

RESEARCH Open Access

Detection of tick-borne 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' and Anaplasma phagocytophilum in Spain in 2013

Ana M Palomar, Lara García-Álvarez, Sonia Santibáñez, Aránzazu Portillo and José A Oteo*

Abstract

Background: 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' is a tick-borne bacteria implicated in human health. To date, 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' has been described in different countries from Africa, Asia and Europe, but never in Spain. However, according to the epidemiological features of the main vector in Europe, *Ixodes ricinus*, its circulation in our country was suspected.

Methods: A total of 200 *I. ricinus* ticks collected in the North of Spain were analyzed. DNAs were extracted and used as templates for PCRs targeting fragment genes for *Anaplasma/Ehrlichia* detection. The amplified products were sequenced and analyzed.

Results: 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' was amplified in two specimens. Furthermore, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* was detected in 61 samples analyzed.

Conclusions: The detection of 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in *I. ricinus* ticks from Spain indicates its circulation and the potential risk of contracting a human infection in this country.

Keywords: 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis', Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Ixodes ricinus, Spain

Background

'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' is an obligate intracellular bacterium member of the Anaplasmataceae family. It was first isolated from wild rats (Rattus norvegicus) and Ixodes ovatus ticks in the Mikura Island, Japan [1]. It was classified as a new genus (Neoehrlichia) added to those already known of the Anaplasmataceae family: Ehrlichia, Anaplasma, Neorickettsia, Aegyptianella and Wolbachia [1].

The presence of 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in rodents and ticks has been notified from different countries of Europe, Asia and Africa in the last decade [2,3]. In Europe, it has been mostly detected in *Ixodes ricinus*, although it has been associated to other tick species in other continents. *I. ricinus*, endemic in the North of Spain, is responsible for most human tick bites. It acts as vector of different human pathogens, such as *Borrelia burgdorferi*

sensu lato (s.l.), *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* -formerly *Ehrlichia phagocytophila*- or different *Rickettsia* spp., protozoa and arboviruses. However, the risk of infections with '*Ca.* Neoehrlichia mikurensis' to human health remains unclear in southern Europe.

The first implication of the bacterium in human pathology was reported in Sweden in 2010 [4]. Subsequently, seven new human cases severely affected by '*Ca.* Neoehrlichia mikurensis' infections have been notified from Europe [5-8]. Several human cases have also been described in China [2].

'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' has not been previously described in Spain. However, according to the epidemiological features of the main vector, *I. ricinus*, in which the bacterium has been mostly detected in Europe, its circulation in our country was suspected.

Methods

In the routine analysis of tick-borne pathogens performed in the Center of Rickettsioses and Arthropod-borne Diseases (Logroño, Spain), 200 *I. ricinus* ticks collected from

Departamento de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Hospital San Pedro-CIBIR, Center of Rickettsioses and Arthropod-Borne Diseases, C/ Piqueras, N° 98, 26006 Logroño, La Rioja, Spain



^{*} Correspondence: jaoteo@riojasalud.es

Table 1 PCR primer pairs used in this study

| Gene target | Primer name | Primer sequence 5'→ 3' | Amplified fragment (bp) | Annealing temperature (°C) | Reference | |
|---|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|--|
| groESL heat shock operon of Anaplasma spp. (nested) | HS1a | AITGGGCTGGTAITGAAAT | 1350 | 48 | [9] | |
| | HS6a | CCICCIGGIACIAIACCTTC | | | | |
| | HS43 | AT(A/T)GC(A/T)AA(G/A)GAAGCATAGTC | 1297 | 55 | | |
| | HSVR | CTCAACAGCAGCTCTAGTAGC | | | | |
| 16S rRNA (nested) | ge3a | CACATGCAAGTCGAACGGATTATTC | 932 | 55 | [10] | |
| | ge10r | TTCCGTTAAGAAGGAT CTAATCTCC | | | | |
| | ge9f | AACGGATTATTCTTTATAGCTTGCT | 546 | 55 | | |
| | ge2 | GGCAGTATTAAAAGCAGCTCCAGG | | | | |
| 16S rRNA EHR | EHR 16SD | GGTACCYACAGAAGAAGTCC | 345 | 55 | [11] | |
| | EHR 16SR | TAGCACTCATCGTTTACAGC | | | | |

cows were tested. Samples were obtained in two different locations of La Rioja (Spain): Tobía (42°18'N; 2°48'W) and Jubera (42°18'N; 2°17'W) in April 2013. A total of 50 males and 50 engorged females from each location were processed. Ticks were kept at -80°C until DNA extraction with Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

