Open Access

Bartonella infections are prevalent in rodents despite efficient immune responses



Ruth Rodríguez-Pastor^{1†}, Adam Z. Hasik^{1,2†}, Nadav Knossow³, Enav Bar-Shira⁴, Naama Shahar³, Ricardo Gutiérrez⁵, Luis Zaman⁶, Shimon Harrus⁷, Richard E. Lenski⁸, Jeffrey E. Barrick⁹ and Hadas Hawlena^{3*}

Abstract

Background Pathogens face strong selection from host immune responses, yet many host populations support pervasive pathogen populations. We investigated this puzzle in a model system of *Bartonella* and rodents from Israel's northwestern Negev Desert. We chose to study this system because, in this region, 75–100% of rodents are infected with *Bartonella* at any given time, despite an efficient immunological response. In this region, *Bartonella* species circulate in three rodent species, and we tested the hypothesis that at least one of these hosts exhibits a waning immune response to *Bartonella*, which allows reinfections.

Methods We inoculated captive animals of all three rodent species with the same *Bartonella* strain, and we quantified the bacterial dynamics and *Bartonella*-specific immunoglobulin G antibody kinetics over a period of 139 days after the primary inoculation, and then for 60 days following reinoculation with the same strain.

Results Contrary to our hypothesis, we found a strong, long-lasting immunoglobulin G antibody response, with protective immunological memory in all three rodent species. That response prevented reinfection upon exposure of the rodents to the same *Bartonella* strain.

Conclusions This study constitutes an initial step toward understanding how the interplay between traits of *Bartonella* and their hosts influences the epidemiological dynamics of these pathogens in nature.

Keywords Antigen escape, Bacterial dynamics, Disease ecology, Ecoimmunology, Host–pathogen interactions, Microbial ecology, Recurrent bacteremia

[†]Ruth Rodríguez-Pastor and Adam Z. Hasik contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence:

Hadas Hawlena

hadashaw@bgu.ac.il

¹ Jacob Blaustein Center for Scientific Cooperation, The Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Israel

² Present Address: Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

³ The Mitrani Department of Desert Ecology, Swiss Institute

for Dryland Environmental and Energy Research, The Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, 849900 Midreshet Ben-Gurion, Israel

 4 Section of Immunology, Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agricultural, Nutritional and Environmental Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel ⁵ National Reference Center for Bacteriology, Costa Rican Institute for Research and Teaching in Nutrition and Health (INCIENSA), Cartago, Costa Rica

⁶ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Center for the Study of Complex Systems (CSCS), University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA ⁷ Koret School of Veterinary Medicine, Faculty of Agricultural, Nutritional and Environmental Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel

⁸ Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

 9 Department of Molecular Biosciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

The prevalence of parasites and pathogens in their host populations is a critical ecological variable for explaining epidemiological patterns [1-3]. For example, widespread pathogens are more likely to jump to new host species, and they often spread faster than more sporadic pathogens [4]. Despite these patterns, we lack a detailed understanding of how the interplay between pathogen and host traits gives rise to the diverse epidemiological dynamics seen in nature.

The duration of infections of individual hosts is a key determinant of disease and transmission dynamics. It is an epidemiological feature that is strongly influenced by both pathogen and host traits. At one extreme, limitedterm pathogens are characterized by the rapid onset of infection, which then fades away within days to months owing to the host's efficient immune response or host death. At the other extreme, once an infection occurs, some pathogens are chronically present throughout their host's life. Perhaps counterintuitively, some limited-term pathogens are pervasive in host populations even though infections can be cleared by their hosts' immune responses. Such epidemiological dynamics may be a direct result of demographic parameters. For example, limited-term pathogens may become persistent in sink communities that are constantly fed by immigrants from uninfected areas, or in host communities with high birth and mortality rates [5–8]. Alternatively, such epidemiological dynamics may be caused by a waning immune response, in which diminishing immune function with time allows reinfection of individual hosts that were previously immunized (e.g., [9-15]). In other cases, some limited-term pathogens (including influenza, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, Borrelia, and Plasmodium) are highly prevalent even in hosts with competent and efficient immune responses because the pathogens readily evolve antigenic variation that enables new strains to reinfect hosts with immune defenses that cleared prior infections [16-21]. In many cases, it is not known which of these mechanisms, or possibly others, are responsible for the high prevalence and persistence of limited-term pathogens in host species that can nevertheless clear infections through their immune responses.

Bartonella is a genus of intracellular bacteria that primarily infect mammals, including rodents, and can cause disease in some domestic animals and people [22]. Various *Bartonella* species, including *Bartonella* grahamii, *Bartonella krasnovii, Bartonella taylorii,* and *Bartonella tribocorum,* have limited-term infection kinetics in their rodent hosts. In those species, bacterial loads in the blood typically rise after 4–10 days post-inoculation (d.p.i.), until they approach a peak at 10–30 d.p.i., and the infection is cleared within 50–80 d.p.i. Even though both cellular and humoral immunity seem to be necessary for the complete eradication of *Bartonella* [23], previous studies agree with the major role of the latter, particularly *Bartonella*-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies in murine models [24–27]. For instance, in a *Bartonella birtlesii* infection model, CD4- knockout mice presented higher bacterial titers and longer bacteremia, while CD8- knockout mice presented similar infection dynamics to the wild-type mice [28]. Moreover, experimentally infected cotton rats (*Sigmodon hispidus*) and cats (*Felis catus*) failed to develop infection upon re-exposure to the same strain, indicating that they had protective immunological memory [29, 30].

