Moseley et al. Parasites Vectors

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04444-0

(2020) 13:570

Open Access



Multi-locus sequence analyses reveal a clonal *L. borgpetersenii* genotype in a heterogeneous invasive *Rattus* spp. community across the City of Johannesburg, South Africa

Mark Moseley^{1*}, Kovashnee Naidoo², Armanda Bastos³, Liezl Retief³, John Frean^{2,4}, Sandra Telfer¹ and Jennifer Rossouw²

Abstract

Background: *Rattus* spp. are frequently implicated as key reservoir hosts for leptospirosis, one of the most common, but neglected, bacterial zoonoses in the world. Although leptospirosis is predicted to be a significant public health threat in Africa, studies from the continent are limited.

Methods: *Rattus* spp. (n = 171) were sampled (January–May 2016) across the City of Johannesburg, South Africa's largest inland metropole. *Rattus* spp. genetic diversity was evaluated by full length (1140 bp) cyt *b* sequencing of 42 samples. For comparison, a further 12 *Rattus norvegicus* samples collected in Cape Town, South Africa's largest coastal metropole, were also genotyped. *Leptospira* infections were identified and genotyped using real-time PCR and multilocus (*lfb1*, *secY* and *lipL41*) DNA sequencing.

Results: Five *R. norvegicus* haplotypes were identified across Johannesburg, four of which have not previously been detected in South Africa, and one in Cape Town. Across Johannesburg we identified a *Leptospira* spp. infection prevalence of 44% (75/171) and noted significant differences in the prevalence between administrative regions within the metropole. Multi-locus sequence analyses identified a clonal genotype consistent with *L. borgpetersenii* serogroup Javanica (serovar Ceylonica).

Discussion: The prevalence of infection identified in this study is amongst the highest detected in *Rattus* spp. in similar contexts across Africa. Despite the complex invasion history suggested by the heterogeneity in *R. norvegicus* haplotypes identified in Johannesburg, a single *L. borgpetersenii* genotype was identified in all infected rodents. The lack of *L. interrogans* in a rodent community dominated by *R. norvegicus* is notable, given the widely recognised hostpathogen association between these species and evidence for *L. interrogans* infection in *R. norvegicus* in Cape Town. It is likely that environmental conditions (cold, dry winters) in Johannesburg may limit the transmission of *L. interrogans*. Spatial heterogeneity in prevalence suggest that local factors, such as land use, influence disease risk in the metropole.

*Correspondence: mark.moseley@abdn.ac.uk

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

¹ School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

Conclusions: In South Africa, as in other African countries, leptospirosis is likely underdiagnosed. The high prevalence of infection in urban rodents in Johannesburg suggest that further work is urgently needed to understand the potential public health risk posed by this neglected zoonotic pathogen.

Keywords: Leptospirosis, Zoonosis, Ifb1, Urbanisation, Rats, Public health, Molecular epidemiology, Disease ecology

Background

Leptospirosis is one of the world's most common, but neglected, zoonotic diseases. Globally, there are an estimated 1 million cases and 60,000 deaths annually [1] with a disproportionate burden of the disease falling on lowincome communities in the developing world [2]. The majority of human cases of leptospirosis are as a result of infection with three pathogenic species, Leptospira interrogans, Leptospira borgpetersenii and Leptospira kirsch*neri* [3]. Serologically, *Leptospira* are divided into over 300 serovars with antigenically-related serovars clustered into serogroups [3]. Rattus norvegicus are traditionally associated with L. interrogans serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae [3], and generally have a higher prevalence of infection than other *Rattus* spp. [4]. Exposure to this key urban rodent pest has been demonstrated to be associated with increased risk of leptospirosis [5].

Regions of Africa are predicted to have some of the highest leptospirosis disease burdens in the world, although estimates are uncertain due to a lack of studies from the continent [1, 2]. Where surveillance in rat populations has been undertaken in Africa, the prevalence of infection is highly variable (range 0–68%) [4]. In South Africa, genetic analyses have identified *R. norvegicus*, *R. rattus* and *R. tanezumi* in urban environments [6] and *R. norvegicus* has been implicated in an outbreak of leptospirosis in a correctional facility in Cape Town [7].

