RESEARCH

Parasites & Vectors



Host-pathogen associations revealed by genotyping of European strains of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* to describe natural endemic cycles

Julia Fröhlich^{1†}, Susanne Fischer^{2*†}, Benjamin Bauer³, Dietmar Hamel⁴, Barbara Kohn⁵, Marion Ahlers⁶, Anna Obiegala⁷, Evelyn Overzier¹, Martin Pfeffer⁷, Kurt Pfister¹, Cristian Răileanu², Steffen Rehbein⁴, Jasmin Skuballa⁸ and Cornelia Silaghi²

Abstract

Background The zoonotic intracellular alpha-proteobacterium *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* is a tick-transmitted pathogen. The associations between vertebrate reservoirs and vectors are described as wide-ranging, and it was previously shown that the pathogenicity of *A. phagocytophilum* differs depending on the combination of pathogen variant and infected host species. This leads to the question of whether there are variations in particular gene loci associated with different virulence. Therefore, this study aims at clarifying existing host-variant combinations and detecting possible reservoir hosts. To understand these interactions, a complex toolset for molecular epidemiology, phylogeny and network theory was applied.

Methods Sequences of up to four gene loci (*msp4*, *msp2*, *groEL* and *16S* rRNA) were evaluated for different isolates from variable host species, including, for example, dogs, cattle and deer. Variant typing was conducted for each gene locus individually, and combinations of different gene loci were analysed to gain more detailed information about the genetic plasticity of *A. phagocytophilum*. Results were displayed as minimum spanning nets and correlation nets.

Results The highest diversity of variants for all gene loci was observed in roe deer. In cattle, a reduced number of variants for 16S rRNA [only 16S-20(W) and 16S-22(Y)] but multiple variants of *msp4* and *groEL* were found. For dogs, two *msp4* variants [m4-20 and m4-2(B/C)] were found to be linked to different variants of the other three gene loci, creating two main combinations of gene loci variants. Cattle are placed centrally in the minimum spanning net analyses, indicating a crucial role in the transmission cycles by possibly bridging the vector-wildlife cycle to infections of humans and domestic animals. The minimum spanning nets confirmed previously described epidemiological cycles of the bacterium in Europe, showing separation of variants originating from wildlife animals only and a set of variants shared by wild and domestic animals.

⁺Julia Fröhlich and Susanne Fischer contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Susanne Fischer Susanne.Fischer@fli.de Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Conclusions In this comprehensive study of 1280 sequences, we found a high number of gene variants only occurring in specific hosts. Additionally, different hosts show unique but also shared variant combinations. The use of our four gene loci expand the knowledge of host–pathogen interactions and may be a starting point to predict future spread and infection risks of *A. phagocytophilum* in Europe.

Keywords Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Epidemiological cycles, Host-variant combinations, Sequence networks

Background

Anaplasma phagocytophilum is a zoonotic intracellular alpha-proteobacterium transmitted by ixodid ticks. The main vector in Europe is the exophilic hard tick Ixodes ricinus [1]. Anaplasma phagocytophilum causes granulocytic anaplasmosis in humans, horses, dogs and cats and tick-borne fever (TBF) in ruminants [2, 3]. Within I. ricinus, transstadial but not transovarial transmission has been described [4]. Thus, the transmission dynamics of A. phagocytophilum predominantly rely on horizontal transmission between ticks and vertebrate hosts [5]. This also indicates that there are complex evolutionary pressures on A. phagocytophilum, as the interactions between vertebrate reservoirs and vectors are numerous, and also has a impact on the number of genetic variants found in A. phagocytophilum [6]. To date, it remains a challenge to unravel the complex epidemiological cycles of A. phagocytophilum and to define reservoir hosts [5]. In general, the distinction between A. phagocytophilum strains has been focused on host level and specific genetic loci, such as the 16S ribosomal RNA gene (16S rRNA). A division in genetic variants derived from ruminant and non-ruminant hosts was proposed previously and confirmed by several studies [7-10]. Studies on the spatial distribution of A. phagocytophilum genotypes have also been conducted; for example, in Asia a common cycle for ruminant livestock and small rodents based on the 16S rRNA and the partial p44ESup1 genes was proposed [11]. Spatial studies carried out in North America detected two main variants, also based on the 16S rRNA of A. phagocytophilum [12, 13], with both strains differing in their pathogenicity for humans. While white-footed mice (Peromyscus leucopus) are suspected to carry the human pathogenic strain AP-ha, white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) are suspected to carry variant AP-Variant-1 which is less pathogenic to humans [7, 14]. In Europe, the epidemiological cycles are not completely understood. Hosts such as red deer (Cervus elaphus) and roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) have been suspected to be reservoir hosts of A. phagocytophilum, but are not yet clearly identified [5, 15]. A European study suggested two separate cycles for A. phagocytophilum in Europe, namely driven by ticks and either rodents or ruminants, on the basis of analysing the 16S rRNA, major surface protein 4 (*msp4*) and the *DOV1* (a noncoding region) gene [16]. The results of a study from Jahfari et al. [17] suggested four geographically distinct ecotypes of A. phagocytophilum in Europe based on comparison of groEL (heat shock protein) sequences. This finding was further developed in a global approach by Jaarsma et al. [6], confirming the four previously distinguished ecotypes from wild and domestic animals, among others from European hedgehogs, wood mice, mouflons, sheep, roe deer, badgers and foxes [6, 17]. The authors of former studies concluded that the sequence variability at one locus might not be sufficient to determine the genetic diversity of a certain A. phagocytophilum strain in total [18, 19]. Multilocus sequence typing with a different number of loci was previously conducted to gain more detailed information on those pathogens [10, 15, 20, 21]. By analysing partial 16S rRNA, groEL, msp4 and/or msp2 (major surface protein 2) genes of A. phagocytophilum, our group previously provided information on diverse variants of these genes occurring in multiple animal species in Europe, such as goats, cattle, horses, dogs, wild ungulates and hedgehogs [22-26].

This study aims to summarize all of these previous reports and to present new approaches for analysing samples of *A. phagocytophilum* in Europe. Therefore, we (i) genotyped and characterized samples of the four partial genes (*16S* rRNA, *groEL*, *msp4*, *msp2*) of *A. phagocytophilum* from different mammalian host animals and (ii) conducted network analyses on the relationships of *A. phagocytophilum* variants derived from different host species.

Methods

Dataset composition

Over a period of more than 13 years, a large dataset of sequences of the four partial genes (*16S* rRNA, *msp2*, *msp4* and *groEL*) of *A. phagocytophilum* has been obtained, which includes a wide range of host species. This dataset was compiled applying three different strategies, with samples originating from (i) positive samples detected within the present or (ii) previous studies from our group and (iii) from additional sequences downloaded from GenBank. In general, all wildlife samples were screened for *A. phagocytophilum*, and all livestock and companion animals were investigated based on a

clinical suspicion or epidemiological link to confirmed cases of anaplasmosis. We obtained a total of 1280 sequences (Fig. 1). All accession numbers of the included sequences can be found in Additional file 1: Table S1.

DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

A total of 356 tissue samples from wild animals were available for screening in this study. From each sample from wild ruminants, we extracted 10-15 g of spleen (in 1 case, the liver; see Table 1) using the High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit[®] (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), and from each sample from red foxes, we extracted DNA from spleen samples using the QIAmp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), following the respective manufacturer's protocol in both cases. Quality and quantity of the extracted DNA were measured on a full-spectrum (220-750 nm) spectrophotometer (NanoDrop[®] ND-1000; PegLab Biotechnologie GmbH, Erlangen, Germany). For further use in PCR assays, the extracted DNA was diluted to a concentration of < 130 ng/µl to prevent false negatives. All PCR assays were performed as described in this section. Primers and probes are shown in Additional file 2: Table S2.

All 356 DNA extracts from this study were screened for a fragment of the *msp2* gene by real-time PCR [27].

Table 1 Ar	naplasma	phagocytop	<i>hilum</i> -posit	ive sp	leen sai	mples
detected b	y real-time	PCR in the	oresent stud	dy		

Animal species	Number of samples	Number of positive samples (%)
Roe deer	16	13 (81.3)
Red deer ^a	37	13 (35.1)
Sika deer	17	13 (76.5)
Fallow deer	7	5 (71.4)
Chamois	7	4 (57.1)
Red fox	260	34 (13.1)
Wild boar	12	1 (8.3)
Total	356	83 (23.3)

^a One of these samples was from the liver (tested positive), not the spleen

For all positive samples, Sanger sequencing was performed following the different PCR assays. For partial *16S* rRNA gene sequences, a nested PCR with a final amplicon size of 546 bp [28] was used, of which 497 bp was used for variant definition. For the *groEL* gene sequences, a hemi-nested PCR was performed according to Alberti et al. [29], with a resulting amplicon of 573 bp in length, of which 530 bp were used for variant comparison analyses. A nested PCR was also used for targeting the partial *msp4* gene according to Bown et al.



Fig. 1 Workflow for the data sampling, preparation, sequencing, compilation and analysis steps performed in the present study. *groEL*, Heat shock protein operon; *16S* rRNA, *16S* ribosomal RNA gene, *msp4/msp2*, major surface protein 2/major surface protein 4 genes

[18], with a 340-bp amplicon used for analyses in the present study. To target the partial msp2 gene (893 bp), we used a conventional PCR assay [30]. All PCR products were purified with the QIAquick PCR Purification Kit® (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quality and quantity of the purified PCR products were determined with a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop[®] ND-1000; PeqLab Biotechnologie GmbH). After purification, sequencing of the PCR amplicons was performed by Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany). In the case of nested PCR protocols, the product of the second PCR and the inner primers were chosen for sequencing. The chromatograms of the sequences were analysed and evaluated with Chromas Lite[®] (Technelysium Pty. Ltd, South Brisbane, Australia; http://www.technelysium.com.au). The forward and reverse sequences of the samples were assembled and a contiguous sequence was generated.

GenBank data

For spanning net analyses, genetically comparable sequences from the NCBI database GenBank (http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) were chosen and downloaded by screening the database for "*Anaplasma phagocytophilum*" and the four genes examined in this study. The selection included sequences from the same gene region and of the same length as the newly sequenced samples. Altogether, 531 sequences with known animal species origin were downloaded from GenBank and used for comparison. The sequences originated from Europe, North America and Asia.

Data compilation and naming of sequences

For every partial gene, the sequences determined in this study were named according to the abbreviation of the respective partial genes (*16S* rRNA: "16S-", *groEL*: "g-", *msp2*: "m2-", *msp4*: "m4-") and a numerical sequence. The letter in brackets refers to a nomenclature given in previous studies by our group [22–24, 31–33]. The pre-processed alignment was conducted with ClustalW [34] in MegaX [35]. For ease of analysis, nucleotide sequences from GenBank that did not match with a sequenced variant from the above-mentioned previous studies, were considered as "additional" variants and newly named ("16S-nm", "g-nm", "m4-nm", "m2-nm"), where the letters "nm" stand for "no match"; in addition, they were also enumerated (e.g. "16S-nm1").

Data analyses

Net graphics were conducted with R [36] and were created under the R packages igraph [37] and ggplot2 [38]. Minimum spanning nets for distance were constructed in SplitsTree [39, 40] under a Kimura-2-parameter model [41]. This evolutionary analysis produces connections of the input sequences without introducing additional ancestral nodes.

Results

Detection of host-gene variant combinations

In total we analysed 749 sequences extracted from our tissue samples and 531 sequences from additional samples in GenBank (Fig. 1). Of these 1280 sequences, 89 *msp2*, 692 *16S* rRNA, 234 *msp4* and 265 *groEL* were analysed. We found 40 different *msp2* variants in 14 host species, 51 different *16S* rRNA variants in 20 host species, 72 different *msp4* variants in 18 host species and 67 different *groEL* variants in 20 host species.

For the 749 sequences originating from our own tissue samples group, we obtained a total of 16 msp2, 23 16S rRNA, 50 msp4 and 33 groEL variants; for 52 samples we were able to sequence all four genes (Additional file 2: Table S3). At 98.4%, the variants from the 16S rRNA locus have the highest similarity in this dataset, followed by 95.9% for groEL, 87.9% for msp4 and only 67.1% for msp2. Most samples from the previous studies performed by our group (n=428) originated from Germany. From the newly generated sequences, we obtained sequences for all four gene loci of 52 A. phagocytophilum-positive samples. For an additional 48 and 70 samples, three and two different gene loci, respectively, were sequenced. Details can be found in Additional file 2: Table S3. For the phylogenetic network analyses, the complete dataset of different variants per loci were used. For the 531 GenBank sequences, the origin was also known, with 16S rRNA and msp2 sequences originating predominately from the USA, while most groEL and msp4 sequences originated from Europe.

Occurrence of gene variant combinations

To show all known connections of variants of all four sequenced genes within individual hosts the data subset from Additional file 2: Table S3 was used. Analyses were conducted for dog, cattle and roe deer (Fig. 2).