Rodent-Bartonella communities in the sand dunes of Israel's northwestern Negev Desert provide, for several reasons, an excellent system for exploring the fascinating puzzle of limited-term pathogens that are paradoxically pervasive. First, Bartonella infections induce specific IgG antibodies that allow the rodents to clear the infections within ~ 80 d.p.i. [25]. Despite this efficient immunological response, 75-100% of the rodents in this region are infected with *Bartonella* at any given time [31–33]. Second, these are source rodent communities in which the same host individuals have lifetimes of about 1 year and are only negligibly affected by these bacterial infections [25, 32, 34]. Moreover, in most years, the appearance of new juveniles is restricted to about 2 months of the year [32]. Thus, it is unlikely that *Bartonella* persistence is a result of flea transmission between infected rodents and new susceptible individuals entering the population. Third, in this region, Bartonella bacteria circulate in host communities composed of three rodent species: Gerbillus andersoni (de Winton, 1920), Gerbillus gerbillus (Olivier, 1801), and Gerbillus pyramidum (Thomas, 1919) (presently, Gerbillus pyramidum is known as Gerbillus floweri, but we use the original name for consistency between studies). Thus, interactions between Bartonella and the host's immune system may depend on the particular host species, which could have important consequences for the overall epidemiological dynamics in multispecies communities. Fourth, from a practical standpoint, this system allows long-term epidemiological experiments in three wild rodent species studied under seminatural conditions (e.g., using fresh plant matter as a water source and sand as bedding), including the cultivation, inoculation, and quantification of Bartonella strains derived from nature, and the simultaneous assessment of the rodents' immune responses [25, 35]. Finally, understanding the interactions of Bartonella-a diverse and globally distributed genus that includes emerging and reemerging pathogens [36, 37]—and their natural hosts will shed light on the mechanisms responsible for Bartonella prevalence in nature.

In this study, we test the hypothesis that at least one of the rodent host species exhibits a waning immune response to Bartonella, which allows reinfection. To that end, we inoculated adult captive males of all three rodent species with the same Bartonella strain. We quantified and compared their bacterial loads and Bartonella-specific IgG antibody titers over 139 days post-inoculation, and then for 60 days following reinoculation with the same strain. Contrary to our hypothesis, however, we found a strong and long-lasting Bartonella-specific IgG antibody response with protective immunological memory in all three rodent species, which prevented infection upon re-exposure of individuals to the same Bartonella strain. Therefore, other eco-evolutionary factors must explain the persistence of Bartonella in these rodent populations in nature.

Methods

Experimental approach and design

To start the experiment (day 0), we inoculated five males each of G. andersoni, G. gerbillus, and G. pyramidum with B. krasnovii. Prior to starting the experiment, we confirmed that all of the individual rodents were Bartonella negative by bleeding them at 1-2 weeks before inoculation and examining their samples molecularly and serologically. Then, starting on the day of the first inoculation, and thenceforth every 10-20 days, we simultaneously sampled blood and plasma from all individuals. To quantify Bartonella bacterial loads, we extracted DNA from those samples and performed a real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). In parallel, we used enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) to quantify the hosts' Bartonella-specific IgG antibody levels. At day 140, after almost all of the infected rodents had become negative for Bartonella (except for one G. pyramidum), we reinoculated all individuals with the same Bartonella strain. We then followed their bacterial loads and Bartonella-specific IgG antibody kinetics for an additional 60 days. This timeline was chosen to balance (i) the interval between the two inoculation events, (ii) the number of rodents that cleared their primary infection before reinoculation, (iii) the number of rodents that survived to the end of the experiment, and (iv) to approximate reinfection dynamics that would be relevant in nature. In addition to the 15 experimental animals, we inoculated one control individual of each species at day 0 with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Then, on day 140, the three control animals were inoculated with the same Bartonella strain, and were sampled along with the 15 experimental rodents during all bleeding events. As an additional control for the reinoculation procedure, we also inoculated a Bartonella-negative G. andersoni with PBS on day 140 and sampled its blood during all sequential bleeding events.

Study organisms

The three rodent species in our study coexist in the sand dunes of the northwestern Negev Desert in Israel [34, 38, 39]. All of the individual animals that were used in the experiments were from a laboratory colony maintained by HH. This colony consists of the progeny of wild rodents that were born and have been raised in the laboratory for~6 years. These rodents have never been exposed to ectoparasites or any Bartonella species, nor have they received any drug treatment. The individuals used in our study were all non-reproductive adult (1.6-3.4 years old) males, with average body masses of 42.4 ± 1.25, 31.9 ± 1.58 and 74.5 ± 2.21 g, for *G. andersoni*, G. gerbillus and G. pyramidum, respectively. Nonreproductive adult males rather than adult females of reproductive age were used in this study to avoid the variability that is associated with the menstrual cycle. These males were expected to be representative of the entire population of each species. Throughout the year, males and female hosts show similar Bartonella prevalence levels, and only non-reproductive adults are present in natural populations during the autumn, summer, and winter [32]. Animals were kept individually in plastic cages $(34 \times 24 \times 13 = 10,608 \text{ cm}^3)$ on a 1-cm layer of autoclayed sand. The cages were housed in an animal facility at an ambient temperature of 24.5±1 °C and a photoperiod of 12-h:12-h dark:light. The rodents were provided daily with millet seeds ad libitum and alfalfa as a water source. The Bartonella strain used was B. krasnovii A2. This strain was isolated from G. andersoni blood, and it belongs to the most common lineage that infects the rodents in this study system [33].