Although recent surveillance studies have identified *Leptospira* spp. infection in cattle [8] and horses [9] in South Africa, there are no recent published surveillance data describing the prevalence and diversity of *Leptospira* infections in rats in urban environments. Therefore, we aimed to (i) identify the prevalence of *Leptospira* spp. infection in urban rats in Johannesburg, South Africa's largest inland metropole, (ii) characterise the genetic diversity of both *Leptospira* spp. infections and their rodent hosts across the metropole, and (iii) contrast these results to previously identified *Leptospira* infections [7] and *R. norvegicus* hosts from Cape Town, South Africa's largest coastal metropole.

Methods

Sample selection and Rattus spp. typing

We sampled 171 *Rattus* spp. collected across the 7 administrative regions of the City of Johannesburg municipality (Fig. 1) as part of a plague (*Yersinia pestis*) surveillance Page 2 of 9

program between January and May 2016. Johannesburg is an inland, high-elevation (~1700 m) site that is characterised by cold, dry winters and warm, wet summers (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Invasive Rattus spp. were presumptively identified based on morphology (weight and tail to body length ratio). Rattus spp. >250 g and with tail/body < 1 were classified as R. norvegicus. Animals with equivocal morphological measurements (n=32) and a subset (n=10) of *R. norvegicus* with unequivocal morphological measurements were further genotyped by cyt b gene characterisation as previously described [6]. DNA extractions were performed on kidney samples using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit on a QIAcube system (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. For comparison, R. norvegicus samples (n=12)collected during an outbreak of human leptospirosis in a correctional facility in Cape Town [7] also underwent cyt b characterisation. Cytochrome b PCR products were purified as previously described [6] and sequenced at the core Sanger sequencing facility at the University of Pretoria.

Leptospira spp. detection and prevalence estimation

Leptospira spp. infections were detected using a diagnostic real-time PCR targeting 300 bp of the lfb1 gene and incorporating melt curve analysis to identify infecting Leptospira species [10]. As the standard *lfb1* primers may underestimate the prevalence of L. borgpetersenii infections and L. interrogans-L. borgpetersenii mixed infections may occur [11], samples which initially tested negative, as well as samples (n = 12) from Cape Town, 8 of which had previously been identified as infected with L. interrogans [7], were retested using a L. borgpetersenii species-specific forward primer [11]. All real-time PCR assays were performed as previously described [7, 11]. Prevalence estimates and logit confidence intervals were estimated using the binom package (https://cran.r-project.org/packa ge=binom) and mapped using the ggmap package (https ://cran.r-project.org/package=ggmap). The prevalence estimates from the six regions in which more than 10 animals were sampled were compared using Chi-square tests. All analyses were performed in R version 3.6.1.

Genotyping of Leptospira spp. infections

To confirm the *Leptospira* species classification based on melt curve analysis [10], we sequenced a subset (n=37)

of the *lfb1* amplicons. Although the *lfb1* locus has been demonstrated to provide valuable phylogenetic data [12], these initial sequences from samples collected across five regions revealed no sequence polymorphisms. Therefore, we subsequently sequenced additional loci, secY (~450 bp) (n=13) and *lipL41* (~500 bp) (n=5), from a subset of samples to increase the resolution of the molecular typing and allow identification of the presumptive serogroup. Similarly, infections in *R. norvegicus* in Cape Town previously identified as L. interrogans by sequencing of the *lfb1* amplicon [7] were further typed by sequencing secY (n=3) and intergenic regions MST1, MST3 and MST9 (n=3) [13] to determine whether further genetic resolution was possible. Primer pairs secYFd/secYR3 and lipL41F3/lipL41R3 were used to amplify secY and lipL41 [14] and MST1, MST3 and MST9 were amplified using published primers [13] on a Techne TC5000 system (Techne Inc., Burlington, USA). The total reaction volume of 25 μ l consisted of 5 μ l of DNA extraction, primer concentrations of 0.5 μ M, 12.5 μ l of MyTaq red mix 2× (Bioline Reagents Ltd., London, UK) and 5.5 µl of molecular grade water. A "touchdown" thermal profile comprising initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 20 s, variable annealing for 25 s and extension at 72 °C for 40 s, with a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min was performed. The