For dogs, two different *msp4* variants (m4-20 and m4-2(B/C)) occurred (Fig. 2). 16S-2(B), g-2(B) and m2-2(a) were directly connected to each other and to no other variants of these genes, but they were connected to both *msp4* variants. 16S-1(A) and g-1(A) were also specifically combined. We observed that the 16S-1(A) variant also occurred in combination with the two different major surface proteins [*msp4*: m4-2(B/C), m4-20; *msp2*: m2-6(f)] in six dogs, but also in one horse, 26 hedgehogs and two foxes (Additional file 2: Table S3). Samples from cattle were similar, with two distinct sequence variant combinations in 15 examined animals, but other combinations also occurred. All available variant combinations



Fig. 2 The net for all connections of all variants of the four sequenced genes. Node' diameters correlate with the number of sequences of the indicated variant in the samples. Colours indicate each of the different genes analysed, with purple indicating the *16S* rRNA variants; yellow, *msp2* variants; orange, *msp4* variants; and red, *groEL* variants. The width of the connecting lines represents the total number of specific connections for dog, cattle and roe deer, respectively. Labelling is explained in "Data compilation and naming of sequences" section

from cattle are shown in Fig. 2. Variant 16S-20(W) can be seen as the central connecting point; the only other 16S rRNA variant (16S-22(Y)) was located outside the main net and connected only with a msp4 variant (m4-13(N)) which connected to neither 16-20(W) nor the main net. Two different combinations of the other three genes can be detected [16S-20(W)/m2-3(b)/m4-14(O)/g-3(C) and 16S-20(W)/m2-26/m4-49/g-18(X)]. Wild animals, such as, for example, roe deer (Fig. 2), show a more diverse pattern of connections than the data presented for cattle and dogs. The most common variants of 16S rRNA, 16S-22(Y) and 16S-21(X), were both connected to the most frequent *msp4* variant [m4-13(N)]. The combination of 16S-22(Y), m4-13(N) and g-7(G) is the only one occurring more than once, but multiple single other variants and combinations can be detected.

Phylogenetic networks

Phylogenetic networks were calculated to reveal the relationship between the individual variants of each gene. To this end, exemplary sequences for each variant were chosen (Additional file 2: Tables S4, S5, S6, S7) and correlated with the related hosts. A list of all available *msp2* variants and their host allocation can be found in Additional file 2: Table S4. Evaluation of *msp2* sequences showed that variants from European ruminants do not match with GenBank sequences from the USA, with the exception of three sequences (Accession numbers: AY706393, AY706392, AY706393) [44–46].

For *16S* rRNA, 23 different variants were detected and combined with variants known from previous data (16S-nm), resulting in a total of 51 variants (Fig. 3; Additional file 2: Table S5). Each node represents one or multiple of these 51 variants, and the lines show its relative distance to the genetically nearest relative. The net is connected by inner nodes directly or indirectly connected to one another. All cattle *16S* rRNA variants (marked in pink in Fig. 3), i.e. 16S-20(W), 16S-22(Y) and 16S-21(X), are part of the inner net. In dogs, the *16S* rRNA variants (marked in blue) show a high variability. Roe deer variant sequences are distributed throughout the complete net, mostly directly or indirectly connected to one of the cattle variants.

For *msp4*, 72 different variants from this study and from GenBank data (m4-nm) were analysed (Fig. 4; Additional file 2: Table S6). The net of the *msp4* gene



Fig. 3 Minimum spanning net for distances on basis of a Kimura two-parameter (K2P) model corrected distance matrix from sequence alignment, showing the relations between the different *A. phagocytophilum 16S* rRNA variants determined from this study. The corresponding hosts and an exemplary sequence for each variant are given in Additional file 2: Table S5. Variants highlighted in pink include cattle as host, and those highlighted in blue include dogs as host. Circles with multiple names represent variants separated only by 1 ambiguous nucleotide. Labelling is explained in "Data compilation and naming of sequences" section

exists in two distinct parts, connected by the variant m4-36 (marked green) which is derived from a fallow deer (Fig. 4). The left part of the net contains only variants from wildlife hosts (except for a variant found in small ruminants [m4-nm10] and a variant from a horse [m4-18]). The right part of the net shows a diverse mixture of variants found in wild and/or domestic animals; all cattle variants (marked with pink circles) are connected in the centre of this part of the net. In dogs, only three different variants are reported so far (marked blue).

In total, 67 different *groEL* variants from this study and from GenBank were analysed (Additional file 2: Table S7). The net of the *groEL* gene has two distinct parts connected by the variant g-nm24 from an as-yet not further determined cervid sample (marked green) (Fig. 5). Variants associated with cattle, dog and domestic animals are found in the right part of the net exclusively, but those of different deer species and wildlife animals occur there as well. The left side of the net contains exclusively wild deer species (mostly roe deer) and rodents.

A concatenated dataset of 98 samples, including 16S rRNA, *msp4* and *groEL* sequences was generated. The

resulting net is divided in three groups, of which two are associated with different wildlife species and the third contains dog, cattle and horse samples from different European countries (Fig. 6). The centre of the net is dominated by variants derived from cattle (pink).

Discussion

To date, *A. phagocytophilum* has been detected in various wild and domestic hosts and a variety of different variants for several genetic markers was observed. The objective of this study was to assemble all individual results of genetic markers characterized in our group to expand current knowledge of variant-host associations for this pathogen. As stated earlier, modularity seems to be a key element in these constructs, and it characterizes the degree of interactions of variants from different gene loci, both among themselves but also with other genetic markers [6]. To unravel the complex circulation of *A. phagocytophilum* in Europe, we conducted multilocus analyses in combination with interactive network analyses to identify *A. phagocytophilum* lineages causing disease in ruminant and non-ruminant hosts [5].







Fig. 5 Minimum spanning net for distances on basis of a Kimura two-parameter (K2P) model corrected distance matrix from sequence alignment, showing the relations between the different *A. phagocytophilum groEL* variants determined from this study. The corresponding hosts and an exemplary sequence for each variant can be found in Additional file 2: Table S7. Variants highlighted in pink include cattle, and those highlighted in blue include dogs; the green circle shows variant g-nm24 connecting the two parts of the net. Labelling is explained in "Data compilation and naming of sequences" section



Fig. 6 Minimum spanning net for distances on basis of a Kimura two-parameter (K2P) corrected distance matrix from sequence alignment, showing the relations between concatenated sequences of 16S rRNA, msp4 and groEL variants. Variants highlighted in pink are derived from cattle. groEL, Heat shock protein operon; 16S rRNA, 16S ribosomal RNA gene, msp4 major surface protein 4 gene

