific protein profile of both larval stages and allowed better identification of the different spots.

Mice were artificially immunised against a crude protein extract from whole larvae. A large number of proteins that are normally not directly in contact with horses were presented to the immune system of the mice. Furthermore, unlike natural infection eliciting a horse immune reaction, subcutaneous injection in mice induces a systemic

immune reaction shifted to a Th1 response by the adjuvant. This difference in immune reaction explains the fact that most of the L2 and L3 proteins that reacted with mice sera showed a more intense signal when compared with the reaction with horse sera. Since the lifecycle of G. intestinalis occurs in the gastro-intestinal tract of horses, the mucosal immune system is in contact with the larvae and thus exposed to excreted or secreted substances during larval migration and development [18]. Although L2 migration patterns from mouth to stomach remains unclear, the immune reaction detected in horses and in experimentally immunized mice might suggest that the larval stage L2 possesses more antigenic proteins than the larval stage L3, probably useful for larval enzymatic migration [19]. Accordingly, enolase was identified by MS and has previously been reported as an important enzyme localized on the surface of several pathogens when invading tissue [20]. L2 is a stage inducing a strong host immune response so that its development into L3 in the stomach might be a defence mechanism of the larvae to bypass the horse immune defences. Conversely, the fact that L3 remains attached for 8-10 months to the stomach wall suggests a hypometabolic status, or reduced immunogenic properties. This can explain the weak immune reaction observed in the presence of horse serum against the L3.

Two important larval proteins, arylphorin and LSP-2 (larval serum protein), respectively homologous to *Calliphora vicina* and *Drosophila melanogaster*, were identified in the L3. In holometabolous insects the construction of adult tissues during metamorphosis requires a large amount of energy. It is known that before formation of the puparium, the fat body cells reabsorb proteins and other macromolecules that have accumulated in the haemolymph during the larval feeding period [21]. The major fraction of incorporated proteins consists of arylphorins and LSP-2 [22]. In addition, hemoglobin was identified in both larval stages of *G. intestinalis*. This abundant and circulat-



Figure I

I-D analysis of the LCE of L2 and L3. Representative I-D silver-stained gel of LCE of L2 (A) and L3 (D). The arrows indicate the bands that were selected for mass spectrometry. Western blot analysis of L2 incubated with horse serum (B) and mouse serum (C). Western blot analysis of L3 incubated with horse serum (E) and mouse serum (F). The protein identification by MS is presented in Table I and 2.

Table 3: Mass spectrometric identifications of proteins identified from the LCE of L2.

Spot ID	Protein name	Species	Accession number	MW (Da)	Ρl	Protein score
I	Paramyosin	Drosophila melanogaster	S22028	102277	5.5	97
5	Serum albumin precursor	Bos taurus	ABBOS	69225	5.8	99
9	Tubulin alpha-I chain	Drosophila melanogaster	A26488	49876	5.0	260
11	Enolase	Oryza sativa	Q7XBE4	47942	5.4	80
14	Tropomyosin	Drosophila melanogaster	C25242	32740	4.7	78
19	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	Drosophila hydei	S24630	35369	8.2	100
20	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	17912	8.4	209

Spots assignments refer to Figure 2. Proteins listed have been identified with a significant probability score at p < 0.05 in MSDB.



Figure 2

2-D analysis of the LCE of L2. Silver-stained representative 2-D protein map of the LCE of L2 comprising pH gradient from 3 to 11 with the MWs ranking from 10 to 250 KDa (A). The silver-stained gel was used to align detected protein spots on the Western blots performed with serum of mice immunised with the LCE of L2 (B) and with horse serum (C). The circles indicate the spots that were immunolabelled with mice serum (B; spots 1,2,6,8,14–19,25,26) and the arrows indicate the spots that were immunolabelled with horse serum (C; spots 1–13 and 16–26). A total of 26 spots were isolated for further MS identification. The protein identification by MS is presented in Table 3.

ing molecule is present in highly tracheated cells forming the posterior spiracular plate and allows the larvae to make better use of intermittent contact when air is swallowed with food [23].