annealing temperature was reduced from 60 °C to 50 °C over the first 10 cycles and then maintained at 46 °C. Each PCR run included a negative control (molecular grade water) for every 4 samples, and a positive control (*L. borgpetersenii* strain 201501056 for *L. borgpetersenii* specific assays and *L. interrogans* strain 201501067 for all other assays). *Leptospira* PCR products were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instructions, with a final elution in 35 µl. The purified product was quantified using a Nanodrop ND1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and sequenced by Eurofins Genomics GmbH (Ebersburg, Germany).

Phylogenetic analyses of *Rattus* spp. cytochrome *b* sequences and *Leptospira* spp. multi-locus sequences

Sequences for each locus were aligned using the ClustalW algorithm and the most appropriate evolutionary model determined using MEGA7 [15]. Cytochrome *b* sequences from previous studies of *Rattus* spp. in South Africa [6] were used as reference sequences. *Leptospira* reference sequences were obtained by querying sequences against the NCBI refseq_genome database using the BLASTn algorithm limited to *Leptospira* (taxid 171) belonging to the two species (*L. interrogans* and *L. borgpetersenii*) identified in this study. Aligned BLAST hits for each locus were linked by NCBI Biosample accession and representative sequences for each *Leptospira* species and serovar combination selected as reference sequences.

To characterise *Leptospira* spp. genetic diversity, multilocus phylogenetic analyses were implemented in BEAST v2.6.0 [16] using each locus as a separate partition with unlinked substitution models and linked clocks (strict) and trees. The most appropriate substitution models as determined by model test in MEGA7 [15] were used for each locus. Multi-locus analyses were run using a chain length of 1×10^7 and sampled every 1×10^3 runs with a 'burn-in' of 10%. TRACER v1.7.1 [17] was used to verify that the effective sample size (ESS) was greater than 200 and TREEANNOTATOR v2.6.0 was used to generate a maximum clade credibility tree using mean node heights annotated by posterior probabilities greater than 0.9. Trees were annotated using the R package *ggtree* [18].

Results

Rattus spp. genetic typing and distribution

In Johannesburg, cyt *b* typing identified 98% (41/42) of the typed rodent samples as *R. norvegicus* and one (with equivocal morphological measurements) as *R. rattus* (Fig. 2). The majority of *R. norvegicus* from Johannesburg clustered with the RN01 (n=27), the only *R. norvegicus* haplotype identified in this study that has previously been

detected in South Africa [6]. The second most common haplotype, RN13 (n=9), is associated with laboratory *R. norvegicus* strains and animals captured in Japan. These two haplotypes demonstrated distinct geographical associations within the Johannesburg metropole, with RN01 restricted to regions A, C and G and RN13 restricted to regions B and E (Fig. 2, inset). Two further samples were identified as two new haplotypes (RN16 and RN17), closely related to RN01 and RN03. The remaining samples (n=3) clustered with a laboratory strain haplotype (RN09). The single *R. rattus* sample clustered with RR04, a haplotype previously detected in South Africa [6]. All *R. norvegicus* from Cape Town shared a haplotype associated with rats from Denmark (RN11). This represents the first record of this haplotype in South Africa.