Suitability of the different gene loci to describe hostpathogen associations

The first aim of this study was to genotype and characterize samples of four partial genes (16S rRNA, groEL, msp4, msp2) of A. phagocytophilum from different mammalian host animals. The suitability of these gene loci for analysis of the host-pathogen interactions varies. Previous studies showed msp2 to be a suitable marker for describing host-pathogen interaction [42]. Earlier studies also demonstrated that msp2, p44 and other genes form a polymorphic multigene family encoding for major outer membrane proteins of A. phagocytophilum. The relative expression ratios of these genes seem to vary depending on the host [30], which might ease the evasion of specific immune responses of the host by antigenic variation [43] and complicate the interpretation of evolutionary divergence or spontaneous recombination on a single gene level [44]. Also, sequencing seems to be challenging as our analyses could only contribute 71 new sequences. These findings led us to decide not to further process this locus in the phylogenetic variant net analyses in the present study. In contrast, we found 174 new sequences with 50 different variants of msp4, thereby confirming the described high variability of this gene locus [18]. Msp4 interacts with the immune system of vectors and hosts, potentially provoking faster evolution of new A. phagocytophilum strains due to higher selective pressure [45]. With the exception of variant m4-2(B/C), which was found in five different hosts, the msp4 variants were clearly separated between ruminants and nonruminants, confirming the results of a previous study by de la Fuente et al. who reported a different development of lineages specializing on ruminants and non-ruminants as hosts [46]. The most conserved gene locus in our analyses was 16S rRNA, especially given the large number of sequences (n=692). Although studies have distinguished between 16S rRNA variants specific to red deer and those specific to roe deer [47], 16S rRNA has been shown to not have enough discriminatory power to distinguish between distant lineages of A. phagocytophilum in Europe [5, 17, 23]. Additionally, our results confirmed that it is not sufficiently informative to use as a marker for evaluating the samples at specific host level, as equal variants were shared by diverse host species [9, 48]. For that reason, gene loci with a higher genetic variation of different nucleotide sequence patterns and therefore higher discriminatory power should be chosen [20]. Nevertheless, one of the most interesting variants is 16S-2(B), which was recently described to be a pathogenic variant for humans in the USA [14, 49] and also to infect various animal species, including dogs, horses, sheep, red deer and roe deer [9, 20, 50, 51]. This wide host range of the 16S-2(B) strain might reflect the high host adaptation skills of A. phagocytophilum. This was also confirmed in the present study in combination with variant m4-2(B/C), which occurred in several dogs and horses.

This variant and variant combinations thereof might be an indicator for a broad host tropism, possibly supporting the conclusion that the complex interactions of hosts and vectors drive the evolutionary pressure and lead to new variants [6]. Our analyses also pointed out that the groEL gene locus is more diverse than the 16S rRNA locus in terms of the number of occurring variants, but it is less diverse than *msp4* with regard to total number of variants. Variants g-1(A) and g-2(B) demonstrate a broad host tropism, with the majority of cases detected in domestic animals (Fig. 5). In addition, variant g-2(B) was associated with human infection in the past, detected in a Slovenian patient with a history of a tick bite [52]. The authors of a previous study revealed four distinct geographically dispersed ecotypes of the groEL locus, each with a significantly different host range in Europe [17]. On basis of these data, authors of earlier studies considered the groEL operon to be more suitable than the 16S rRNA locus for distinguishing different geographic and pathogenetic variants of A. phagocytophilum [5, 53, 54].

Evaluation of utilized methods and biases

All the results presented here are subject to a sampling bias because samples derived from hosts with obvious clinical symptoms and from wild animals represent convenience sampling, with a dependence on hunting regulations and season. The origin of many samples is Central Europe, but distribution is not equal throughout this area. In order to compensate for this sampling bias, we included additional A. phagocytophilum genes available from GenBank with diverse geographic origins in the analysis. The analyses of different partial genes in terms of genetic diversity is standard practice for the characterization of A. phagocytophilum. The current analyses support results and cycles that have been recently described and suggested. Nevertheless, extended analyses of a high number of genes or full genomes have been suggested recently [55, 56]. To achieve such analyses, a high number of host samples were tested by multilocus sequence typing, such as, for example, on goat samples [55], or a super tree was constructed on the basis of nine markers for French cattle variants [10]. Minimum spanning trees for cattle variants have also been produced recently [15]. Different analyses on single genes were conducted with the help of phylogenetic network-based methods to resolve host-vector interactions [6]. Referring to these previous studies, we have attempted to combine the multilocus approach (3 individual genes) with network analyses (minimum spanning nets for distances). We aimed at gaining additional information by these methods as data on variant analyses may not be tree-like since parallel evolution may occur due to the different evolutional cycles described for Europe. For this purpose, we combined net and multiple loci typing analyses. A minimum spanning net is easy to construct and far from being most parsimonious, but it is able to display sample sets differing in only a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and it is easy to update with the advent of additional samples [57]. Also, we tried to overcome recent limitations of pattern density by concatenating three gene loci (16S rRNA, *msp4* and *groEL*); unfortunately the dataset with available sequences for all three gene loci was only available for 98 samples (Fig. 6). However, this result suggests a cattle-associated cycle and confirms previous studies on the importance of this host species [10, 15, 33]. For future perspectives, not only would analysis based on larger patterns or even full genomes be favourable, but the samples should additionally provide an extensively distributed origin, leading to new and additional insights into the endemic cycles and molecular epidemiology of this pathogen in Europe.

Host selection of specific variants and pathogen life-cycle

As stated earlier, a high number of samples from this study originated from Germany, followed by other European countries and then by the USA. The existing spatial metadata are too heterogeneous for detailed phylogeographic analyses, and are neither balanced globally nor across continents. These limitations can be seen in the sampling bias, with overrepresentation of samples from Germany, and both hamper any possible interpretation of further geospatial analysis of variant-host connections and underline the need for more diverse sampling from different countries to fill this gap. The high number of investigated hosts prohibited individual evaluation of each host and, therefore, we focused our analyses on the hosts most commonly reported to be involved in the endemic life-cycles of A. phagocytophilum, namely dogs, due to their different clinical responses depending on the detected variant(s), and cattle, roe and red deer. For all of these hosts new sequences of different gene loci were created within the context of the present study, thus enhancing individual variant complexity and expanding current knowledge.

Dogs

In dogs, infections caused by *A. phagocytophilum* are mostly subclinical [58]. Nevertheless, it was previously hypothesized that different *16S* rRNA gene variants of *A. phagocytophilum* are involved in natural infections of dogs and that those might cause different clinical outcomes due to different levels of pathogenicity [32, 59]. In the present study, we predominantly sampled and further investigated samples from clinical cases, which may have introduced biased variant sampling. However, previous studies on canine blood donors revealed a 2.3% prevalence for A. phagocytophilum infection without clinical symptoms [60]. Figures 3 and 4 show seven different 16S rRNA variants in dogs and only three msp4 variants, which is contrary to the general variability of these gene loci. This finding may indicate the importance of considering 16S rRNA analyses in the detection of A. phagocytophilum variants from dogs. Variant 16S-2(B) belongs to the inner nodes of the 16S rRNA spanning net, whereas variant 16S-1(A) is not connected to the inner nodes directly but to 16S-2(B) (Fig. 3); this result indicates that the 16S-1(A) variant is a more specific dog variant, where 16S-2(B) bridges the relation to all other variants. As ticks and hosts have been found with both of these variants, it is not possible to identify where the separation between 16S-1 (A) and 16S-2(B) took place. This result is also supported by Fig. 2, which shows that only two msp4 variants are connected to cumulative different variant combinations of 16S rRNA, groEL and msp2. As stated previously, these findings should be evaluated within the context of further studies, especially those focusing on the interactions of variants and pathogenicity [59]. Finally, it appears that dogs play a neglectable role in the endemic transmission cycles of A. phagocytophi*lum*, which might only be interesting in urban areas [61], where its role needs to be delineated.