Most of the other identified proteins in L2 (paramyosin, tubulin, tropomyosin, GAPDH and a protein similar to actin) or in L3 (filamin, fumarase, PEPCK, HSP-70, enolase) are shared with those of *Drosophila* spp. suggesting that structural or metabolic homologies do exist between these species.

During this research, different intestinal parasites (*Anoplocephala perfoliata, Parascaris equorum*, Cyathostominae) were simultaneously present with *G. intestinalis* in the gastro-intestinal tract of the slaughtered horses. The risk of cross-reactivity has still to be evaluated. But unlike some immunological studies about intestinal helminths in equids [24-26], the cross-reactivity between *G. intestinalis* and gastro-intestinal parasites has not yet been studied.

This work provides further information into the understanding of the interaction between *G. intestinalis* and their host and by contributing a novel scheme of the proteomic profile of the main larval stages. Thus our results further demonstrate the complexity of this host-parasite interaction. Indeed, this study reveals the necessity to develop a reliable serological tool to detect infested horses, particularly because the only means to detect a *G. intestinalis* infestation is by necropsy.

The identification of most of the proteins will be the next step to define their role, their cellular or tissue localisation and their potential antigenic properties.

Methods

Larval collection and antigen preparation

Gasterophilus spp. L2 and L3 were collected from the pyloric portion of the stomach of horses originating from two farms located in the District of the Swiss Jura; Delémont: N 47°21'; E 7°20', Switzerland. Simultaneously, the gastro-intestinal tract of each animal was examined

Spot ID	Protein name	Species	Accession number	MW (Da)	рl	Protein score
I	Filamin I	Drosophila melanogaster	Q8T3K7	151931	5.72	76
2	Heat shock 70 kDa protein 70C	Drosophila melanogaster	HSP7A_DROME	70871	5.34	70
3	serum albumin	Bos taurus	AAN17824	71274	5.82	171
8	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase	Drosophila melanogaster	PPCK_DROME	71882	6.07	79
18	Serum albumin precursor	Bos taurus	ALBU_BOVIN	71244	5.82	108
19	Serum albumin precursor	Bos taurus	ALBU_BOVIN	71244	5.82	117
20	Serum albumin precursor	Bos taurus	ALBU_BOVIN	71244	5.82	165
22	Enolase (Fragment)	Drosophila subobscura	O44101	44548	5.92	142
23	Enolase	Schistosoma mansoni	eno_schma	47421	6.18	163
24	Fumarase	Drosophila melanogaster	Q9VTI5	51239	8.47	111
27	Beta-actin	Danio rerio	ACTBI_BRARE	42082	5.3	184
32	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	18026	8.44	95
33	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	18026	8.44	113
34	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	18026	8.44	131
38	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	18026	8.44	415
39	Hemoglobin	Gasterophilus intestinalis	O96457	18026	8.44	410

Table 4: Mass spectrometric identifications of proteins identified from the LCE of L3.

Spots assignments refer to Figure 3. Proteins listed have been identified with a significant probability score at $p \le 0.05$ (2, 8, 18, 19, 20, 23, 27: Expasy database; 1, 3, 22, 24, 32, 33, 34, 38, 39: MSDB).



Figure 3

2-D analysis of the LCE of L3. Silver-stained representative 2-D protein map of the LCE of L3 comprising pH gradient from 3 to 11 with the MWs ranking from 10 to 250 KDa (A). The silver-stained gel was used to align detected protein spots on the Western blots performed with serum of mice immunised with the LCE of L3 (B) and with horse serum (C). 39 spots immunolabelled with both sera were isolated for further MS identification. The protein identification by MS is presented in Table 4.

and the presence of *P. equorum*, *A. perfoliata* and Cyathostominae was observed in any case.

All the larvae collected were washed in a sterile phosphate saline buffer (PBS 0.1 M, pH 7.2), identified as *G. intestinalis* on the basis of morphological keys [1] and frozen at -20°C.