All DNA extracts were used as templates for two nested PCRs targeting fragment genes for *Anaplasma/Ehrlichia* detection. Furthermore, a simple PCR was performed to confirm the amplification of species never detected in the area (Table 1) [9-11]. Two negative controls, one of them containing water instead of template DNA and the other with template DNA but without primers, as well as a positive control of *A. phagocytophilum* were included in all PCR assays. Amplification products were sequenced, and nucleotide sequences were compared with those available

in GenBank by using a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast).

Results and discussion

Two nucleotide sequences of *groESL* fragment gene (1%) corresponding to 2 male tick specimens collected in Tobía showed 100% identity with 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis'. They were identical to the one detected in two patients in Germany [5]. None of them yielded positive results when PCR tests for 16S rRNA were performed. For this reason, a different fragment of the 16S rRNA gene (EHR) was investigated to confirm our previous results. Nucleotide sequences of both samples were identical to each other and showed 100% identity with more than one sequence of 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' (Table 2). In our laboratory we had never worked with 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' before, so no contamination with this bacterium was possible.

Table 2 Anaplasmataceae species detected in Ixodes ricinus removed from cows (N = 200) in La Rioja (North of Spain)

| Bacterium (no.) | groESL | | | | 16S rRNA | | | 16S rRNA-EHR | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------------|
| | Ticks, number and stage | | Genbank accession | Identity (%) | Ticks, number and stage | | Genbank accession | • | Ticks, number and stage | | Genbank accession | Identity (%) |
| | Tobía | Jubera | no. | | Tobía | Jubera | no. | | Tobía | Jubera | no. | |
| 'Ca. N. mikurensis' (2) | 2 M | | EU810407 | 100 | | | | | 2 M | | JQ675350 | 100 |
| A. phagocytophilum (61) |) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Human pathogenic variant (8) | 1 M, 2 F | 2 M, 2 F | U72628 | 99.9-100 | 1 F* | 2 F | U02521 | 100 | | | | |
| Non-pathogenic variants (53) | 1 M | | AF478558 | 100 | 1 M | | JN181071 | 100 | | | | |
| | 1 M | | AF478563 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 F | | AY281831 | 100 | | 1 F | EU839849 | 100 | | | | |
| | 2 F | 2 M, 7 F | EU246959 | 99.8-100 | 1 F | 1 M, 4 F | JN181071 | 100 | | | | |
| | 5 F | 2 M, 29 F | AF548385 | 99.8-100 | 2 F | 1 M, 11 F [†] | JN181071 | 99.9-100 | | | | |
| | | 1 M | AY281830 | 100 | | 1 M | JN181071 | 100 | | | | |

No.: number; 'Ca. N. mikurensis': 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis'; A. phagocytophilum: Anaplasma phagocytophilum; M: Male; F: Female; *: only amplified with 16S rRNA fragment gene; †: Two of them only amplified with 16S rRNA fragment gene.

On the other hand, *A. phagocytophilum* was detected in 61 samples (30.5%) of this study. Specifically, 8 specimens (4%) showed maximum identity with the human pathogenic variant, and 53 (26.5%) with non-pathogenic variants (Table 2).

In this study, 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' DNA was detected in two ticks from La Rioja (Spain) during 2013 but we do not know if this bacterium has been previously circulating in our area. Anyway, this infection may be underdiagnosed in our media. In addition, according to the recent finding of several human cases due to this bacterium, mainly in immunocompromised patients, physicians should be aware of the risk for those patients in the affected area. Moreover, infections and fever of unknown origin are common in immunocompromised patients and the responsible pathogen is not isolated in most cases [7]. The detection of 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' and the features of the European human cases suggest that this microorganism is likely causing disease in our country too.

The prevalence of *A. phagocytophilum* in the studied area has been previously reported [12]. According to our results, the high prevalence of the bacterium in the engorged females collected in Jubera should be noted (40 out of 50 specimens, 80%). This could be due to the fact that all the female specimens processed were engorged on cows, hosts that are potential amplifiers of the bacterium [13].