Cattle and deer and their role in life-cycles

Our results for cattle seem to indicate that cattle play a central role in the distribution and life-cycle of A. phagocytophilum, as visualized in the msp4 net (Fig. 4) and in the concatenated net (Fig. 6). These figures demonstrate that there is a connection between all cattle variants (marked with pink circles on graphs) in the centre of both nets. The diversity of 16S rRNA variants is limited in cattle samples [only two 16S-20(W) and one 16S-22(Y)], as presented in Fig. 2. The variant 16S-20(W) has been isolated from clinical cases, while 16S-22(Y) seems to be apathogenic [33, 62]. The 16S-20(W) variant also occurred in sheep with clinical abnormalities from Germany [63]. In general, this result may indicate a distinct host tropism for a number of 16S rRNA A. phagocytophilum strains in cattle, while the diversity of other partial genes (groEL, msp2 and msp4) is apparent. The role of cattle in the distribution and evolution of A. phagocytophilum has also been discussed for different geographical areas in earlier studies [15, 33, 64]. All of the observed cattle samples from this study originated from Germany or Switzerland. As stated earlier, 16S-20(W) and 16S-22(Y) were the main variants; only one isolate (GU236584) from GenBank showed variant 16S-21(X) (Fig. 2) and this sample with this variant originated from Norway [9]. For cattle samples with *msp4* from Germany, we found all variants, from m4-13(N) to m4-17(R)).

Variant m4-14(O) also occurred in samples from a herd of cattle from Switzerland in combination with variant g-3 of the groEL operon, the 16S-20(W) and m2-3(b) for msp2 variants [25]. Other msp4 variants which were detected in German cattle are m4-49, m4-50 and m4-51 [33]. Variant g-15 and g-18 of the groEL operon as well as m2-26 for the msp2 gene were detected in the new cattle sequences reported in the present study. For the GenBank sequences, we additionally detected m4-16 and m4-51 as well as three different "m4-nm" from French cattle. One of these French cattle variants, m4-nm5, is directly connected to the New World human Webster US strain, indicating a close relationship between both. In samples from Spanish cattle, we found one new "m4-nm" variant and variant m4-15(P) for the groEL operon; no additional variants were found, but we did identify a new msp2 ("m2-nm") variant in cattle from Switzerland [46].

Unfortunately, only a limited number of red deer variant combinations were sequenced. Nevertheless, they all showed high individual variant combinations and some shared variants leading to different, but slightly overlapping transmission cycles of A. phagocytophilum [65]. As previously described, our red deer samples also contained the 16S-20 (W) variant which is common in cattle [5]. This is an interesting finding, which may be interpreted as being due to the different behaviour of red and roe deer: red deer seek closer contact to farm animals than roe deer. This finding supports the assumption that red deer may play a more important role as potential reservoir hosts for domestic ruminant strains than roe deer [21]. It has been experimentally shown that red deer act as reservoir for A. phagocytophilum strains pathogenic for sheep [66]. In addition, variants detected in red deer have been described to be pathogenic for humans, dogs, horses and domestic ruminants [20, 67, 68]. In contrast, roe deer seem to be only sporadically involved in the circulation of the pathogenic strains of A. phagocytophilum 16S rRNA or groEL variants [67]. In general, there is a high prevalence for A. phagocytophilum in roe deer, up to 98.9%, indicating the susceptibility of this species to this pathogen [26]. Our analyses showed that roe deer had the highest number of variants for all four genes, which could be related to intense roaming activities of this species, resulting in increasing contact with different vectors and hosts and therefore with different variants. All of these gene variants are also diversly connected to one another in different hosts, without any clear variant-combination system (Fig. 2). This diversity was described already earlier for groEL variants [47, 69, 70]. Most of the groEL variants circulate between ticks and roe deer exclusively, and only a few have been reported to be transmitted to domestic animals and humans as dead end hosts [23]. The occurrence of an individual roe deer subcycle was

proposed earlier based on different investigated genes [5, 17, 18, 71]. Additionally, this species is considered to be a reservoir host in both suggested life-cycles of *A. phagocy-tophilum* in Europe.

In general, our data confirm both hypothesized lifecycles of A. phagocytophilum in Europe. The first of these life-cycles includes hedgehogs, red foxes and red deer, among others, as potential reservoir hosts and domestic animals and humans as potential hosts that develop clinical symptoms of the disease. The lack of a sufficient number of samples from red deer has unfortunately hampered analyses of the reservoir host theory [67] for this species, and our study has not provided new insight on whether questioning of this hypotheses is justified [10, 21]. Hedgehogs as possible reservoir hosts were confirmed by the present study. The samples for hedgehogs showed high uniformity of A. phagocytophilum strains that also occur in domestic animals and humans and, therefore, their role as reservoir host is supported [24, 72]. For the msp4 and groEL variants (Figs. 4, 5), a twoparted net can be seen. The right side of the net supports previously suggested life-cycles [5, 33], and the left side of the net also suggests a deer-small ruminant (sheep, goat)-rodent-mouflon cycle that is completely separated from that of the dog or cattle variants. This additional cycle could also explain the individual, unconnected clusters of roe deer variant combinations in Fig. 2.

The second endemic cycle of A. phagocytophilum possibly involves wild cervids and rodents as reservoir hosts and domestic ruminants as hosts [5]. Unfortunately we could not add new sequence variants of A. phagocytophilum for rodents, but our analyses suggested a more diverse role of rodents in the life-cycle of A. phagocytophilum as proposed in previous studies. Authors of earlier studies concluded that rodents are unlikely reservoir hosts for A. phagocytophilum from domestic animals and humans [17, 48]. Conversely, in the present study, the minimum spanning nets of 16S rRNA (Fig. 3) and groEL (Fig. 5) show rodent variants (16Snm5 16Snm24, 16Snm25, gnm15, gnm4, gnm3) closely related to those of cat, dog, horse and human. For groEL, all of these variants are distant to the cattle, dog and deer subnet, suggesting an additional subcycle. These findings need to be clarified by further analyses of additional rodent samples.