For preparation of the larval crude extracts, 10 L2 larvae harvested on two different horses of a same herd, and seven L3 larvae harvested on one horse originating from the second herd, were sonicated and homogenised on ice under sterile conditions. The homogenate was extracted overnight in a 0.1 M pH 9.6 carbonate buffer containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluorid (PMSF) and 5 mM ethylenediamin tetraacetic acid (EDTA) by further adding 1 ml/gr of a protease inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland). The extracts were centrifuged at 20'000 × *g* for 30 minutes (4°C). The supernatant containing the antigens was collected and the final protein content determined by spectrometry (Bradford method, BioRad). LCE was lyophilized and stored at -20°C.

Horse serum samples

Blood samples were taken on a group of twenty horses originating from the two farms in the above described area. Although blood samples and larval collection could not be made on the same animals our observations made during a simultaneously performed epidemiological survey (Roelfstra et al, in prep.), including field observations on live animals and in slaughterhouses, confirmed the high level of endemicity of *G. intestinalis* previously described by Brocard [19] in the same area and allow us to presume that all horses used in this study are infested by *G. intestinalis*. Foetal horse serum was used as a negative control for the Western blots. The blood was centrifuged at $3500 \times g$ for 15 minutes at room temperature and the sera were stored at -20°C.

Immunisation of mice and serum samples

Balb/c mice were immunised with LCE of L2 (L2 mice) or with LCE of L3 (L3 mice) from *G. intestinalis*. Two grams of L3 and two grams of L2 were sonicated, homogenised in a sterile PBS buffer pH 7.2 and centrifuged at $20'000 \times$ *g*. The supernatant was then emulsified in equal volume of Freund's Incomplete Adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland).

A dose of 100 µg protein/mouse, in a volume of 200 µl, was injected by intramuscular route every 2 weeks through 6 weeks. Animals were bled seven weeks after the first immunisation. Control mice were inoculated with a combination of PBS and Freund's Incomplete Adjuvant every time above. Sampled blood was centrifuged at 3500 × *g* for 15 minutes at room temperature and sera stored at

-20°C. All procedures were approved by the responsible local committee on animal experimentations.

One dimensional electrophoresis (I-D)

The proteins of L2 (5 μ g/well) and L3 (5 μ g/well) were separated on gradient SDS-PAGE gels (4–20%) under reducing conditions (2- β -mercaptoethanol, 95 °C for 5 min). Electrophoresis was performed at 80/100 V for 30 min/2 hrs. One set of the gels was stained with silver for mass spectrometry, and the second was transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) for Western blot analysis.

Two dimensional electrophoresis (2-D)

Lyophilized LCE samples were solubilised in 2-DE lysis buffer (9 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 1% dithioerythriol, 4% CHAPS, 2.5 μ M EGTA, and 2.5 μ M EDTA). Immobiline dry strips pH 3–11 non linear, 11 cm (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) were immersed overnight in lysis buffer containing 75 μ g protein sample, additional 1% Pharmalyte pH 3–10 (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden), and 0.5% bromphenol blue. IEF on a Multiphor (GE Healthcare) for 15 kV h at 20°C was followed by separation on gradient SDS-PAGE gels (9–15%) at constant 45 V per gel. One set of gels was stained with silver for MS and the second was transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) for Western blot analysis.

Western blot analysis (WB)

Non-specific binding was blocked with 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone in PBS-Tween for 1 hour. Blots were subsequently incubated with primary antibody in PBS-Tween (overnight at 4°C; horse sera or mice sera 1:1000) and washed. The immunoreactive spots were detected using a goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) (1:20000, Nordic Immunology Laboratories, Tilburg, The Netherlands) or an antihorse IgG (1:10000, Sigma-Aldrich, Buchs, Switzerland) antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. Signals were detected with ECL (enhanced chemiluminescence) on Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden) [27]. After ECL detection, the blots were subsequently stained with colloidal gold in order to match the visible spots to overall pattern on silver-stained gels.

