

RESEARCH

Open Access



In the heart of the city: *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection prevalence in rodents across New Orleans

Bruno M. Gherzi¹, Anna C. Peterson¹, Nathaniel L. Gibson¹, Asha Dash², Ardem Elmayan², Hannah Schwartzenburg², Weihong Tu², Claudia Riegel³, Claudia Herrera² and Michael J. Blum^{1*} 

Abstract

Background: *Trypanosoma cruzi* - the causative agent of Chagas disease - is known to circulate in commensal pests, but its occurrence in urban environments is not well understood. We addressed this deficit by determining the distribution and prevalence of *T. cruzi* infection in urban populations of commensal and wild rodents across New Orleans (Louisiana, USA). We assessed whether *T. cruzi* prevalence varies according to host species identity and species co-occurrences, and whether *T. cruzi* prevalence varies across mosaics of abandonment that shape urban rodent demography and assemblage structure in the city.

Methods: Leveraging city-wide population and assemblage surveys, we tested 1428 rodents comprising 5 species (cotton rats, house mice, Norway rats, rice rats and roof rats) captured at 98 trapping sites in 11 study areas across New Orleans including nine residential neighborhoods and a natural area in Orleans Parish and a neighborhood in St. Bernard Parish. We also assayed Norway rats at one site in Baton Rouge (Louisiana, USA). We used chi-square tests to determine whether infection prevalence differed among host species, among study areas, and among trapping sites according to the number of host species present. We used generalized linear mixed models to identify predictors of *T. cruzi* infection for all rodents and each host species, respectively.

Results: We detected *T. cruzi* in all host species in all study areas in New Orleans, but not in Baton Rouge. Though overall infection prevalence was 11%, it varied by study area and trapping site. There was no difference in prevalence by species, but roof rats exhibited the broadest geographical distribution of infection across the city. Infected rodents were trapped in densely populated neighborhoods like the French Quarter. Infection prevalence seasonally varied with abandonment, increasing with greater abandonment during the summer and declining with greater abandonment during the winter.

Conclusions: Our findings illustrate that *T. cruzi* can be widespread in urban landscapes, suggesting that transmission and disease risk is greater than is currently recognized. Our findings also suggest that there is disproportionate risk of transmission in historically underserved communities, which could reinforce long-standing socioecological disparities in New Orleans and elsewhere.

Keywords: Abandonment, Chagas disease, Commensal pest, Hurricane Katrina, Mice, Public health, Rats, Urban zoonosis

*Correspondence: mblum@utk.edu

¹ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Chagas disease, caused by the zoonotic protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*, is arguably the most prevalent and widespread parasitic disease in the Western Hemisphere [1]. Most of the disease burden occurs in Central and South America, where there are more than 8 million current infections and more than 30 thousand new infections reported per year [2, 3]. There is growing concern that the disease will become prevalent in the USA with shifting climate conditions and continuing land use intensification [4]. Though only 31 autochthonous cases have so far been reported in the USA [5–8], wildlife surveillance has detected *T. cruzi* in over two dozen mammal species, and at least 11 species of triatomine vectors have been recorded from 29 states [9], raising the possibility that *T. cruzi* is already endemic and widespread [10–14].

While the occurrence of all autochthonous cases of *T. cruzi* infection in rural and semi-rural areas of the USA has sustained long-standing interest in transmission risk associated with sylvatic and peri-urban ecotonal habitats, there is growing evidence of transmission risk within densely populated cities. A number of hosts, for example, are commensal pests that frequently occur in urban areas [2]. Some triatomine vectors carrying *T. cruzi* have been detected in major city centers [15–19], and others are known to have become adapted to urban habitats [10, 14]. Evidence of interactive connectivity between reservoirs and vectors [20] has reinforced concerns that *T. cruzi* can readily invade cities from peri-urban or peripheral natural areas [21, 22]. Reports of infected commensal and peri-domestic mammals (e.g. opossums, raccoons and rodents) in urban areas of the Caracas Valley of Venezuela and southeastern Louisiana (e.g. shelter dogs, raccoons and rodents) support the supposition that Chagas disease is an emergent urban zoonosis [23–26], highlighting the need for further understanding of infection prevalence in hosts that reside within USA cities [20, 26].

Rodents are among the most widespread and abundant pathogen reservoirs in cities [27], and thus often serve as indicators of transmission risk across urban landscapes. Though it is well understood that commensal species like Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*), roof rats (*Rattus rattus*) and house mice (*Mus musculus*) can carry *T. cruzi*, remarkably little is known about infection prevalence in urban populations, particularly within the USA [13, 14]. So far, there have been more extensive studies of other sentinel hosts commonly found in cities, such as shelter dogs and raccoons [28–30]. Smaller scale assays of rodents conducted in South America (e.g. Brazil, Venezuela) have illustrated that *T. cruzi* infection prevalence can be quite high in some commensal species, including Norway rats [25, 31]. Likewise, a recent assay of rodents from southeastern Louisiana detected *T. cruzi* in house

mice from an urban site in New Orleans [32], raising the possibility that it might occur elsewhere in the city.