Conclusion

To unravel the complex transmission cycles of tick-borne pathogens such as *A. phagocytophilum* and to understand variant combinations in different host species, we used a complex toolset for molecular epidemiology, phylogeny and network theory. Interestingly, different hosts showed unique but also shared variant combinations and variant distributions. Cattle seem to play a central role in

the distribution of variants. The present study included nearly 1300 sequences and confirmed and extended current knowledge on the two previously hypothesized lifecycles of this pathogen in Europe. This information could be used to set the basis to develop European spread-andinfection risk analyses for *A. phagocytophilum*. The use of three (partly four) individual gene loci already expand current knowledge of host–pathogen interactions on the basis of insights in individual variability of different gene

Abbreviations

groEL	Heat shock operon
K2P	Kimura-2-parameter model
MLST	Multilocus sequence typing
msp2	Major surface protein 2 gene
msp4	Major surface protein 4 gene
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
SNP	Single-nucleotide polymorphism
16S rRNA	16S ribosomal RNA

loci from different hosts.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13071-023-05900-3.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Accession numbers of all sequences included in any analyses within this study.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Primer and probes for 16S rRNA, msp4, msp2 and groEL. Table S3. Samples with 4/4, 3/4 and 2/4 partial gene variants. Table S4. Distribution of the msp2 consensus variants in sequences (sequences derived in group' own study and from GenBank). Table S5. Distribution of the 16S rRNA variants in the different animal species (sequences derived in group's own study and from GenBank). Table S6. Distribution of the msp4 variants in different animal species (sequences obtained from group's own study and GenBank. Table S7. Distribution of the groEL variants in the different animal species (sequences derived in group's own study and from GenBank).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Gabriele Liebisch, Miriam Jäger, Melanie König, Elisabeth Meyer-Kayser, Anna Bondarenko and many colleagues from the Small Animal Clinic of the Free University Berlin for providing samples. For excellent technical assistance we thank Claudia Krenner, Andrea Mihalkov and Oliver Tauchmann.

Author contributions

CS and KP had the idea for the study. CS coordinated the study. CS, BB, BK, JS, CR, DH, MA, AO, EO, MP, SR and KP performed sample collection. CS, JF, EO, AO, MA and CR performed laboratory work. CS, JF and CR did the sequence analysis. SF carried out the statistical and methodological analysis. CS and SF drafted the manuscript. AO, BK, KP, MA, MP, DH and SR critically revised an early version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article (and its additional files).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Samples from wild animals in the present study were collected during hunting activities. Specifically, samples from hedgehogs derived from hedgehog care stations, where the animals died naturally. Samples from domestic animals were surplus samples from routine diagnostic procedures.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Comparative Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Leopoldstrasse 5, 80802 Munich, Germany. ²Institute of Infectology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, Südufer 10, 17943 Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany. ³Clinic for Swine and Small Ruminants, Forensic Medicine and Ambulatory Service, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Foundation, Bischofsholer Damm 15, 30173 Hannover, Germany. ⁴Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica GmbH, Kathrinenhof Research Center, Walchenseestr. 8-12, 83101 Rohrdorf, Germany. ⁵Clinic for Small Animals, Department of Veterinary Medicine, Freie Universität Berlin, Oertzenweg 198, 14163 Berlin, Germany. ⁶agro prax GmbH, Werner-von-Siemens-Str. 2, 49577 Ankum, Germany. ⁷Institute of Animal Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, University of Leipzig, An den Tierkliniken 1, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. ⁸Chemical and Veterinary Investigations Office Karlsruhe (CVUA Karlsruhe), Weissenburger Str. 3, 76187 Karlsruhe, Germany.

Received: 27 April 2023 Accepted: 27 July 2023 Published online: 16 August 2023

References

- 1. Rizzoli A, Silaghi C, Obiegala A, Rudolf I, Hubalek Z, Foldvari G, et al. *Ixodes ricinus* and its transmitted pathogens in urban and peri-urban areas in Europe: new hazards and relevance for public health. Front Public Health. 2014;2:251. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2014.00251.
- Woldehiwet Z. Anaplasma phagocytophilum in ruminants in Europe. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2006;1078:446–60. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1374.084.
- Stuen S, Granquist EG, Silaghi C. Anaplasma phagocytophilum—a widespread multi-host pathogen with highly adaptive strategies. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2013;3:31. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2013.00031.
- 4. Dumler JS, Barbet AF, Bekker CP, Dasch GA, Palmer GH, Ray SC, et al. Reorganization of genera in the families Rickettsiaceae and Anaplasmataceae in the order Rickettsiales: unification of some species of Ehrlichia with Anaplasma, Cowdria with Ehrlichia and Ehrlichia with Neorickettsia, descriptions of six new species combinations and designation of Ehrlichia equi and "HGE agent" as subjective synonyms of Ehrlichia phagocytophila. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2001;51:2145–65. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207 713-51-6-2145.
- Dugat T, Lagree AC, Maillard R, Boulouis HJ, Haddad N. Opening the black box of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* diversity: current situation and future perspectives. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2015;5:61. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fcimb.2015.00061.
- Jaarsma RI, Sprong H, Takumi K, Kazimirova M, Silaghi C, Mysterud A, et al. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* evolves in geographical and biotic niches of vertebrates and ticks. Parasit Vectors. 2019;12:328. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13071-019-3583-8.
- Massung RF, Courtney JW, Hiratzka SL, Pitzer VE, Smith G, Dryden RL. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in white-tailed deer. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11:1604–6. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1110.041329.
- Massung RF, Levin ML, Miller NJ, Mather TN. Reservoir competency of goats for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2006;1078:476– 8. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1374.088.
- 9. Scharf W, Schauer S, Freyburger F, Petrovec M, Schaarschmidt-Kiener D, Liebisch G, et al. Distinct host species correlate with *Anaplasma*

phagocytophilum ankA gene clusters. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:790–6. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02051-10.