Inoculation and quantification of bacterial loads

To prepare the *Bartonella* inoculum from the frozen stock of B. krasnovii A2 strain, we spread cells to produce confluent lawns on two chocolate agar plates, subsequently collected all the cells from the plates, and diluted the bacteria in 5 ml of PBS to reach a concentration of 3.2×10^8 colony-forming units per milliliter. We chose this concentration because 0.1 ml of the inoculum provides the minimum number of bacteria required for 100% success of inoculations with B. krasnovii A2 strain, and it also lies well within the range of natural loads of Gerbillus rodents [40, 41]. We then intradermally injected 100 µl of the inoculum into each individual rodent, using a 30G needle. A successful inoculation resulted in the formation of a bleb. We chose intradermal injections over subcutaneous, intramuscular, intraperitoneal, intravenous, and intraocular injections as this method best simulates the flea-borne transmission experienced by the pathogen in nature [30, 40, 42]. We performed inoculations under isoflurane anesthesia; immediately afterward, we returned rodents to their cages and confirmed daily thereafter that there were no skin reactions.

We assessed each rodent's bacterial load by collecting 150-250 µl of blood from the retro-orbital sinus under general isoflurane anesthesia. Once the individual was fully anesthetized, we positioned it in lateral recumbency and applied a drop of local anesthesia (Localin; Fischer Pharmaceutical Labs, Tel Aviv, Israel) to one of its eyes. We collected blood using capillaries coated with 0.14% anticoagulant (ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) and stored it in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid blood collection tubes (Microvette, 500 µl; SARSTEDT, Nümbrecht, Germany) at -80 °C for later molecular analyses. This animal handling protocol was approved by the Committee for the Ethical Care and Use of Animals in Experiments of Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (permit number IL-59-09-2015). Animal populations originally captured from the wild were held in the Hawlena laboratory with the permission of the Israel Nature and Parks Authority (permit number H1877/2017).

We extracted DNA from 50 µl of each blood sample, using a QIAamp BiOstic Bacteremia DNA Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions. We included a negative control in each extraction session, in which all of the reagents were added to sterile PBS instead of to blood. We quantified bacterial loads using gene copy number as a proxy, by qPCR (CFX Connect System; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). We targeted the citrate synthase (gltA) gene using 2×qPCRBIO Fast Probe Blue Mix Hi-ROX (PCR Biosystems, London, UK), 400 nmol l^{-1} of the *gltA* forward primer 5'-GGATTT GGTCACCGAGTCTATAAA-3', 400 nmol l^{-1} of the *gltA* reverse primer 5'-AAGAAGCGGATCGTCTTGAATAT-3', 50 nmol l⁻¹ of probe 5'-CCACGTGCAAAAATCATG CAAAAAACCTGTCA-3' (Primerdesign, Chandlers Ford, UK), and 2 μ l of DNA in a total volume of 20 μ l. The qPCR conditions were 3 min at 95 °C, followed by 41 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C, and 30 s at 60 °C. To estimate absolute copy numbers, we included in each run a tenfold serial dilution standard curve of B. krasnovii A2 strain, which was calibrated using colony-forming unit counts.

Bartonella-specific IgG antibody quantification

After collecting the blood used to quantify the bacterial load, we centrifuged the remaining blood for 10 min at 1000g and 4 °C. We then collected the plasma and stored it at -80 °C for later immunological analyses. We developed a quantitative ELISA protocol and used it to assess the *Bartonella*-specific IgG antibody kinetics during infection and reinfection of the three host species,

as a measure of their humoral immune response against Bartonella. We prepared heat-killed B. krasnovii A2 strain antigens by heating cells in PBS at 56 °C for 1 h, and we used the antigens to coat multiwell plates for the assays. We determined the Bartonella-specific IgG antibody levels in plasma samples by ELISA, following Eidelman et al. [25]. Briefly, we coated each well with 100 μ l of Bartonella antigens corresponding to a concentration of 1×10^6 cells ml⁻¹ in a carbonate-bicarbonate coating buffer, pH 9.6. We put the coated plates in a humidified chamber at 4°C overnight. We blocked plates for 2 h at 37 °C, using 0.5% skim milk in PBS. We then added diluted plasma samples in a blocking solution, and incubated the plates overnight in a humidified chamber at 4 °C. We detected Bartonella-specific IgG antibodies using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-gerbil IgG on a 3,3,5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine substrate. We terminated color development using 3,3',5,5'-tetramethvlbenzidine stop solution (SeraCare, Milford, MA), and measured optical absorbance at 450 nm.