Here we report findings from a geographically extensive survey of *T. cruzi* infection in urban and peri-urban populations of rodents captured from New Orleans, with reference to a site in Baton Rouge (Louisiana, USA). Our aim was to address long-standing concerns about transmission risk in the area; one of the first autochthonous cases of Chagas disease in the US occurred near New Orleans [33], and surveys of rodents in proximate sylvatic and rural areas have found evidence of high levels of *T. cruzi* infection prevalence (e.g. 76%) [14]. Recent surveys also have found evidence that other rodent-borne pathogens of human concern (e.g. *Angiostrongylus cantonensis*, *Bartonella* spp., *Leptospira* spp.) are widespread throughout the city [34–36], and that pathogen transmission risk has been shaped by discriminatory public policies executed in the wake of Hurricane Katrina that have reinforced long-standing legacies of socioecological disparities [37–39]. Accordingly, to better understand *T. cruzi* transmission risk, we assessed (i) infection prevalence in urban and peri-urban rodent populations overall as well as by species and location; and (ii) whether *T. cruzi* prevalence varies according to host attributes, species identity and species co-occurrence; and (iii) whether *T. cruzi* prevalence varies across mosaics of abandonment that shape urban rodent demography and assemblage structure in the city.

Methods

Study area and rodent trapping

As described in detail by Peterson et al. [38], we conducted quantitative population and assemblage surveys of rodents from May 2014 to February 2017 following Tulane University IACUC protocols #0451 and #0460. We systematically trapped for larger rodents (i.e. rats) at 96 sites in 10 study areas, including eight neighborhoods in New Orleans, an adjacent natural area in Orleans Parish and an adjacent neighborhood in St. Bernard Parish (Table 1, Fig. 1) [34, 38]. Trapping sites corresponded to city blocks or the equivalent [34, 36, 38]. The study areas were selected to capture contrasting levels of income, flooding, and post-disaster landscape management after Hurricane Katrina in 2005 [34, 38]. During each trapping bout, 30 Tomahawk live traps (Tomahawk Live Trap, Hazelhurst, WI, USA) were set per site [38]. At a subset of 48 sites (Table 1, Fig. 1), an equal number of Sherman traps (H.B. Sherman Traps, Inc., Tallahassee, FL, USA) were set to capture smaller rodents (i.e. mice) [38]. To enable further comparisons, 13 additional sites were opportunistically sampled in coordination with the City of New Orleans Mosquito, Termite, and Rodent Control Board, including six sites in the Central Business District

(CBD) and a Norway rat colony in Baton Rouge. For this study, we included rodents from 99 of the 109 trapping sites (Table 1). We measured standard weight and length of all trapped individuals, as well as species identity, sex, sexual maturity, and parity in females [38]. We categorized all Norway rats and roof rats into 3 age classes (juvenile, subadult and adult) according to body weight [40, 41]. Blood, urine, lung, liver, spleen, kidney, and tail tissues were sampled and archived in -80°C freezers.

Site characterization

As described by Peterson et al. [38], flooding history, land use and socio-demography were characterized for each trapping site in the New Orleans metro area. Surface elevation, a proxy for flooding depth, was estimated from LiDAR with reference to water level data from Lake Pontchartrain [42]. We used Google Earth to estimate the proportion of vacant lots (i.e. vacancy) at each site. We also conducted plot-based assays of abandonment to document unmaintained vegetation, unmaintained buildings, and debris piles at each site. Additionally, land cover classification of tree cover, open grass, urban surface/bare soil, buildings, and open water was performed on three multispectral 0.5 m resolution satellite images taken in mid-to-late March 2014, 2015 and 2016. We used 2010 US Census data to derive information on block-level human population size and household income.

Infection assay

Infection prevalence was determined according to a diagnostic PCR-based assay [43]. Following the

manufacturer's protocol, we used Qiagen blood and tissue kits to extract genomic DNA from blood samples premixed with the same volume of guanidine HCL 6M and EDTA 0.2M (pH 8). DNA was also extracted from 4 different laboratory-maintained reference strains of *T. cruzi*, for use as positive controls. All DNA extractions were tested for the presence of *T. cruzi* through PCR amplification of highly repetitive nuclear satellite DNA (satDNA) using primers TcZ1 and TcZ2 [43]. Infection status was determined based on electrophoresis of PCR products on an ethidium bromide stained 2.0% agarose gel run for 50 min at 100 V, with the presence of a 188-bp band qualifying a sample as positive for *T. cruzi* [26], confirmed through comparisons to negative controls.

Statistical analysis

We characterized the prevalence of *T. cruzi* infection for all trapped species to report occurrence and distribution across all study sites, but all subsequent statistical analyses focused on Norway rats, roof rats and house mice due to low sample sizes for other species. We conducted all statistical analyses using R version 3.6.0.

As done in a similarly minded study of rat lungworm in rodents trapped across New Orleans [34], we used a chi-square approach to test for potential differences in *T. cruzi* infection prevalence. Pairwise tests were run to compare prevalence according to host species, with the level of significance Bonferroni corrected to account for multiple comparisons. Likewise, we used pairwise chi-square tests to determine whether infection prevalence differed among study areas and among sites categorized

Table 1 Prevalence of *T. cruzi* infection (number positive/number tested, followed by % in parentheses) in rodents by species and across all species sampled in New Orleans and Baton Rouge (Louisiana), with reference to the total number of sites where rodents were trapped and assayed for *T. cruzi* in each study area, followed (in parentheses) by the number of sites in each study area where Sherman trapping was conducted