Leptospira spp. prevalence and genetic diversity

Across Johannesburg, Leptospira was detected in 44% (75/171) of samples and in 86% (6/7) of the metropole's administrative regions (Fig. 3a). In the region (F) where no infection was detected, only 3 animals were sampled. In the remaining six regions, in which between 18 and 53 animals were sampled, prevalence ranged from 16-72% (Additional file 2: Table S1 and Figure S2) and the difference in prevalence was significant ($\chi^2 = 16.68$, df = 5, P = 0.005). Melt curve analysis confirmed L. borgpeterse*nii* in all positive samples, including that from the only *R*. *rattus* identified. Although the standard *lfb1* primers [10] identified 81% (61/75) of positive samples, the remaining 14 L. borgpetersenii-positive samples were only identified using the L. borgpetersenii-specific forward primer [11]. Notably, no animals were found to be infected with L. interrogans in Johannesburg. Multi-locus phylogenetic analysis based on sequencing *lfb1*, *secY* and *lipL41* confirmed the presence of a single genotype of L. borgpetersenii identical to strains belonging to serovar Ceylonica (serogroup Javanica) (Fig. 3b).

Further molecular typing and phylogenetic analysis of the *L. interrogans* infections identified in *R. norvegicus* in Cape Town (Fig. 4) confirmed previous results, based on *lfb1* sequencing, that identified a single genotype consistent with strains belonging to serovars Copenhagenii/ Icterohaemorrhagiae (serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae) [7]. However, application of the *L. borgpetersenii*-specific *lfb1* assay to these samples revealed the presence of a single *L. interrogans-L. borgpetersenii* mixed infection with the *L. borgpetersenii lfb1* sequence identical to those detected in Johannesburg (Additional file 3: Figure S3).

Discussion

We identified a high prevalence of infection with a clonal *L. borgpetersenii* genotype in a diverse urban *R. norvegicus* community in Johannesburg, South Africa's largest

metropole. The spatial structuring exhibited by the two most common *R. norvegicus* haplotypes and evidence for the presence of at least four haplotypes not previously detected in South Africa suggest that the *R. norvegicus* community in Johannesburg is a result of multiple invasion events. The most common haplotype (RN01), along with two new haplotypes (RN16 and RN17), was genetically similar to haplotypes previously identified in Johannesburg and Durban [6], a coastal city on South Africa's east coast. However, all the haplotypes identified in Johannesburg were distinct from the single haplotype identified in Cape Town. Further *Rattus* spp. genotyping, both within Johannesburg and nationally, would be valuable to better understand the invasion history of these key hosts.

Despite the heterogenous R. norvegicus community identified in Johannesburg, we identified a single clonal Leptospira genotype consistent with L. borgpetersenii serogroup Javanica in *R. norvegicus* across the metropole and in the only R. rattus identified in this study. Although clonality is inferred based on sequencing a limited number of loci, for each of these phylogenetically informative loci [12] multiple samples were sequenced and no genetic variation was noted. A similar lack of genetic diversity in L. borgpetersenii serogroup Javanica strains in Rattus spp. hosts has been noted across Malaysia using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), the gold standard for genotyping of *Leptospira* [20]. Moreover, the *lfb1* sequence from a single L. borgpetersenii infection identified as part of a mixed infection in Cape Town was also consistent with L. borgpetersenii lfb1 sequences identified in Johannesburg suggesting that, as in Malaysia [20], this strain may be widely distributed in South Africa. However, as this infection was identified as part of a mixed infection, further genotyping at additional loci was not possible.

The lack of L. interrogans in Rattus spp. in Johannesburg is notable, given the widely recognised host-pathogen association between Rattus spp. and serogroups in this species [21] and recent evidence that *L. interrogans* is found in R. norvegicus in Cape Town [7]. It is possible that, despite the complex invasion history suggested by R. norvegicus haplotyping, none of the R. norvegicus introduced to Johannesburg were infected with L. interrogans. However, even where studies have demonstrated significant levels of L. borgpetersenii infection in Rattus spp., L. interrogans was the more commonly detected species [20]. Leptospira interrogans and L. borgpeterse*nii* differ in their ability to survive in the environment [22] and field studies in rodent hosts suggest that warm, moist environments favour the transmission of L. interrogans while environmental conditions are less important for the transmission of L. borgpetersenii [23, 24]. Therefore, it is more likely that the environmental conditions



in Johannesburg (cold, dry winters) explains the absence of *L. interrogans*, whereas environmental conditions in Cape Town (coastal, temperate conditions with wet winters and warm summers) allow the transmission of both *Leptospira* species.