For the standard curve, we used Bartonella-specific IgG antibodies. Briefly, we purified IgG from serum obtained from Bartonella hyperimmune rodents, using protein G spin columns. We then incubated 1 ml of purified IgG overnight with heat-killed B. krasnovii A2 strain at 4°C. After centrifugation, we removed the supernatant and washed the pellet five times with wash solution (SeraCare). To elute Bartonella-specific IgG antibodies, we resuspended the washed pellet in glycine elution buffer, pH 2.5, followed immediately by the addition of neutralization buffer (Tris HCl 1M, pH 9). We mixed the contents of each tube thoroughly, then centrifuged the tubes for 10 min at 15,000 g and 4 °C. We then collected the supernatant and determined the IgG concentration by measuring absorbance at 280 nm in a Nanodrop spectrophotometer, using the automated calculation that is standardized to mammal IgG antibodies. In addition to the plasma samples of the infected rodents, each ELISA plate included all standard dilutions, a blank solution, in which all of the reagents were added to PBS instead of to plasma, and a plasma sample from one of the control rodents.

Statistical analyses

We performed one-way ANOVA to test for differences in bacterial loads and IgG antibody kinetics between the infected individuals of the three host species (independent variable). The dependent variables were as follows: (i) the peak bacterial load; (ii) the overall bacterial load, which we defined as the area under the bacterial dynamics curve divided by the total number of days; (iii) the rate of antibody increase, defined as the maximum rate of *Bartonella*-specific IgG antibody fold change; (iv) the day post-infection of the peak antibody level; (v) the peak antibody level; and the overall antibody response, defined as the area under the *Bartonella*-specific IgG antibody dynamics curve divided by the total number of days for (vi) the primary and (vii) the secondary immune responses.

To test for an association between bacterial loads and immune kinetics, we performed two analyses of covariance (ANCOVA), with species as the independent variable. One ANCOVA used day post-infection of peak antibody level and peak bacterial load as the dependent variable and covariate, respectively. The other ANCOVA used the overall antibody response and overall bacterial load as the dependent variable and covariate, respectively. In both tests, we also included the interactions between the host species and the covariate. To compare the primary and secondary Bartonella-specific IgG antibody responses, we performed repeated measures ANOVA tests, with the day post-infection of peak antibody level, the peak antibody level, and overall antibody response as the dependent variables. For all of the repeated measures ANOVA tests, the immune response stage (primary or secondary) and species were used as the within and between factors, respectively. To standardize these comparisons between the primary and secondary responses, we only used data for the first 60 days of the former. We performed all post hoc comparisons between species using Tukey tests.

All analyses were conducted in R [v4.1.3] [43]. We calculated the area under the dynamics curves using the auc function in the flux package [44]. We calculated the maximum fold change rate of antibody increase using the easylinear function in the growthrates package [45], assuming maximum intervals of two time points.

Results

General results

We inoculated five males each of G. andersoni, G. gerbillus, and G. pyramidum with the same strain, B. krasnovii A2. We followed the bacterial dynamics and Bartonellaspecific IgG antibody kinetics for 139 days after the primary inoculation, and then for an additional 60 days following reinoculation with the same strain. In parallel, we sampled the blood of three control rodents (one of each species) that were first inoculated with PBS and only inoculated with Bartonella at day 140 (Fig. 1). Of the 18 individual rodents, 13 remained healthy, maintaining a stable body mass throughout the 200 days of the experiment. These were included in all of our analyses. The other five rodents were either excluded from all of the analyses or included in only some of them. These five included one G. pyramidum that was euthanized due to poor physical condition at day 40 (Fig. 1m). It was excluded from all analyses. Three other individuals either did not recover from the anesthesia at day 125 of the experiment (one G. gerbillus; Fig, 1i) or were found to have died, for no visible reason, on days 125 and 120 of the experiment [one G. andersoni (Fig. 1e) and one G. gerbillus (Fig. 1j)]. They were excluded from all analyses related to the secondary immunological response to reinoculation. Moreover, in the analysis of the overall antibody response to the primary inoculation, we assigned at day 139 the species-specific mean values for the three aforementioned rodents that did not survive to that day. The fifth individual was a control G. gerbillus, which died for no visible reason at day 80. It was replaced by another Bartonella-negative G. gerbillus individual. Accordingly, Fig. 11 shows the combined dynamics of these two individuals.

Blood was successfully sampled and processed for all surviving animals at all the planned sampling dates [i.e., days 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 81, 102 (only for bacterial load), 111 (only for bacterial load), 120, 139, 149, 159, 169 (only for bacterial load), and 200 d.p.i.]. In addition, four individuals had a questionable infection status at day 81 (i.e., amplification was successful only at very late quantification cycles, Cq > 39), so we bled them again at day 91 to confirm their infection status. At day 113, we also quantified the bacterial load of the single G. pyramidum individual that showed evidence of recurrent bacteremia at day 111 (Fig. 1n), which confirmed that it was Bartonella-positive. The only surviving participant that was not bled as planned (at days 120 and 139) was a G. andersoni, which was Bartonella-negative between days 50 and 102 (Fig. 1a). Due to its poor condition, we decided to reduce the number of bleeding events for this animal to allow it to recover some body mass. Thus, in the analysis of the overall antibody response to the primary inoculation, we assigned the G. andersoni-specific mean value to this individual on day 139.