Study area	No. of sites	Norway rat	Roof rat	House mouse	Cotton rat	Rice rat	Total
Bywater	9 (0)	3/9 (33)	6/26 (23)	–	–	–	9/35 (26)
CBD	5 (0)	3/44 (7)	–	0/1 (0)	–	–	3/45 (7)
French Quarter	6 (0)	7/52 (13)	1/8 (13)	–	–	–	8/60 (13)
Gentilly	10 (10)	2/14 (14)	6/89 (7)	8/55 (15)	–	–	16/158 (10)
Lakeshore	10 (0)	0/1 (0)	10/71 (14)	–	–	–	10/72 (14)
Lakeview	7 (0)	0/1 (0)	16/77 (21)	–	–	–	16/78 (21)
Lower 9th Ward	10 (10)	10/159 (6)	9/177 (5)	21/239 (9)	0/4 (0)	–	40/579 (7)
Natural area	8 (8)	0/2 (0)	2/22 (9)	4/43 (9)	5/16 (31)	1/7 (14)	12/90 (13)
St. Bernard Parish	7 (7)	0/1 (0)	1/4 (25)	21/52 (40)	–	–	22/57 (39)
Upper 9th Ward	16 (0)	5/78 (6)	8/98 (8)	–	–	–	13/176 (7)
Uptown	10 (10)	2/13 (15)	7/45 (16)	0/4 (0)	–	–	9/72 (13)
Baton Rouge	1 (0)	0/6 (0)	–	–	–	–	0/6 (0)
Total	99 (44)	32/380 (8)	66/627 (11)	54/394 (14)	5/20 (25)	1/7 (14)	158/1428 (11)

Abbreviation: CBD, Central Business District





Fig. 1 (Top) Map of *T. cruzi* infection prevalence by rodent species across Orleans Parish and St. Bernard Parish, with Tomahawk-only trapping areas outlined in red and Tomahawk + Sherman trapping areas outlined in blue (Bottom) *T. cruzi* infection prevalence in each host species by study area- colors indicate species, the middle horizontal line represents the median, bars represent the first and third quartiles, vertical lines represent the minimum and maximum range relative to the quartiles, and dots are outliers. Abbreviation: CBD, Central Business District

according to the number of host species present, with the level of significance Bonferroni corrected to account for multiple comparisons.

Following a prior study of rodent assemblage structure across New Orleans [38], we used generalized linear

mixed models (glmm) with a binomial distribution to assess individual level and socioecological predictors of *T. cruzi* infection for all rodents and each host species, respectively. We used a set of predictors that have been found to be informative in past studies of rodents

in New Orleans [38]. The global model of infection status included year, season, species (for the model describing infection in all rodents), sex, flooding depth, median household income, number of residents per block (or equivalent), remotely-sensed estimates of tree cover and the proportion of vacancy at each study site, as well as survey-based estimates of unmaintained buildings, debris, unmaintained vegetation, and the interactions of household income \times flooding depth, unmaintained buildings \times flooding depth, vacancy \times flooding depth, vacancy \times season, and vacancy \times unmaintained vegetation as fixed effects. We included site as a random effect to account for repeated measurement of rodent abundance at each site over the study period [38]. For each analysis, all possible models were generated and ranked according to AIC score using the package *MuMin* in R. The top-selected model was determined according to the lowest AIC score.

Results

Prevalence of *T. cruzi* infection by species and location

We found that 158 (11%) of 1428 rodents tested positive for *T. cruzi*. The protozoan was detected in all host species; of the 627 roof rats tested, 66 (11%) were positive for *T. cruzi*, whereas 32 (8%) of 380 Norway rats, 54 (14%) of 394 house mice, 5 (25%) of 20 cotton rats (*Sigmodon hispidus*), and 1 (14%) of 7 rice rats (*Oryzomys palustris*) tested positive. Infection prevalence was not significantly different between species ($\chi^2 = 9.7$, $P > 0.23$). Infected individuals were found in all of the Orleans and St. Bernard Parish study areas, but not at the Baton Rouge site (Fig. 1; Additional file 1: Figure S1; Table 1). Overall infection prevalence (38.6%) was significantly higher in St. Bernard Parish than in the CBD ($P < 0.03$), Gentilly ($P < 0.001$), Lower 9th Ward ($P < 0.001$) and Upper 9th Ward ($P < 0.001$) neighborhoods in Orleans Parish (Fig. 1). Overall prevalence also was higher in the ByWater than in the Lower 9th Ward neighborhood ($P < 0.02$) (Fig. 1). Prevalence in the natural area did not differ from levels observed in other study areas (Table 1) (all, $P > 0.05$). Prevalence of infection in Norway rats did not differ among the study areas ($\chi^2 = 12.9$, $P > 0.29$), whereas roof rats exhibited higher prevalence in the Lakeview neighborhood compared to the Lower 9th Ward ($\chi^2 = 22.65$, $P < 0.02$) (Fig. 1, Table 1). House mice exhibited higher infection prevalence in the St. Bernard Parish neighborhood compared to individuals captured in the Lower 9th Ward ($P < 0.001$) and the natural area ($P < 0.02$) (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Environmental and individual predictors of infection

Individual level characteristics were not especially informative predictors of infection. Infection status did

not differ according to sex overall ($\chi^2 = 0.94$, $P = 0.33$) or by species (Norway rat: $\chi^2 = 1.35$, $P = 0.25$; roof rat: $\chi^2 = 0.11$, $P = 0.74$; house mouse: $\chi^2 = 0.07$, $P = 0.79$). Infection status did not vary by body mass for roof rats or house mice, although smaller Norway rats were more likely to be infected ($t = 2.03$, $P = 0.049$). Infection also did not differ by age group for Norway rats or roof rats ($\chi^2 = 3.10$, $P = 0.21$; $\chi^2 = 1.99$, $P = 0.37$, respectively).