Within Johannesburg, there were significant differences in the prevalence of infection between administrative regions, consistent with previous findings of high variance in infection prevalence in urban *R. norvegicus* in Copenhagen, Denmark [25]. Previous studies have suggested a link between increased *L. borgpetersenii* prevalence in rodent hosts and urban areas characterised by mixed residential and commercial use in Malaysian Borneo [23]. In this study, the region with the lowest prevalence (region B) includes upmarket residential areas and business districts with less habitat heterogeneity than



other regions, which include a mix of residential, business and industrial areas, large informal settlements and semi-rural areas. In this study, spatial resolution below the level of regions was not possible. Therefore, further work is required to identify the drivers of spatial variation in *Leptospira* prevalence in *Rattus* spp. in urban areas in South Africa.

Although the prevalence of *Leptospira* spp. infection in *Rattus* spp. varies widely in Africa [4], the prevalence (44%, 75/171) identified here, and in a previous study



undertaken in Cape Town [7], are amongst the highest identified in similar contexts in the region. For example, the prevalence noted in this surveillance study is similar to that identified in surveillance-based sampling undertaken in urban Antananarivo in Madagascar (49%, 47/96) [26], while the prevalence (67%, 8/12) identified in *R. norvegicus* during an outbreak in Cape Town [7] is similar to that identified during an outbreak investigation (68%, 17/25) undertaken in Reunion Island [27].

Conclusions

In South Africa, as in other African countries, leptospirosis is likely underdiagnosed and the public health risk attributable to leptospirosis in South Africa may be as high as in any other country in the region. The presumptive serogroup identified in this study (*L. borgpetersenii* serogroup Javanica) has been implicated in human leptospirosis across the Asia Pacific region [28, 29]. Therefore, identification and typing of human infections in Johannesburg is urgently needed to determine whether this serogroup is implicated in human cases of leptospirosis in South Africa's largest metropole. Moreover, as transmission [22–24] and virulence [3] may differ between *L. interrogans* and *L. borgpetersenii*, the contrast between

the *L. borgpetersenii*-dominated system in Johannesburg and other sites, such as Cape Town, represents a unique opportunity for understanding the differences in the ecology and epidemiology of these important pathogenic *Leptospira* species.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13071-020-04444-0.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. The Köppen-Geiger climate classification zones for South Africa at 0.0083° resolution. Johannesburg falls within the Cwb bioclimatic zone, characterised by dry, cold winters and warm, wet summers and Cape Town falls within the Csb zone, characterised by wet winters and warm summers.

Additional file 2: Table S1. The prevalence of *Leptospira* infection in *Rattus* spp. in the seven administrative regions of the City of Johannesburg and in *R. norvegicus* tested during a leptospirosis outbreak investigation in the City of Cape Town. Figure S2. The spatial distribution of regional prevalence across the seven administrative regions of the City of Johannesburg. Region F, where only three animals were tested is excluded from the analysis

Additional file 3: Figure S3. Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. borgpetersenii lfb1* sequences (167 bp) implemented using the Jukes-Cantor evolutionary model. Figure S4. Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. borgpetersenii secY* sequences (433bp) implemented using the Jukes-Cantor evolutionary model. Figure S5. Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. borgpetersenii lipL41* sequences (594 bp) implemented using the Jukes-Cantor evolutionary model. Figure S6. Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. interrogans lfb1* sequences (261 bp) implemented using the Jukes-Cantor substitution model. **Figure S7.** Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. interrogans secY* sequences (433 bp) implemented using the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano evolutionary model (4) substitution model. **Figure S8.** Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. interrogans* MST1 sequences (174 bp) implemented using the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano evolutionary model (4) substitution model. **Figure S9.** Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. interrogans* MST3 sequences (220 bp) implemented using the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano evolutionary model (4) with a gamma distribution (4 categories). **Figure S10.** Maximum clade credibility tree based on *L. interrogans* MST9 sequences (204 bp) implemented using the Jukes-Cantor evolutionary model.