Bacterial and immune dynamics

The bacterial load and antibody quantifications were *Bartonella*-specific, as the control rodents remained *Bartonella*-negative throughout the first experimental stage (days 0–140), with no noteworthy rise in their antibody levels, whereas they developed an infection and elevated antibodies upon inoculation during the second experimental stage (days 150–200; Fig. 1, control rodents). In contrast, all the rodents that were inoculated with *Bartonella* became infected and developed antibodies during the first stage (Fig. 1). The peak bacterial loads and the overall bacterial loads were significantly different between the host species (Table 1), with *G. gerbillus* exhibiting lower loads than the other two species (Tukey post hoc tests, peak load, P=0.017 for the comparison



Fig. 1 a–**r** Bacterial and immune dynamics of individual rodents. Bacterial loads (solid lines, left *y*-axis) and *Bartonella*-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody levels in plasma (faded lines, right *y*-axis) of five *Gerbillus andersoni* (green; **a**–**f**), five *Gerbillus gerbillus* (red; **g**–**l**), and five *Gerbillus pyramidum* (purple; **m**–**r**) were tracked in a 200-day experiment. All individuals were inoculated with wild-type *Bartonella krasnovii* A2 strain at day 0 and then reinoculated at day 140 (vertical dashed line), except for the three control rodents that were first inoculated with phosphate-buffered saline and only inoculated with *Bartonella* at day 140. All inocula contained 10⁷ colony-forming units. Data are log (*y*+1) transformed to facilitate comparison between species and parameters. **e**, **i**, **j**, and **m** Bacterial and immune dynamics are truncated due to the unexpected death of the individuals before the end of the experiment. I The axis break and inverted triangle indicate the switch between control individuals due to the death of the original rodent

with *G. andersoni*, P=0.019 for the comparison with *G. pyramidum*; overall load, P=0.0338 for the comparison with *G. andersoni*, P=0.00961 for the comparison with *G. pyramidum*; Fig. 1), which had similar bacterial loads (Tukey post hoc tests, peak load, P=0.994; overall load, P=0.659; Fig. 1).

The day post-infection of peak antibody level was not significantly correlated with peak bacterial load ($F_{1,10}$ =0.0002, P=0.989). However, the overall antibody response was positively correlated with overall bacterial loads ($F_{1,10}$ =6.56, P=0.0283; Fig. 2). All infected rodents cleared their initial infection after 10–70 days (Fig. 1).

However, one individual *G. andersoni* (Fig. 1c) and one individual *G. pyramidum* (Fig. 1n) experienced recurrent infections, with the *G. pyramidum* experiencing a recurrent infection prior to, but close to, the second inoculation (days 111–149 d.p.i.). All previously infected rodents mounted a secondary immune response following the repeated inoculation, as expected for animals with immune memory. Moreover, as expected, the humoral response that ensued was more rapid ($F_{1,8}$ =35.1, P=0.000351; Fig. 3a) and antibody levels were higher in the secondary response than in the primary response [$F_{1,10}$ =18.6, P=0.00152 for peak antibody level (Fig. 3b),

| Table 1 Comparisons between the infection and immune dynamics of the t | hree rodent specie |
|--|--------------------|
|--|--------------------|

| Parameter/associations | Gerbillus andersoni | Gerbillus gerbillus | Gerbillus pyramidum | F _{df} , P ^a |
|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Peak bacterial load (cells ml ⁻¹) | 351,600±87,479 | 8804±4115 | 363,175±106,916 | F _{2,11} =7.37, P=0.009** |
| Overall bacterial load (cells ml ⁻¹) | 49,389±12,860 | 1057±547 | 64,906±18,656 | F _{2,11} =7.59, P=0.00848** |
| Day post-infection of peak antibody level | 42.0±19.6 | 66.0 ± 2.45 | 74.8±24.4 | $F_{2,11} = 0.981, P = 0.406$ |
| Rate of antibody increase during primary infection (μ g ml ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) | 0.320±0.0575 | 0.344±0.0266 | 0.290±0.0187 | $F_{2,11} = 0.422, P = 0.666$ |
| Rate of antibody increase during secondary infection $(\mu g m l^{-1} da y^{-1})$ | 0.123±0.0275 | 0.180±0.0300 | 0.172±0.0403 | $F_{2,7} = 0.663, P = 0.545$ |
| Peak antibody levels (µg ml ⁻¹) | 149±63.5 | 110±20.0 | 309±169 | $F_{2,11} = 1.22, P = 0.332$ |
| Overall primary antibody response (µg ml ⁻¹) | 82.8±31.5 | 67.8±11.3 | 145±67.6 | $F_{2,11} = 1.04, P = 0.386$ |
| Overall secondary antibody response (µg ml ⁻¹) | 287±169 | 339±139 | 549 ± 146 | $F_{2,8} = 0.842, P = 0.466$ |
| Day post-infection of peak antibody level (days) ver- sus peak bacterial load (cells ml ⁻¹) | $-1.11 \times 10^{-4} x + 81.1, R^2 = 0.247$ | $9.36 \times 10^{-5} \text{x} + 65.2,$ $R^2 = 0.0250$ | $1.22 \times 10^{-4} x + 30.5, R^2 = 0.284$ | F _{2,8} =1.43, P=0.295 |
| Overall primary antibody response (μ g ml ⁻¹) versus overall bacterial load (cells ml ⁻¹) | $8.49 \times 10^{-4} x + 40.9, R^2 = 0.120$ | $8.77 \times 10^{-3} x + 58.6, R^2 = 0.180$ | $2.94 \times 10^{-3} x - 45.5, R^2 = 0.659$ | $F_{2,8} = 0.872, P = 0.454$ |
| Difference in the day post- infection of peak antibody level of the primary and sec- ondary responses | 7.50±7.38 | 46.7±8.52 | 30.0±7.38 | $F_{2,8} = 6.23, P = 0.023$ |
| Difference in the peak antibody level (μ g ml ⁻¹) of the secondary and primary immune responses | 369±215 | 503±248 | 801±215 | $F_{2,8} = 1.05, P = 0.394$ |
| Difference in the overall antibody response (µg ml ⁻¹) of the secondary and primary immune responses | 219±124 | 281±144 | 454±124 | F _{2,8} =0.948, P=0.427 |