Conclusions

'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' has been detected in *I. ricinus* ticks removed from cows in Spain. *A. phagocyto-philum* was amplified in 61 out of 200 samples (8 of them corresponding to the human pathogenic variant). Our results suggest that human infections by 'Ca. Neoehrlichia mikurensis' might be undiagnosed in this country. Further research should be carried out to study the epidemiology of the bacterium as well as to be aware of possible human cases.

Competing interests

The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Designed the study: AMP, AP, JAO. Collected and identified ticks: AMP. Processed samples: AMP, LGA. Performed PCR: AMP, LGA, SS. Analyzed sequences: AMP, SS, AP. Analyzed the data: AMP, AP, JAO. Wrote the paper: AMP, LGA, SS, AP, JAO. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Fundación Rioja Salud awarded a grant to the first author (FRS/PIF-01/10). We thank Luis Vergarechea for providing tick samples and Eduardo Cuesta for the support with the processing of samples.

Received: 11 December 2013 Accepted: 29 January 2014 Published: 31 January 2014

References

- Kawahara M, Rikihisa Y, Isogai E, Takahashi M, Misumi H, Suto C, Shibata S, Zhang C, Tsuji M: Ultrastructure and phylogenetic analysis of 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in the family Anaplasmataceae, isolated from wild rats and found in Ixodes ovatus ticks. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2004, 54:1837–1843
- Li H, Jiang JF, Liu W, Zheng YC, Huo QB, Tang K, Zuo SY, Liu K, Jiang BG, Yang H, Cao WC: Human infection with 'Candidatus neoehrlichia mikurensis', China. Emerg Infect Dis 2012, 18:1636–1639.
- Kamani J, Baneth G, Mumcuoglu KY, Waziri NE, Eyal O, Guthmann Y, Harrus S: Molecular detection and characterization of tick-borne pathogens in dogs and ticks from Nigeria. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013, 7:e2108.
- Welinder-Olsson C, Kjellin E, Vaht K, Jacobsson S, Wenneras C: First case of human 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' infection in a febrile patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. J Clin Microbiol 2010, 48:1956–1959.
- von Loewenich FD, Geissdörfer W, Disqué C, Matten J, Schett G, Sakka SG, Bogdan C: Detection of 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in two patients with severe febrile illnesses: evidence for a European sequence variant. J Clin Microbiol 2010, 48:2630–2635.
- Fehr JS, Bloemberg GV, Ritter C, Hombach M, Lüscher TF, Weber R, Keller PM: Septicemia caused by tick-borne bacterial pathogen 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis'. Emerg Infect Dis 2010, 16:1127–1129.
- Pekova S, Vydra J, Kabickova H, Frankova S, Haugvicova R, Mazal O, Cmejla R, Hardekopf DW, Jancuskova T, Kozak T: 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' infection identified in 2 hematooncologic patients: benefit of molecular techniques for rare pathogen detection. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2011, 69:266–270.
- Maurer FP, Keller PM, Beuret C, Joha C, Achermann Y, Gubler J, Bircher D, Karrer U, Fehr J, Zimmerli L, Bloemberg GV: Close geographic association of human neoehrlichiosis and tick populations carrying 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in eastern Switzerland. J Clin Microbiol 2013, 51:169–176
- Liz JS, Sumner JW, Pfister K, Brossard M: PCR detection and serological evidence of granulocytic ehrlichial infection in roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) and chamois (Rupicapra rupicapra). J Clin Microbiol 2002, 40:892–897.
- Massung RF, Slater K, Owens JH, Nicholson WL, Mather TN, Solberg VB, Olson JG: Nested PCR assay for detection of granulocytic ehrlichiae. J Clin Microbiol 1998, 36:1090–1095.
- Inokuma H, Raoult D, Brouqui P: Detection of Ehrlichia platys DNA in brown dog ticks (Rhipicephalus sanguineus) in Okinawa Island, Japan. J Clin Microbiol 2000, 38:4219–4221.
- Portillo A, Pérez-Martínez L, Santibáñez S, Santibáñez P, Palomar AM, Oteo JA: Anaplasma spp. in wild mammals and Ixodes ricinus from the North of Spain. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2011. 11:3–8.
- Stuen S, Granquist EG, Silaghi C: Anaplasma phagocytophilum a widespread multi-host pathogen with highly adaptive strategies. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2013, 3:31.

doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-57

Cite this article as: Palomar *et al.*: Detection of tick-borne *'Candidatus* Neoehrlichia mikurensis' and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in Spain in 2013. *Parasites & Vectors* 2014 7:57.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