Total infection prevalence varied according to the presence of different host species at trapping sites. Considering sites with both Sherman and Tomahawk traps (Fig. 1), average prevalence of *T. cruzi* was higher ($\chi^2 = 18.23$, $P = 0.001$) at sites with 2 species (22%) than sites with 3 species (9%) (Additional file 1: Figure S2). When looking at different combinations of species at a site, there was higher ($\chi^2 = 9.93$, $P = 0.001$) average prevalence at sites with Norway rats and house mice (42%) than sites with roof rats and house mice (14%). Notably, no relationship was found between total host abundance and infection prevalence at a site. When evaluating data from just Tomahawk traps, we found that average prevalence of *T. cruzi* was similar ($\chi^2 = 33.73$, $P = 0.21$) at sites with 1 species (10%) and with 2 species of rat (15%), and there was no relationship between the number of animals trapped and infection prevalence.


The top selected model of overall infection status was $> 2 \Delta$ AIC than the next best model, and thus it is the only model for which results are presented [38]. For all species, year of sampling (coef. = 0.97, $P = 0.001$), vacancy (coef. = 1.02, $P = 0.01$), season (coef. = 1.33, $P < 0.001$), flood depth (coef. = -0.12 , $P < 0.05$), and the interaction of season \times vacancy (coef. = -2.47 , $P < 0.001$) were significant predictors of infection. The model indicates that animals trapped during winter in areas with higher vacancy were 2 times less likely to test positive for *T. cruzi*, whereas animals trapped during summer in areas with higher vacancy were 2 times more likely to test positive (Fig. 2a). When looking at each species independently, human population (coef. = 0.04, $P < 0.01$), unmaintained vegetation (coef. = -4.19 , $P < 0.01$) and year (coef. = 1.37, $P < 0.02$) were predictors of infection in Norway rats (Fig. 2b); season (coef. = 1.34, $P < 0.001$), unmaintained vegetation (coef. = -0.86 , $P < 0.05$), year (coef. = 0.99, $P < 0.01$) and the interaction of vacancy \times season (coef. = -2.1 , $P < 0.01$) were predictors of infection in roof rats (Fig. 2c); whereas debris (coef. = -0.36 , $P < 0.05$) was the only significant predictor of infection in house mice (Fig. 2d). Overall infection prevalence was significantly lower during 2014 than during 2015 and 2016, which reflects variation in Norway and roof rats, as mice were only sampled during 2015 and 2016.

Discussion

Here we present the most comprehensive report, thus far, of *T. cruzi* infection prevalence across an urban landscape, providing further support for the supposition that Chagas disease is an emerging urban zoonosis [23, 44, 45]. Despite evidence of transmission risk within densely populated cities elsewhere [23–26], work describing the prevalence of *T. cruzi* in the USA has largely focused on natural and peri-urban areas [13] like those where autochthonous cases of Chagas disease have been detected [46]. Our results demonstrate that *T. cruzi* is circulating in urban rodent populations across New Orleans at an overall prevalence of 11%. We detected *T. cruzi* in all host species in all study areas in New Orleans, including densely populated tourist areas like the French Quarter. Whereas infection prevalence did not differ by host species, it did vary by study area and trapping site, reflecting variation in prevalence within species and differences in the co-occurrence of host species, which echoes broader patterns of rodent assemblage structure across the city [38]. Prevalence also appears to be mediated by features of disaster-driven counter-urbanization in the study area [34, 38, 39]. Evidence that *T. cruzi* can be widespread and abundant in urban landscapes suggests that transmission risk in the USA is greater than is currently recognized. Our findings also indicate that it is likely there is disproportionate risk of transmission in historically underserved communities, which could

further reinforce long-standing legacies of socioecological disparities in New Orleans and elsewhere [37, 38, 47].

There are some notable similarities in the epidemiology of *T. cruzi* infection in New Orleans and urban areas where the *T. cruzi* is considered to be endemic. For example, we detected *T. cruzi* in all five of the rodent host species found in New Orleans [39], which parallels reports of *T. cruzi* infections in multiple rodent and other mammalian host species trapped in residential neighborhoods in the Yucatan [48] and Caracas Valley [24, 25]. We also found a comparable level of overall infection prevalence in New Orleans [25, 48, 49]. It is notable, however, that we did not find differences in prevalence among host species. Greater variation has been found elsewhere, including among urban populations of commensal rodents. For example, surveys in the Yucatan and Caracas Valley found that *T. cruzi* was more prevalent in roof rats than in house mice [25, 48]. Likewise, no evidence of infection was found in rodents trapped in forest fragments in Salvador (Brazil), where only *Didelphis* opossums were found to carry *T. cruzi* [49]. More extensive surveys of urban hosts in endemic areas might reveal greater parity in infection prevalence; however, as most prior work has involved comparisons among a much smaller number of trapped individuals than the number of rodents assayed for this study [24, 25, 48–50]. On the other hand, more extensive surveys targeting less abundant species native to New Orleans (i.e. cotton rats and rice rats)



might reveal evidence of variation in infection prevalence among hosts.