Means ± SE of the infection parameters, the immunoglobulin G (*IgG*) antibody kinetics parameters, and the correlations between parameters. The correlations were conducted between immune and bacterial parameters and between the primary and secondary IgG antibody kinetics parameters that were quantified in *G. andersoni*, *G. gerbillus*, and *G. pyramidum* after the initial inoculations (primary response) and reinoculations (secondary response) with *Bartonella*

Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (** P < 0.01)

^a Statistical results for either the species effects (for specific parameters) or the interactions between the species effect and the independent variable in question (for correlations between parameters) (the definitions of the parameters are provided in the statistical analyses section)

and $F_{1,10}$ = 18.6, P = 0.00155 for overall antibody response (Fig. 3c)]. Importantly, it appeared that the primary immune response after the first inoculation protected all rodents, as none of the rodents developed bacteremia following reinoculation (Fig. 1).

Most features of the IgG antibody kinetics, in addition to the associations between IgG kinetics and bacterial dynamics and between the primary and secondary IgG antibody kinetics, were not significantly different between the three species (Table 1). The only association that differed significantly among species was between the days post-infection when peak antibody levels were observed in the primary and secondary responses, with *G. gerbillus* having the greatest shortening of the immune response time to the secondary inoculation compared to the primary inoculation (Tukey test, P=0.0204 for the *G. gerbillus-G. andersoni* comparison; P=0.350 for the *G. gerbillus-G. pyramidum* comparison; P=0.139 for the *G. andersoni-G. pyramidum* comparison; Table 1; Fig. 3a). There was also a tendency for a higher rate of antibody increase in *G. gerbillus* than in *G. pyramidum* during the primary infection (Fig. 4), but this difference was not statistically significant (a split comparison between *G. gerbillus* and *G. pyramidum*, $F_{1,7}=2.48$, P=0.160; Table 1).



Fig. 2 Primary immune response strength. Correlation (*r*=0.49) between the overall primary *Bartonella*-specific IgG antibody response (the area under the antibody dynamics curve divided by the total number of days) and the overall bacterial load (the area under the bacterial dynamics curve divided by the total number of days). Each point represents an individual rodent

Discussion

We profiled the dynamics of a natural *Bartonella* isolate as it infected captive individuals of three rodent species that coexist in nature. We also tracked *Bartonella*specific IgG antibody levels in these animals over 140 days after the first inoculation and 60 additional days following their re-exposure to the same strain. In nature, the mean longevity of the three rodent species ranges from 6.5 to 12 months [34, 46, 47]. Thus, the 200-day duration of this experiment likely allowed for a decent approximation of the infection dynamics that these rodents may experience in nature. Considering the high prevalence of *Bartonella* in these species of rodents in the Negev sand dunes, we tested the hypothesis that at least one of them exhibits a waning immune response, which could allow the pathogen to reinfect individuals that cleared prior infections. However, contrary to our hypothesis, we found a strong and long-lasting Bartonella-specific IgG antibody response, with a protective immunological memory in all the rodent species, which prevented infection upon reexposure to the same Bartonella strain. In addition, two host individuals showed recurrent bacteremia during the first infection stage. Below, we discuss our findings of a comprehensive immune response, recurrent bacteremia, and species-specific differences in a broader disease ecology context and discuss future avenues of research for investigating the puzzle of limited-term Bartonella infections that are nonetheless pervasive. Altogether, insights from this study constitute an initial step toward a better understanding of the interplay between pathogen and host traits, and how the



Fig. 3 a-c Primary and secondary immune responses. Differences between the primary and secondary immune responses of *Gerbillus andersoni* (green), *Gerbillus gerbillus* (red), and *Gerbillus pyramidum* (purple), which are quantified by a the day post-infection of peak *Bartonella*-specific IgG antibody levels (determined based on the respective day of inoculation), b peak antibody level, and c overall antibody response (the area under the antibody dynamics curve divided by the total number of days). To standardize the comparisons between the primary and secondary responses, we used only data for the first 60 days of the former. Lines connect measures of the same individual rodent. Points and lines are horizontally jittered to make overlapping points visible

interplay of those traits influences epidemiological dynamics.