Abbreviations

PCR: polymerase chain reaction; DNA: deoxyribonucleic acid; NCBI: National Center for Biotechnology Information.

Acknowledgements

We thank the staff of the City of Johannesburg (Environmental Health) and National Institute for Communicable Diseases (NICD) for undertaking rodent capture, sampling and dissections and the City of Johannesburg Corporate Geo-Informatics for administrative region shapefiles.

Authors' contributions

MM, KN, JR and JF conceived the project. MM and KN carried out *Leptospira* spp.-related laboratory work. AB and LR carried out cytochrome *b Rattus* spp. genotyping. JR and ST provided supervision. MM performed the data analysis and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was made possible by a Royal Society for Tropical Medicine and Hygiene small grant (MM, Grant Number GR000556), by a Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) PhD studentship (MM, Grant Number BB/M010996/1) and by support from the Wellcome Trust (MM, Grant Number 216634/Z/19/Z). Rodent genotyping was funded through the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Cooperative Agreement (AB, Grant Number 5 NU2GGH001874-02-00) and a Facility Grant (UID78566) from the National Research Foundation of South Africa.

Availability of data and materials

Cytochrome *b* sequence data have been deposited in GenBank with accession numbers MH794408-MH794461. *Leptospira* sequence data have been deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers MH795453-MH795520.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Animals were captured and euthanized in accordance with the protocol approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the National Health Laboratory Service (protocol number 131/11) and Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Section 20 approval (reference number 12/11/1/1/8).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK.
² National Institute for Communicable Diseases, Division of National Health Laboratory Service, Johannesburg, South Africa. ³ Department of Zoology and Entomology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa. ⁴ Wits Research Institute for Malaria, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa.

Received: 22 July 2020 Accepted: 30 October 2020 Published online: 11 November 2020