Detection of infected rodents in all of our study areas indicates that transmission among zoonotic hosts is local and widespread across New Orleans. Though the possibility of local transmission has been raised by prior work on other urban commensal and domestic mammal species [23], it has been difficult to exclude alternatives like geographical transience and interactive connectivity between reservoirs and vectors [20] located in peripheral habitats [49]. In part, this is because limited trapping as well as a focus on hosts with large range sizes and high mobility has prevented identification of the point(s) of infection. This is well illustrated by a recent study [26] conducted in New Orleans and Baton Rouge that found evidence of high infection prevalence (23–43%) in raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), which can readily travel between urban and nearby natural areas [51]. Commensal rodents, on the other hand, typically move < 200 m from their nest [52–55]. Accordingly, infected individuals must have acquired *T. cruzi* close to the respective trapping location, indicating that at least one vector is also likely in the area. Surveys have not yet been conducted to assay the incidence of known vectors in New Orleans, but the possibility of local transmission is supported by surveys that have detected the vector *Triatoma sanguisuga* in urban areas in Texas and Tennessee [56, 57]. Prior work elsewhere also indicates that other vectors (e.g. *Triatoma infestans*) can migrate from rural environments and subsequently become endemic to urban areas [58]. Determining the occurrence of vectors in New Orleans would offer a more complete characterization of local transmission cycle(s) and thus clarify the risk of transmission to humans across the city. Likewise, further assessment of conditions in Baton Rouge would help resolve apparent inconsistencies between our results and evidence from prior studies showing that raccoons harbor high levels of infection across the city [26].

Our findings indicate that biotic and landscape features shape infection prevalence in rodent hosts across the New Orleans metro area. With little exception, the likelihood of infection did not differ according to individual level host attributes. We nonetheless found evidence indicating that infection varied within some species and that prevalence differed according to host co-occurrence, dependent on the particular host species present. This result highlights the need for further understanding of how host co-occurrence and abundance (i.e. the factors governing assemblage structure) influences *T. cruzi* transmission in cities. Prevalence also appears to be mediated by disaster-driven counter-urbanization that has progressed in the study areas since Hurricane Katrina in 2005 [35, 39, 59]. Catastrophic flooding, discriminatory

resettlement and rebuilding policies, and municipal differences in land management have given rise to a socio-ecological mosaic of abandonment across New Orleans [37, 38]. Overall and individual species-level prevalence strongly reflected elements of abandonment including the severity of flooding disturbance (i.e. depth), vacancy, and debris at trapping sites. The same factors also appear to shape rodent demography and assemblage structure in the city [38, 39], raising the possibility that the recovered relationships with *T. cruzi* infection prevalence are simply a secondary phenomenon (i.e. a byproduct of variation in rodent demography and assemblage structure). However, we found that the influence of counter-urbanization (i.e. vacancy) varies by season (Fig. 2), which is consistent with indications from surveys of other mammalian hosts in New Orleans [26] that infection prevalence is seasonally dynamic. This illustrates that the likelihood of infection is not just a function of rodent host responses to habitat or resource availability. Rather, it also probably reflects habitat-mediated variation in vector occurrence [17, 18] or interactions between hosts, vectors, and *T. cruzi* parasites [60]. Further work is thus warranted to better understand how socioecological factors shape the urban epidemiology of *T. cruzi*.

Disparities in disaster-driven abandonment across New Orleans [37, 38, 47] indicate that there is likely greater risk of pathogen transmission in historically underserved communities [34]. Our findings suggest, however, that transmission risk is highly heterogeneous within and among neighborhoods due to variation in site-level characteristics that govern infection prevalence. This is well illustrated by comparisons of the Lower 9th Ward to adjacent areas in St. Bernard Parish (Fig. 1). Both neighborhoods are burdened by comparably high levels of disaster-driven vacancy but exhibit contrasting habitat characteristics and rodent assemblages, reflecting differences in post-Katrina municipal land management [37, 38]. The overall prevalence of *T. cruzi* infection also differs between the neighborhoods (Fig. 1, Table 1), indicating that transmission risk is not simply a function of vacancy, but that it also depends on local habitat conditions (e.g. maintained *versus* unmaintained vegetation, the occurrence of favored plant species, etc.) that may govern tritrophic interactions. Further study that reveals the scale of associations would better define transmission risk and shed light on whether proactive measures (e.g. rodent control, removing debris and maintaining vegetation) can be taken to reduce infection prevalence [61]. Likewise, understanding variation in infection intensity and the diversity of *T. cruzi* carried by vectors and hosts [14, 32] could help alleviate potential risks to human well-being in cities across the USA and elsewhere [2, 23, 62].

Conclusions

Our study provides further support for prior claims that Chagas disease is an emerging urban zoonosis. Our results illustrate that *T. cruzi* is surprisingly widespread in New Orleans, suggesting that transmission risk in the USA and elsewhere is greater than is currently recognized. Evidence of seasonal dynamics in areas burdened by abandonment also indicates that there is disproportionate risk of transmission in historically underserved communities, which could reinforce long-standing socioecological disparities in the USA and elsewhere [37, 38, 47].