A comprehensive immune response against Bartonella

Longitudinal studies have shown that Bartonella species may be highly prevalent, and that the same strains can be repeatedly detected even after a nonbacteremic period [48-51], leading to the hypothesis that the host immune response against these species wanes [48, 52]. Contrary to this hypothesis, our results provide several lines of evidence suggesting that all three of the tested rodent species responded to the inoculation by mounting a strong, efficient, and long-lasting antibody response, which conferred protection and prevented bacteremia following reinoculation at day 140 d.p.i. First, all of the individuals that were included in the analyses mounted Bartonella-specific antibody responses within 10 days of the initial inoculation. Antibody levels then increased and approached local peak levels, which were maintained at relatively high levels or even increased during the remaining period until the repeat inoculation was performed. Importantly, Bartonella-specific antibody levels remained high long after the rodents managed to clear the infections. Second, the magnitude of the specific antibody response was positively correlated with the bacteremia load. Third, the specific antibody response increased in all rodents and was more rapid upon reinfection, suggesting immune memory and improved IgG antibody response upon re-exposure to the bacteria. Finally, none of the rodents that were reinoculated developed bacteremia or showed recurrent bacteremia, and we found evidence that Bartonella-specific IgG antibodies synthesized upon first inoculation efficiently cleared reinoculated Bartonella even in the individuals displaying recurrent bacteremia (see "Recurrent bacteremia" section). The absence of bacteremia did not appear to be a result of a low-quality or non-viable inoculum, as the second inoculum was prepared from the same Bartonella isolate, using the same procedure as for the first inoculum, and had a



Fig. 4 Species-specific rate of antibody increase. Means ± SE of the maximum *Bartonella*-specific IgG rate of antibody increase in *Gerbillus andersoni* (green), *Gerbillus gerbillus (red)*, and *Gerbillus pyramidum* (purple) after the initial inoculations. Raw data points are horizontally jittered to make overlapping points visible

bacterial concentration that was similar to that of the first inoculum. Moreover, the bacterial dynamics displayed in the three control rodents, which were inoculated with the second inoculum, were similar to those of the rodents infected with the first inoculum. Thus, our results suggest that the observed specific antibody response most likely prevented *Bartonella* re-establishment in the rodents upon reinoculation.

These findings of the limited-term nature of infections with *Bartonella krasnovii* A2 strain, likely owing to the long-lasting *Bartonella*-specific IgG antibody response of its rodent hosts, align with observations of other *Bartonella* species (e.g., *Bartonella grahamii*, *Bartonella taylorii*, and *Bartonella henselae*) in a variety of reservoir hosts, including house mouse (*Mus musculus*), cotton rats (*S. hispidus*), and cats (*F. catus*), which illustrated similar in vivo bacterial dynamics, antibody kinetics, antibody-mediated clearance of bacteremia, and failures of reinfection [24, 27, 29, 30]. Our findings also add to experimental evidence showing that IgG antibodies activate the complement system and inhibit *Bartonella* adhesion to erythrocytes (reviewed in [53]). Taken together, this evidence suggests that phylogenetically distant reservoir hosts have similar strategies for clearing *Bartonella* infections. These strategies are based on the high turnover rate of erythrocytes and the development of IgG antibodies that prevent bacterial binding to host erythrocytes when *Bartonella* are periodically seeded from other niches (see below; [53]). Thus, the results of our experiment broaden the universal view of the interactions between *Bartonella* and their reservoir hosts and suggest that if *Bartonella* did not continue to evolve rapidly, they would likely be eliminated from natural communities.

Recurrent bacteremia

We observed recurrent bacteremia in one G. andersoni host and one G. pyramidum host. In these individuals, Bartonella cells reappeared in the bloodstream within 30-40 days of their disappearance despite the fact that both individuals mounted strong Bartonella-specific responses upon inoculation. There are several possible explanations for this pattern of recurrence. First, it is possible that the infection had never been cleared from the blood of these two rodents, but that its level decreased below detectable levels [48]. However, as infections in the other inoculated rodents in the current study, as well as in 20 G. andersoni that were inoculated with the same Bartonella strain in a previous study [25], never lasted for more than 70 days, this explanation seems implausible. Second, the recurrent bacteremia may have been a result of a waning immune response [52]; however, this is unlikely, as in addition to displaying comparable antibody levels to the other rodents, the two rodents in question did not develop secondary bacteremia upon reinoculation (once they had cleared the recurrent infections).