Mass spectrometry (MS)

Selected spots were excised from 2-D gels, destained, processed by proteolysis with trypsin [28] and analyzed by MALDI-TOF and MS/MS on a MALDI-TOF/TOF tandem mass spectrometer (ABI 4700 Proteomics Analyzer, Applied Biosystems). Combined PMF (peptide mass fingerprint) and MS/MS queries were done with MASCOT[®] Database search engine v1.9 [29] (Matrix Science) embedded into GPS-Explorer Software (version 3.6, Applied Biosystem) on the Swiss-Prot database (version 20051206; 201594 sequences; 73123101 residues) or MSDB (version 20040703; 1501893 sequences; 480537664 residues). Protein identification was considered positive (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4) if (i) the probability-based MOWSE score [30] obtained from both MS and MS/MS analysis was significant (i.e. scores > 66 were significant at p < 0.05 for Expasy database, and scores > 74 significant at p < 0.05 for MSDB database; confidence interval > 99% as given by GPS explorer, version 3.6); (ii) the matched peptide masses were abundant in the spectrum; and (iii) the theoretical molecular weights (MW) of the significant hits fit the experimental observed values.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LR and KP conceived and designed the study. LR carried out the acquisition of the material and the morphological data as well as the immunoassays and drafted the manuscript. KP supervised the work and helped to write the manuscript. CD outlined and supervised all the proteomic features and helped to draft the manuscript. SMH carried out the mass spectrometry and analysed the data. CB has supported LR in all the laboratory analysis. MM conceived and carried out the immunological study with mice. BB participated in the design and coordination of the study and helped to analyse the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by SFB 571 grant A5 Deeg. The authors are grateful to Prof. D. Otranto and Prof. D.D. Colwell for their critical comments.

References

- 1. Zumpt F: Myasis in man and animals in the old world Butterworths, London, United Kingdom; 1965.
- Horak IG, De Vos V, De Klerk BD: Parasites of domestic and wild animals in South Africa. XVII. Arthropod parasites of Burchell's zebra, Equus burchelli, in the eastern Transvaal Lowveld. Onderstepoort J Vet Res 1984, 51:145-154.
- Soulsby EJL: Helminths, arthropods and protozoa of domesticated animals. 7th edition. Eastbourne, United Kingdom: Baillière, Tindall & Cassell; 1982.
- 4. Cogley TP, Cogley MC: Inter-relationship between Gasterophilus larvae and the horse's gastric and duodenal wall with special reference to penetration. Vet Parasitol 1999, 86:127-142.
- 5. Otranto D, Milillo P, Capelli G, Colwell DD: Species composition of Gasterophilus spp. (Diptera, Oestridae) causing equine gastric myiasis in southern Italy: parasite biodiversity and risks for extinction. Vet Parasitol 2005, 133:111-118.
- Cogley TP: Effects of migrating Gasterophilus intestinalis larvae (Diptera: Gasterophilidae) on the mouth of the horse. Vet Parasitol 1989, 31:317-331.
- Cogley TP, Anderson JR, Cogley LJ: Migration of Gasterophilus intestinalis larvae (Diptera:Gasterophilidae) in the equine oral cavity. Int J Parasitol 1982, 12:473-480.
- Principato M, Tosti M: Scanning electron microscope observations on the anterior thoracic and post-abdominal spiracles of Gasterophilus larvae (Diptera: Gasterophilidae). Int J Parasitol 1988, 18:191-196.
- Shefstad DK: Scanning electron microscopy of Gasterophilus intestinalis lesions of the equine stomach. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1978, 172:310-313.