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04446-y>.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Infection prevalence across all rodent species by study area with individual sites noted as jitter dots. The middle horizontal line represents the median, bars represent the first and third quartiles, vertical lines represent the minimum and maximum range relative to the quartiles, and dots are outliers. *Abbreviation:* CBD, Central Business District. **Figure S2.** Variation in *T. cruzi* infection prevalence according to the number of host species at Tomahawk + Sherman trapping sites. The middle horizontal line represents the median, bars represent the first and third quartiles, vertical lines represent the minimum and maximum range relative to the quartiles, and dots are outliers.

Abbreviations

AIC: Akaike information criteria; CBD: Central business district; DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid; EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; FL: Florida; GLMM: Generalized linear mixed model; HCL: Hydrochloric; IACUC: Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; satDNA: Nuclear microsatellite DNA; WI: Wisconsin.

Acknowledgements

We thank the investigators of the New Orleans Coupled Natural and Human Systems project team for collaborations that supported this work.

Authors' contributions

BG, AP, CR, CH and MB designed the study. BG, AP, NG, CR and MB coordinated or conducted rodent trapping. AP, AD, AE, HS, WT and CH coordinated or conducted parasite assays. BG, AP, NG, CH and MB managed, analyzed and interpreted the data. BG, NG and MB wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by the Tulane-Xavier Center for Bioenvironmental Research, the Tulane ByWater Institute, the US National Science Foundation (BCS-0948993, BCS-1313703), the Tulane University Carol Lavin Bernick Faculty Grant Program, and the Louisiana Board of Regents.

Availability of data and materials

Data generated specifically for this study are included within the article and its additional file. Data generated on individual attributes, rodent assemblage structure, as well as site-level demography, land use and landscape features that were utilized in analyses presented in this study are available online or in related publications [34, 38]. Those that are not publicly available are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Sampling protocols were approved by the Tulane University IACUC (protocols #0451 and #0460).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA. ² Department of Tropical Medicine, Vector-Borne Infectious Disease Research Center, Tulane University, School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine, New Orleans, LA, USA. ³ City of New Orleans Mosquito, Termite, Rodent Control Board, New Orleans, LA, USA.

Received: 7 July 2020 Accepted: 30 October 2020

Published online: 14 November 2020

References

- Kirchhoff LV. Epidemiology of American trypanosomiasis (Chagas disease). *Adv Parasitol.* 2011;75:1–18.
- Bern C, Kjos S, Yabsley MJ, Montgomery SP. *Trypanosoma cruzi* and Chagas' disease in the United States. *CMR.* 2011;24:655–81.
- Bern C, Montgomery SP. An estimate of the burden of Chagas disease in the United States. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2009;49:e52–4.
- Short EE, Caminade C, Thomas BN. Climate change contribution to the emergence or re-emergence of parasitic diseases. *IDR.* 2017;10:117863361773229.
- Montgomery SP, Parise ME, Dotson EM, Bialek SR. Perspective piece what do we know about chagas disease in the United States? *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2016;95:1225–7.
- Turabelidze G, Vasudevan A, Rojas-Moreno C, Montgomery SP, Baker M, Pratt D, et al. Autochthonous Chagas disease—Missouri, 2018. *MMWR.* 2020;69:193–5.
- Hernandez S, Flores CA, Viana GM, Sanchez DR, Traina MI, Meymandi SK. Autochthonous transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in southern California. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2016;3:ofw227.
- Beatty NL, Perez-Velez CM, Yaglom HD, Carson S, Liu E, Khalpey ZI, et al. Evidence of likely autochthonous transmission of Chagas disease in Arizona. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2018;99:1534–6.
- Curtis-Robles R, Hamer SA, Lane S, Levy MZ, Hamer GL. Bionomics and spatial distribution of triatomine vectors of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Texas and other southern States, USA. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2018;98:113–21.
- Kjos SA, Snowden KF, Olson JK. Biogeography and *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection prevalence of chagas disease vectors in Texas, USA. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2009;9:41–9.
- Charles RA, Kjos S, Ellis AE, Barnes JC, Yabsley MJ. Southern plains woodrats (*Neotoma micropus*) from southern Texas are important reservoirs of two genotypes of *Trypanosoma cruzi* and host of a putative novel *Trypanosoma* species. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 2013;13:22–30.
- Montgomery SP, Starr MC, Cantey PT, Edwards MS, Meymandi SK. Neglected parasitic infections in the United States: Chagas disease. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2014;90:814–8.
- Hodo CL, Hamer SA. Toward an ecological framework for assessing reservoirs of vector-borne pathogens: wildlife reservoirs of *Trypanosoma cruzi* across the southern United States. *ILAR J.* 2017;58:379–92.
- Herrera CP, Licon MH, Nation CS, Jameson SB, Wesson DM. Genotype diversity of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in small rodents and *Triatoma sanguisuga* from a rural area in New Orleans, Louisiana. *Parasit Vectors.* 2015;8:123.
- Ceretti-Junior W, Vendrami DP, de Matos-Junior MO, Rimoldi-Ribeiro A, Alvarez JV, Marques S, et al. Occurrences of triatomines (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) and first reports of *Panstrongylus geniculatus* in urban environments in the city of Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo.* 2018;60:e33.
- Ribeiro AR, de Oliveira RC, Ceretti Junior W, Lima L, de Almeida LA, Nascimento JD, et al. *Trypanosoma cruzi* isolated from a triatomine found in one of the biggest metropolitan areas of Latin America. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2016;49:183–9.
- Leite Dias JV, Mota Queiroz DR, Rodrigues Martins H, Eladio Gorla D, Rocha Pires HH, Diotaiuti L. Spatial distribution of triatomines in