- Chastagner A, Dugat T, Vourc'h G, Verheyden H, Legrand L, Bachy V, et al. Multilocus sequence analysis of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* reveals three distinct lineages with different host ranges in clinically ill French cattle. Vet Res. 2014;45:114. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13567-014-0114-7.
- Zhan L, Cao WC, Jiang JF, Zhang XA, Wu XM, Zhang WY, et al. *Ana-plasma phagocytophilum* in livestock and small rodents. Vet Microbiol. 2010;144:405–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2010.02.018.
- Prusinski M, O'Connor C, Russell A, Sommer J, White J, Rose L, et al. Associations of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* Bacteria Variants in *Ixodes scapularis* ticks and humans, New York, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2023;29:540–50. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2903.220320.
- Krakowetz CN, Dibernardo A, Lindsay LR, Chilton NB. Two Anaplasma phagocytophilum strains in *lxodes scapularis* ticks, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis. 2014;20:2064–7. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2012.140172.
- Massung RF, Mauel MJ, Owens JH, Allan N, Courtney JW, Stafford KC 3rd, et al. Genetic variants of *Ehrlichia phagocytophila*, Rhode Island and Connecticut. Emerg Infect Dis. 2002;8:467–72. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid08 05.010251.
- Dugat T, Zanella G, Veran L, Lesage C, Girault G, Durand B, et al. Multiplelocus variable-number tandem repeat analysis potentially reveals the existence of two groups of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* circulating in cattle in France with different wild reservoirs. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9:596. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1888-4.
- Bown KJ, Lambin X, Ogden NH, Begon M, Telford G, Woldehiwet Z, et al. Delineating *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* ecotypes in coexisting, discrete enzootic cycles. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:1948–54. https://doi.org/10. 3201/eid1512.090178.
- Jahfari S, Coipan EC, Fonville M, van Leeuwen AD, Hengeveld P, Heylen D, et al. Circulation of four *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* ecotypes in Europe. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:365. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-7-365.
- Bown KJ, Lambin X, Ogden NH, Petrovec M, Shaw SE, Woldehiwet Z, et al. High-resolution genetic fingerprinting of European strains of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* by use of multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis. J Clin Microbiol. 2007;45:1771–6. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM. 00365-07.
- 19. von Loewenich FD, Baumgarten BU, Schroppel K, Geissdorfer W, Rollinghoff M, Bogdan C. High diversity of *ankA* sequences of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* among *lxodes ricinus* ticks in Germany. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:5033–40. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.41.11.5033-5040.2003.
- Huhn C, Winter C, Wolfsperger T, Wuppenhorst N, Strasek Smrdel K, Skuballa J, et al. Analysis of the population structure of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* using multilocus sequence typing. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e93725. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093725.
- Dugat T, Chastagner A, Lagree AC, Petit E, Durand B, Thierry S, et al. A new multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis reveals different clusters for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* circulating in domestic and wild ruminants. Parasit Vectors. 2014;7:439. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1756-3305-7-439.
- 22. Silaghi C, Hamel D, Thiel C, Pfister K, Passos LM, Rehbein S. Genetic variants of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in wild caprine and cervid ungulates from the Alps in Tyrol, Austria. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2011;11:355–62. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2010.0051.
- 23. Silaghi C, Liebisch G, Pfister K. Genetic variants of *Anaplasma phagocyt-ophilum* from 14 equine granulocytic anaplasmosis cases. Parasit Vectors. 2011;4:161. https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-3305-4-161.
- Silaghi C, Skuballa J, Thiel C, Pfister K, Petney T, Pfaffle M, et al. The European hedgehog (*Erinaceus europaeus*)—a suitable reservoir for variants of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*?. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2012;3:49–54. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2011.11.005.
- Silaghi C, Scheuerle MC, Friche Passos LM, Thiel C, Pfister K. PCR detection of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in goat flocks in an area endemic for tick-borne fever in Switzerland. Parasite. 2011;18:57–62. https://doi.org/ 10.1051/parasite/2011181057.
- Overzier E, Pfister K, Herb I, Mahling M, Bock G Jr, Silaghi C. Detection of tick-borne pathogens in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), in questing ticks (*lxodes ricinus*), and in ticks infesting roe deer in southern Germany. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2013;4:320–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2013.01.004.
- 27. Courtney JW, Kostelnik LM, Zeidner NS, Massung RF. Multiplex real-time PCR for detection of Anaplasma phagocytophilum and Borrelia burgdorferi.

J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:3164–8. https://doi.org/10.1128/Jcm.42.7.3164-3168.2004.

- Massung RF, Slater K, Owens JH, Nicholson WL, Mather TN, Solberg VB, et al. Nested PCR assay for detection of granulocytic ehrlichiae. J Clin Microbiol. 1998;36:1090–5.
- Alberti A, Zobba R, Chessa B, Addis MF, Sparagano O, Pinna Parpaglia ML, et al. Equine and canine *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* strains isolated on the island of Sardinia (Italy) are phylogenetically related to pathogenic strains from the United States. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2005;71:6418–22. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.10.6418-6422.2005.
- Lin Q, Rikihisa Y, Felek S, Wang X, Massung RF, Woldehiwet Z. Anaplasma phagocytophilum has a functional *msp2* gene that is distinct from *p44*. Infect Immun. 2004;72:3883–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.7.3883-3889.2004.
- Overzier E, Pfister K, Thiel C, Herb I, Mahling M, Silaghi C. Anaplasma phagocytophilum in questing lxodes ricinus ticks: comparison of prevalences and partial 16S rRNA gene variants in urban, pasture, and natural habitats. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79:1730–4. https://doi.org/10. 1128/AEM.03300-12.
- 32. Silaghi C, Kohn B, Chirek A, Thiel C, Nolte I, Liebisch G, et al. Relationship of molecular and clinical findings on *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* involved in natural infections of dogs. J Clin Microbiol. 2011;49:4413–4. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.06041-11.
- 33. Silaghi C, Nieder M, Sauter-Louis C, Knubben-Schweizer G, Pfister K, Pfeffer M. Epidemiology, genetic variants and clinical course of natural infections with *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in a dairy cattle herd. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11:20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2570-1.
- Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994;22:4673–80.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35:1547–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096.
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2019. https://www.Rproject.org/.
- Csardi G, Nepusz T. The igraph software package for complex network research. InterJournal, Complex Systems. 2006;1695 http://igraph.org.
- Wickham H. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. New York: Springer; 2016. http://ggplot2.org.
- Huson DH. SplitsTree: analyzing and visualizing evolutionary data. Bioinformatics. 1998;14:68–73. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.1.68.
- Huson DH, Bryant D. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. Mol Biol Evol. 2006;23:254–67. https://doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msj030.
- Kimura M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol. 1980;16:111–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01731581.
- Rejmanek D, Foley P, Barbet A, Foley J. Evolution of antigen variation in the tick-borne pathogen *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29:391–400. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msr229.
- Brown WC. Adaptive immunity to Anaplasma pathogens and immune dysregulation: implications for bacterial persistence. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2012;35:241–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2011. 12.002.
- Barbet AF, Meeus PF, Belanger M, Bowie MV, Yi J, Lundgren AM, et al. Expression of multiple outer membrane protein sequence variants from a single genomic locus of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Infect Immun. 2003;71:1706–18. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.71.4.1706-1718.2003.
- de la Fuente J, Van Den Bussche RA, Kocan KM. Molecular phylogeny and biogeography of North American isolates of *Anaplasma marginale* (Rickettsiaceae: Ehrlichieae). Vet Parasitol. 2001;97:65–76. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/s0304-4017(01)00378-8.
- de la Fuente J, Massung RF, Wong SJ, Chu FK, Lutz H, Meli M, et al. Sequence analysis of the *msp4* gene of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* strains. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:1309–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM. 43.3.1309-1317.2005.
- Petrovec M, Bidovec A, Sumner JW, Nicholson WL, Childs JE, Avsic-Zupanc T. Infection with *Anaplasma phagocytophila* in cervids from Slovenia: evidence of two genotypic lineages. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2002;114:641–7.