References

- Costa F, Hagan JE, Calcagno J, Kane M, Torgerson P, Martinez-silveira MS, et al. Global morbidity and mortality of leptospirosis: a systematic review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e0003898.
- Torgerson PR, Hagan JE, Costa F, Calcagno J, Kane M, Martinez-Silveira MS, et al. Global burden of leptospirosis: estimated in terms of Disability Adjusted Life Years. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015;9:e0004122.
- Picardeau M. Virulence of the zoonotic agent of leptospirosis: still terra incognita? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2017;15:297–307.
- Boey K, Shiokawa K, Rajeev S. *Leptospira* infection in rats: a literature review of global prevalence and distribution. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007499.
- Costa F, Ribeiro GS, Felzemburgh RDM, Santos N, Reis RB, Santos AC, et al. Influence of household rat infestation on *Leptospira* transmission in the urban slum environment. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8:e3338.
- Bastos AD, Nair D, Taylor PJ, Brettschneider H, Kirsten F, Mostert E, et al. Genetic monitoring detects an overlooked cryptic species and reveals the diversity and distribution of three invasive *Rattus* congeners in South Africa. BMC Genet. 2011;12:26.
- Naidoo K, Moseley M, McCarthy K, Chingonzoh R, Lawrence C, Setshedi GM, et al. Fatal rodentborne leptospirosis in prison inmates, South Africa, 2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26:1033–5.
- Hesterberg UW, Bagnall R, Perrett K, Bosch B, Horner R, Gummow B. A serological prevalence survey of leptospirosis in cattle of rural communities in the province of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. J S Afr Vet Assoc. 2008;79:15–8.
- Simbizi V, Saulez MN, Potts A, Lötter C, Gummow B. A study of leptospirosis in South African horses and associated risk factors. Prev Vet Med. 2016;134:6–15.
- Merien F, Portnoi D, Bourhy P, Charavay F, Berlioz-Arthaud A, Baranton G. A rapid and quantitative method for the detection of *Leptospira* species in human leptospirosis. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2005;249:139–47.
- Moseley M, Rahelinirina S, Rajerison M, Garin B, Piertney S, Telfer S. Mixed Leptospira infections in a diverse reservoir host community, Madagascar, 2013–2015. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24:1137–9.
- Perez J, Goarant C. Rapid *Leptospira* identification by direct sequencing of the diagnostic PCR products in New Caledonia. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10:325.
- Zilber AL, Picardeau M, Ayral F, Artois M, Demont P, Kodjo A, et al. High-resolution typing of *Leptospira interrogans* strains by multispacer sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol. 2014;52:564–71.
- Dietrich M, Wilkinson DA, Soarimalala V, Goodman SM, Dellagi K, Tortosa P. Diversification of an emerging pathogen in a biodiversity hotspot: *Leptospira* in endemic small mammals of Madagascar. Mol Ecol. 2014;23:2783–96.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;33:1870–4. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054.
- Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, et al. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Comput Biol. 2014;10:e1003537.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst Biol. 2018;67:901– 4. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syy032.
- Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TTY. Ggtree: an R package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. Methods Ecol Evol. 2017;8(1):28–36. https://doi. org/10.1111/2041-210X.12628.
- Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano Yano T-A. Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Evol. 1985;22(2):160– 74. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02101694.
- Benacer D, Mohd Zain SN, Sim SZ, Mohd Khalid MKN, Galloway RL, Souris M, et al. Determination of *Leptospira borgpetersenii* serovar Javanica and *Leptospira interrogans serovar* Bataviae as the persistent *Leptospira* serovars circulating in the urban rat populations in peninsular Malaysia. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9(1):117. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1400-1.
- Bharti AR, Nally JE, Ricaldi JN, Matthias MA, Diaz MM, Lovett MA, et al. Leptospirosis: a zoonotic disease of global importance. Lancet Infect Dis. 2003;3(12):757–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(03)00830-2.

- Blasdell KR, Morand S, Perera D, Firth C. Association of rodent-borne Leptospira spp. with urban environments in Malaysian Borneo. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13(2):1–17. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007141.
- 24. Cosson J-F, Picardeau M, Mielcarek M, Tatard C, Chaval Y, Suputtamongkol Y, et al. Epidemiology of *Leptospira* transmitted by rodents in Southeast Asia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2014;8(6):e2902. https://doi.org/10.1371/journ al.pntd.0002902.
- Krøjgaard LH, Villumsen S, Markussen MDK, Jensen JS, Leirs H, Heiberg A-C. High prevalence of *Leptospira* spp. in sewer rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). Epidemiol Infect. 2009;137(11):1586–92. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950 268809002647.
- 26. Rahelinirina S, Léon A, Harstskeerl RA, Sertour N, Ahmed A, Raharimanana C, et al. First isolation and direct evidence for the existence of large

small-mammal reservoirs of *Leptospira* sp. in Madagascar. PLoS ONE. 2010;5(11):e14111. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0014111.

- Pagès F, Larrieu S, Simoes J, Lenabat P, Kurtkowiak B, Guernier V, et al. Investigation of a leptospirosis outbreak in triathlon participants, Réunion Island, 2013. Epidemiol Infect. 2016;144(3):661–9. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0950268815001740.
- Victoriano AFB, Smythe LD, Gloriani-Barzaga N, Cavinta LL, Kasai T, Limpakarnjanarat K, et al. Leptospirosis in the Asia Pacific region. BMC Infect Dis. 2009;9:147. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-9-147.
- Benacer D, Thong KL, Verasahib KB, Galloway RL, Hartskeerl RA, Lewis JW, et al. Human leptospirosis in Malaysia: reviewing the challenges after 8 decades (1925–2012). Asia Pac J Public Health. 2016;28(4):290–302. https ://doi.org/10.1177/1010539516640350.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