- domiciles of an urban area of the Brazilian Southeast region. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2016;111:43–50.
18. Carvalho DB, Almeida CE, Rocha CS, Gardim S, Mendonça VJ, Ribeiro AR, et al. A novel association between *Rhodnius neglectus* and the *Livistona australis* palm tree in an urban center foreshadowing the risk of Chagas disease transmission by vectorial invasions in Monte Alto City, São Paulo, Brazil. *Acta Trop*. 2014;130:35–8.
 19. Khatchikian CE, Foley EA, Barbu CM, Hwang J, Ancca-Juárez J, Borrini-Mayori K, et al. Population structure of the Chagas disease vector *Triatoma infestans* in an urban environment. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2015;9:e0003425.
 20. Ramsey JM, Gutiérrez-Cabrera AE, Salgado-Ramírez L, Peterson AT, Sánchez-Cordero V, Ibarra-Cerdeña CN. Ecological connectivity of *Trypanosoma cruzi* reservoirs and *Triatoma pallidipennis* hosts in an anthropogenic landscape with endemic Chagas disease. *PLoS ONE*. 2012;7:e46013.
 21. Berry ASF, Salazar-Sánchez R, Castillo-Neyra R, Borrini-Mayori K, Chipana-Ramos C, Vargas-Maquera M, et al. Immigration and establishment of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Arequipa, Peru. *PLoS ONE*. 2019;14:e0221678.
 22. Berry ASF, Salazar-Sánchez R, Castillo-Neyra R, Borrini-Mayori K, Arealo-Nieto C, Chipana-Ramos C, et al. Dispersal patterns of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in Arequipa, Peru. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020;14:e0007910.
 23. Urdaneta-Morales S. Chagas' disease: an emergent urban zoonosis. The Caracas Valley (Venezuela) as an epidemiological model. *Front Public Health*. 2014;2:265.
 24. Herrera L, Urdaneta-Morales S. *Didelphis marsupialis*: a primary reservoir of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in urban areas of Caracas Venezuela. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 1992;86:607–12.
 25. Herrera L, Urdaneta-Morales S. Synanthropic rodent reservoirs of *Trypanosoma (Schizotrypanum) cruzi* in the valley of Caracas, Venezuela. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo*. 1997;39:279–82.
 26. Majeau A, Pronovost H, Sanford A, Cloherty E, Anderson AN, Balsamo G, et al. Raccoons as an important reservoir for *Trypanosoma cruzi*: a prevalence study from two metropolitan areas in Louisiana. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2020;20:535–40.
 27. Himsforth CG, Parsons KL, Jardine C, Patrick DM. Rats, cities, people, and pathogens: a systematic review and narrative synthesis of literature regarding the ecology of rat-associated zoonoses in urban centers. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2013;13:349–59.
 28. Tenney TD, Curtis-Robles R, Snowden KF, Hamer SA. Shelter dogs as sentinels for *Trypanosoma cruzi* transmission across Texas, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2014;20:1323–6.
 29. Yabsley MJ, Pittman NG. Seroprevalence of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in raccoons from South Carolina and Georgia. *JWD*. 2002;38:75–83.
 30. Elmayan A, Tu W, Duhon B, Marx P, Wolfson W, Balsamo G, et al. High prevalence of *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection in shelter dogs from southern Louisiana, USA. *Parasit Vectors*. 2019;12:322.
 31. Panzera F, Dujardin JP, Nicolini P, Caraccio MN, Rose V, Tellez T, et al. Genomic changes of Chagas disease vector South America. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2004;10:438–46.
 32. Pronovost H, Peterson AC, Gherzi BM, Blum MJ, Dumonteil E, Herrera CP. Deep sequencing reveals multiclonality and new discrete typing units of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in rodents from the southern United States. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect*. 2020;53:662–733.
 33. Dorn PL, Perniciaro L, Yabsley MJ, Roellig DM, Balsamo G, Diaz J, et al. Autochthonous transmission of *Trypanosoma cruzi* Louisiana. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2007;13:605–7.
 34. Rael RC, Peterson AC, Gherzi BM, Riegel C, Lesen AE, Blum MJ. Rat lung-worm infection in rodents across post-Katrina New Orleans, Louisiana, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24:2176–83.
 35. Peterson AC. Rodent assemblages and rodent associated pathogens in a counter-urbanizing landscape. PhD thesis, University of Tennessee, USA; 2019.
 36. Peterson AC, Gherzi BM, Alda F, Firth C, Frye MJ, Bai Y, et al. Rodent-borne bartonella infection varies according to host species within and among cities. *EcoHealth*. 2017;14:771–82.
 37. Lewis JA, Zipperer WC, Ernstson H, Bernik B, Hazen R, Elmquist T, et al. Socioecological disparities in New Orleans following Hurricane Katrina. *Ecosphere*. 2017;8:e01922.
 38. Peterson AC, Gherzi BM, Campanella R, Riegel C, Lewis JA, Blum MJ. Rodent assemblage structure reflects socioecological mosaics of counter-urbanization across post-Hurricane Katrina New Orleans. *Landsc Urban Plan*. 2020;195:103710.