- Majazki J, Wuppenhorst N, Hartelt K, Birtles R, von Loewenich FD. Anaplasma phagocytophilum strains from voles and shrews exhibit specific ankA gene sequences. BMC Vet Res. 2013;9:235. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1746-6148-9-235.
- Price KJ, Ayres BN, Maes SE, Witmier BJ, Chapman HA, Coder BL, et al. First detection of human pathogenic variant of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in field-collected *Haemaphysalis longicornis*, Pennsylvania, USA. Zoonoses Public Health. 2022;69:143–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12901.
- Chen SM, Dumler JS, Bakken JS, Walker DH. Identification of a granulocytotropic *Ehrlichia* species as the etiologic agent of human disease. J Clin Microbiol. 1994;32:589–95.
- Zeman P, Jahn P. An entropy-optimized multilocus approach for characterizing the strains of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* infecting horses in the Czech Republic. J Med Microbiol. 2009;58:423–9. https://doi.org/10.1099/ jmm.0.007831-0.
- Petrovec M, Sumner JW, Nicholson WL, Childs JE, Strle F, Barlic J, et al. Identity of ehrlichial DNA sequences derived from *lxodes ricinus* ticks with those obtained from patients with human granulocytic ehrlichiosis in Slovenia. J Clin Microbiol. 1999;37:209–10.
- Matei IA, Estrada-Pena A, Cutler SJ, Vayssier-Taussat M, Varela-Castro L, Potkonjak A, et al. A review on the eco-epidemiology and clinical management of human granulocytic anaplasmosis and its agent in Europe. Parasit Vectors. 2019;12:599. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3852-6.
- Rar V, Tkachev S, Tikunova N. Genetic diversity of *Anaplasma* bacteria: twenty years later. Infect Genet Evol. 2021;91:104833. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.meegid.2021.104833.
- 55. Langenwalder DB, Schmidt S, Gilli U, Pantchev N, Ganter M, Silaghi C, et al. Genetic characterization of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* strains from goats (*Capra aegagrus hircus*) and water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) by 16S rRNA gene, *ankA* gene and multilocus sequence typing. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2019;10:101267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2019.101267.
- Adamska M. The role of different species of wild ungulates and *lxodes* ricinus ticks in the circulation of genetic variants of *Anaplasma phagocyt*ophilum in a forest biotope in north-western Poland. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2020;11:101465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2020.101465.
- Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Rohl A. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 1999;16:37–48. https://doi.org/10. 1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036.
- Carrade DD, Foley JE, Borjesson DL, Sykes JE. Canine granulocytic anaplasmosis: a review. J Vet Intern Med. 2009;23:1129–41. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1939-1676.2009.0384.x.
- Kohn B, Silaghi C, Galke D, Arndt G, Pfister K. Infections with *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in dogs in Germany. Res Vet Sci. 2011;91:71–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.08.008.
- Chirek A, Silaghi CHA, Pfister K, Kohn B. Vorkommen von Anaplasma phagocytophilum bei Blutspenderhunden in Berlin/ Brandenburg: (2006–2012): retrospektive Auswertung klinischer Daten und Bedeutung für die Transfusionsmedizin; The occurence of Anaplasma phagocytophilum in canine blood donors in Berlin/Brandenburg (2006–2012): retrospective analysis of clinical data and relevance for transfusion medicine. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr. 2019;131. https://doi.org/10.2376/ 0005-9366-17005.
- Schorn S, Pfister K, Reulen H, Mahling M, Manitz J, Thiel C, et al. Prevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in *Ixodes ricinus* in Bavarian public parks, Germany. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2011;2:196–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ttbdis.2011.09.009.
- Stuen S, Pettersen KS, Granquist EG, Bergstrom K, Bown KJ, Birtles RJ. Anaplasma phagocytophilum variants in sympatric red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) and sheep in southern Norway. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2013;4:197–201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2012.11.014.
- Bauer BU, Raileanu C, Tauchmann O, Fischer S, Ambros C, Silaghi C, et al. *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Anaplasma ovis*-emerging pathogens in the German sheep population. Pathogens. 2021;10:10. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pathogens10101298.
- Lagree AC, Rouxel C, Kevin M, Dugat T, Girault G, Durand B, et al. Cocirculation of different *A. phagocytophilum* variants within cattle herds and possible reservoir role for cattle. Parasite Vector. 2018;11:163. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2661-7.
- 65. PerssonWaller K, Dahlgren K, Grandi G, Holding ML, Naslund K, Omazic A, et al. A disease outbreak in beef cattle associated with *Anaplasma* and

Mycoplasma infections. Animals (Basel). 2023;13:286. https://doi.org/10. 3390/ani13020286.

- Stuen S, Scharf W, Schauer S, Freyburger F, Bergstrom K, von Loewenich FD. Experimental infection in lambs with a red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) isolate of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. J Wildl Dis. 2010;46:803–9. https:// doi.org/10.7589/0090-3558-46.3.803.
- Rymaszewska A. Divergence within the marker region of the groESL operon in *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2008;27:1025–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-008-0539-x.
- Kazimirova M, Hamsikova Z, Spitalska E, Minichova L, Mahrikova L, Caban R, et al. Diverse tick-borne microorganisms identified in free-living ungulates in Slovakia. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11:495. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s13071-018-3068-1.
- Liz JS, Sumner JW, Pfister K, Brossard M. PCR detection and serological evidence of granulocytic ehrlichial infection in roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) and chamois (*Rupicapra rupicapra*). J Clin Microbiol. 2002;40:892–7. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.40.3.892-897.2002.
- Petrovec M, Sixl W, Schweiger R, Mikulasek S, Elke L, Wust G, et al. Infections of wild animals with *Anaplasma phagocytophila* in Austria and the Czech Republic. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2003;990:103–6. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1749-6632.2003.tb07345 x.
- de la Fuente J, Ruiz-Fons F, Naranjo V, Torina A, Rodriguez O, Gortazar C. Evidence of Anaplasma infections in European roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) from southern Spain. Res Vet Sci. 2008;84:382–6. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2007.05.018.
- Jahfari S, Ruyts SC, Frazer-Mendelewska E, Jaarsma R, Verheyen K, Sprong H. Melting pot of tick-borne zoonoses: the European hedgehog contributes to the maintenance of various tick-borne diseases in natural cycles urban and suburban areas. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10:134. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13071-017-2065-0.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