- 10. Otranto D: The immunology of myiasis: parasite survival and host defense strategies. *Trends Parasitol* 2001, **17**:176-182.
- Boldbaatar D, Xuan X, Kimbita E, Huang X, Igarashi I, Byambaa B, Battsetseg B, Battur B, Battsetseg G, Batsukh Z, et al.: Detection of antibodies to Hypoderma lineatum in cattle by Western blotting with recombinant hypodermin C antigen. Vet Parasitol 2001, 99:147-154.
- Alcaide M, Reina D, Frontera E, Navarrete I: Analysis of larval antigens of Oestrus ovis for the diagnosis of oestrosis by enzymelinked immunosorbent assay. Med Vet Entomol 2005, 19:151-157.
- Colwell DD: Part C: Gasterophilinae Host-Parasite Interactions. In The Oestrid Flies Biology, Host-parasite relationships, impact and management Edited by: Colwell DD, Hall MJR, Scholl PJ. Oxfordshire, United Kingdom: CABI Publishing; 2006:189-191.
 Escartin-Pena M, Bautista-Garfias CR: Comparison of five tests for
- Escartin-Pena M, Bautista-Garfias CR: Comparison of five tests for the serologic diagnosis of myiasis by Gasterophilus spp. larvae (Diptera: Gasterophilidae) in horses and donkeys: a preliminary study. Med Vet Entomol 1993, 7:233-237.
- Dea-Ayuela MA, Bolas-Fernandez F: Two-dimensional electrophoresis and mass spectrometry for the identification of species-specific Trichinella antigens. Vet Parasitol 2005, 132:43-49.
- Jungblut PR, Zimny-Arndt U, Zeindl-Eberhart E, Stulik J, Koupilova K, Pleissner KP, Otto A, Muller EC, Sokolowska-Kohler W, Grabher G, Stoffler G: Proteomics in human disease: cancer, heart and infectious diseases. *Electrophoresis* 1999, 20:2100-2110.
- Shin YS, Shin GW, Kim YR, Lee EY, Yang HH, Palaksha KJ, Youn HJ, Kim JH, Kim DY, Marsh AE, et al.: Comparison of proteome and antigenic proteome between two Neospora caninum isolates. Vet Parasitol 2005, 134:41-52.
- Baron RW, Colwell DD: Mammalian immune responses to myiasis. Parasitol Today 1991, 7:353-355.
- Brocard P, Pfister K: [The epidemiology of gasterophilosis of horses in Switzerland]. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd 1991, 133:409-416.
- Bernal D, de la Rubia JE, Carrasco-Abad AM, Toledo R, Mas-Coma S, Marcilla A: Identification of enolase as a plasminogen-binding protein in excretory-secretory products of Fasciola hepatica. FEBS Lett 2004, 563:203-206.
- 21. Hansen IA, Meyer SR, Schafer I, Scheller K: Interaction of the anterior fat body protein with the hexamerin receptor in the blowfly Calliphora vicina. *Eur J Biochem* 2002, **269**:954-960.
- 22. Telfer WH, Kunkel JG: The function and evolution of insect storage hexamers. Annu Rev Entomol 1991, 36:205-228.
- Dewilde S, Blaxter M, Van Hauwaert ML, Van Houte K, Pesce A, Griffon N, Kiger L, Marden MC, Vermeire S, Vanfleteren J, et al.: Structural, functional, and genetic characterization of Gasterophilus hemoglobin. J Biol Chem 1998, 273:32467-32474.
 Dowdall SM, Proudman CJ, Klei TR, Mair T, Matthews JB: Characterization of Characterization of Characterization of Characterization (Characterization).
- Dowdall SM, Proudman CJ, Klei TR, Mair T, Matthews JB: Characterisation of IgG(T) serum antibody responses to two larval antigen complexes in horses naturally- or experimentallyinfected with cyathostomins. Int | Parasitol 2004, 34:101-108.
- Hoglund J, Ljungstrom BL, Nilsson O, Uggla A: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for the detection of antibodies to Anoplocephala perfoliata in horse sera. Vet Parasitol 1995, 59:97-106.
- Proudman CJ, Trees AJ: Use of excretory/secretory antigens for the serodiagnosis of Anoplocephala perfoliata cestodosis. Vet Parasitol 1996, 61:239-247.
- Buse C, Altmann F, Amann B, Hauck SM, Poulsen Nautrup C, Ueffing M, Stangassinger M, Deeg CA: Discovering novel targets for autoantibodies in dilated cardiomyopathy. *Electrophoresis* 2008, 29:1325-1332.
- Deeg CA, Pompetzki D, Raith AJ, Hauck SM, Amann B, Suppmann S, Goebel TW, Olazabal U, Gerhards H, Reese S, et al.: Identification and functional validation of novel autoantigens in equine uveitis. Mol Cell Proteomics 2006, 5:1462-1470.
- Perkins DN, Pappin DJ, Creasy DM, Cottrell JS: Probability-based protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data. *Electrophoresis* 1999, 20:3551-3567.
- Pappin DJ: Peptide mass fingerprinting using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Methods Mol Biol 1997, 64:165-173.