 39. Gherzi BM. Rat demography and rodent-borne pathogens across post-Katrina New Orleans. PhD thesis, University of Tennessee, USA; 2020.
 40. King CM, Innes JG, Gleeson DM, Fitzgerald N, Winstanley T, O'Brien B, et al. Reinvasion by ship rats (*Rattus rattus*) of forest fragments after eradication. *Biol Invasions*. 2011;13:2391–408.
 41. McGuire B, Pizzuto T, Bemis WE, Getz LL. General ecology of a rural population of Norway rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) based on intensive live trapping. *Am Midl Nat*. 2006;155:221–36.
 42. Gesch DB. Topography-based analysis of Hurricane Katrina inundation of New Orleans. In: Farris GS, Smith GJ, Crane MP, Demas CR, Robbins LL, Lavoie DL, editors. *Science and the storms: the USGS response to the hurricanes of 2005*. Reston: U.S. Geological Survey; 2006.
 43. Moser DR, Kirchhoff L, Donelson JE. Detection of *Trypanosoma cruzi* by DNA amplification using the polymerase chain reaction. *J Clin Microbiol*. 1989;27:1477–82.
 44. Bayer AM, Hunter GC, Gilman RH, del Carpio JGC, Naquira C, Bern C, et al. Chagas disease, migration and community settlement patterns in Arequipa, Peru. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2009;3:e567.
 45. Levy MZ, Barbu CM, Castillo-Neyra R, Quispe-Machaca VR, Ancca-Juarez J, Escalante-Mejia P, et al. Urbanization, land tenure security and vector-borne Chagas disease. *Proc R Soc B*. 2014;281:20141003.
 46. Manne-Goehler J, Umeh CA, Montgomery SP, Wirtz VJ. Estimating the burden of Chagas disease in the United States. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016;10:e0005013.
 47. Gulachenski A, Gherzi BM, Lesen AE, Blum MJ. Abandonment, ecological assembly and public health risks in counter-urbanizing cities. *Sustainability*. 2016;8:491.
 48. Panti-May JA, de Andrade RRC, Gurubel-González Y, Palomo-Arjona E, Sodá-Tamayo L, Meza-Sulú J, et al. A survey of zoonotic pathogens carried by house mouse and black rat populations in Yucatan, Mexico. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2017;145:2287–95.
 49. Trüeb I, Portela RD, Franke CR, Carneiro IO, Ribeiro GJ, Soares RP, et al. *Trypanosomacruzi* and *Leishmania* sp. infection in wildlife from urban rainforest fragments in northeast Brazil. *J Wildl Dis*. 2018;54:76–84.
 50. Andrea Carhuallanqui P, Amanda Chávez V, Rosa PV. *Trypanosoma spp* in rats (*Rattus rattus* and *Rattus norvegicus*) from two environments: Food markets and pig farms. *RIVEP*. 2017;28:958–68.
 51. Gross J, Elvinger F, Hungerford LL, Gehrt SD. Raccoon use of the urban matrix in the Baltimore Metropolitan Area, Maryland. *Urban Ecosyst*. 2012;15:667–82.
 52. Heiberg AC, Sluydts V, Leirs H. Uncovering the secret lives of sewer rats (*Rattus norvegicus*): movements, distribution and population dynamics revealed by a capture-mark-recapture study. *Wildl Res*. 2012;39:202–19.
 53. Combs M, Byers KA, Gherzi BM, Blum MJ, Caccone A, Costa F, et al. Urban rat races: spatial population genomics of brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) compared across multiple cities. *Proc R Soc B*. 2018;285:20180245.
 54. Byers KA, Lee MJ, Patrick DM, Himsforth CG. Rats about town: a systematic review of rat movement in urban ecosystems. *Front Ecol Evol*. 2019;7:13.
 55. Munshi-South J, Kharchenko K. Rapid, pervasive genetic differentiation of urban white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*) populations in New York City. *Mol Ecol*. 2010;19:4242–54.
 56. Dye-Braunmuller KC, Gorchakov R, Gunter SM, Nielsen DH, Roachell WD, Wheelless A, et al. Identification of triatomines and their habitats in a highly developed urban environment. *Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis*. 2019;19:265–73.
 57. Newsome AL, McGhee CR. *Trypanosoma cruzi* in triatomines from an urban and a domestic setting in middle Tennessee. *J Tenn Acad Sci*. 2006;81:28.
 58. Delgado S, Ernst KC, Pumahuanca MLH, Yool SR, Comrie AC, Sterling CR, et al. A country bug in the city: urban infestation by the Chagas disease vector *Triatoma infestans* in Arequipa, Peru. *Int J Health Geogr*. 2013;12:48.
 59. Rael RC, Peterson AC, Gherzi BM, Childs J, Blum MJ. Disturbance, reassembly, and disease risk in socioecological systems. *EcoHealth*. 2016;13:450–5.

60. López-Cancino SA, Tun-Ku E, de la Cruz-Felix HK, Ibarra-Cerdena CN, Izeta-Alberdi A, Pech-May A, et al. Landscape ecology of *Trypanosoma cruzi* in the southern Yucatan Peninsula. *Acta Trop*. 2015;151:58–72.
61. Levy MZ, Malaga Chavez FS, Cornejo Del Carpio JG, Vilhena DA, McKenzie FE, Plotkin JB. Rational spatio-temporal strategies for controlling a Chagas disease vector in urban environments. *J R Soc Interface*. 2010;7:1061–70.
62. Eskew EA, Olival KJ. De-urbanization and zoonotic disease risk. *EcoHealth*. 2018;15:707–12.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