A third possible explanation is that, upon first inoculation of these two rodent individuals, some bacterial cells remained in-as yet unidentified-cellular niches in host tissues, where they persisted and replicated. Only later, after the rest of the bacteria were cleared, did these latent bacteria re-enter and recolonize the blood stream. The first recognized so-called primary niche in Bartonella species was endothelial cells, but additional cellular niches with similar roles were later proposed, including the dermis, lymph nodes, bone marrow, liver, spleen, and the kidney (reviewed in [54]). This hiding-seeding mechanism was proposed as an explanation for the 3- to 6-day interval of recurrent bacteremia that was detected in rodent models and in people infected with Bartonella quintana [26, 27, 53]. This mechanism, which allows subpopulations of bacteria to hide and reappear in different niches, could also contribute to the long duration of the IgG antibody response that was observed in our study. However, the "hidden niche" hypothesis alone cannot explain the longer intervals of recurrent bacteremia that were observed in the current study, i.e., 30-60 days of initial bacteremia, followed by 30-40 days of Bartonellanegative blood, and then 40-60 days of recurrent bacteremia (Fig. 1c, n). After such long infection intervals, we would expect that, upon their release from the hidden niche, these bacterial cells, which are similar to the cells in the repeated inoculation, would be revealed and immediately targeted by the host immune response. Alternatively, we propose that, in the hidden niche, some Bartonella organisms have evolved to escape the specific IgG antibodies (see the below section on antigen escape). This hiding-mutating-seeding scenario that is supported by both the observed bacterial and IgG antibody dynamics, and aligns with immunological evidence from other *Bartonella* species [53], may also be responsible for the long intervals between recurrent bacteremia that were observed in experimental infections of cats [55] and longitudinal field studies (e.g., [48, 50]). This hypothesis should be confirmed by comparing the genome sequences of *Bartonella* in the host's blood during the peaks of initial and recurrent infections, and testing the cross-reactivity of the IgG response to these two bacterial sources.

Future studies should assess how common recurrent bacteremia is under natural conditions. In natural populations of cotton rats (S. hispidus) and deer mice (Peromyscus maniculatus), 8-15% of the hosts showed recurrent Bartonella infections [48, 50], similar rates to those observed in the current experiment (14%). However, since there is experimental evidence that recurrent bacteremia might be associated with intradermal inoculations, which resemble the vector-borne transmission route (current study; [28]), it is possible that, in the northwestern Negev Desert, flea transmission will even further amplify this phenomenon. Apart from assessing the commonality of this phenomenon, it is important to reveal the exact mechanism underlying recurrent bacteremia for a better understanding of host-pathogen interactions. The challenge of future longitudinal studies in animals and people will be to develop molecular techniques that differentiate between recurrent bacteremia and reinfection by the same strain. The distinction between these two processes, which was enabled here by our experimental set-up, is crucial for understanding pathogen population and community dynamics and for informing effective medical solutions against persistent infections [56].

Species-specific differences in antibody kinetics

Contrary to our hypothesis that at least one of the rodent species would exhibit a waning immune response, as mentioned above, we found that infection with B. krasnovii A2 strain elicited an efficient and protective immune response in all of the species tested. Yet, despite the similar microhabitats of G. gerbillus and G. pyramidum [34], and the similar body size of G. gerbillus and G. andersoni [57], our results indicate that the immune response of G. gerbillus may be more reactive than those of the two other species. This was demonstrated by the magnitude of the increases in antibody levels following reinfections compared to the primary responses, which were highest in G. gerbillus (Fig. 3a). In addition, the mean rate of antibody increase was greater (although not significantly different) in G. gerbillus than in G. pyramidum (Fig. 4). Finally, both the peak and overall bacterial loads of G. gerbillus individuals were significantly

lower than those of the two other species. In accordance with these results, *Bartonella* prevalence was lower in *G. gerbillus* populations in the study region compared to populations of the other two species (see supporting data in [31]).

Higher resistance is often observed when hosts are locally adapted to their pathogens [58-62]. Thus, the greater resistance of G. gerbillus may indicate that this host is more adapted to *Bartonella* than the two other species. However, considering the sporadic temporal and spatial occurrence of G. gerbillus as compared to the steady occurrence of G. andersoni and G. pyramidum rodents in the natural environment [34], it is unlikely that G. gerbillus faces strong selection due to infections with B. krasnovii A2 strain. Instead, assuming that Bartonella is ahead of its hosts in the evolutionary arms race, the higher bacterial loads in G. andersoni and G. pyramidum compared to G. gerbillus may indicate that B. krasnovii A2 strain is more adapted to these more reliable hosts, and can therefore better hide and/or escape from their IgG antibodies [63, 64]. To test this hypothesis, future experiments should compare the bacterial dynamics and immune kinetics of different Bartonella strains that are either locally adapted or not adapted to each of these rodent species. The aim of these studies would be to elucidate the missing links between the long-term infection dynamics, immune kinetics, and history of coevolution between these pathogens and their hosts, which is crucial information for understanding patterns of epidemiological dynamics in natural communities.

Future directions to solve the *Bartonella* pervasiveness puzzle

While the unique strategy by which Bartonella persists for weeks within the protected niche of host erythrocytes is consistent with their high prevalence in reservoir hosts, our finding that there is a comprehensive host serological immune response with an efficient memory leaves the puzzle of Bartonella's pervasiveness unresolved. Future laboratory experiments with food-deprived rodents, juvenile rodents, and reproductive female rodents under predation risk should be conducted to confirm our results in communities that better represent the states of these rodent populations in nature. These experiments could address whether a comprehensive immune response against Bartonella would also develop under more challenging conditions for hosts than the seminatural conditions provided in the current experiment. In parallel, it is important to follow the changes in infection status of, and Bartonella strain composition within, the same rodent individuals over monthly intervals in the field.

Considering that the studies proposed above may provide further support for the existence of a comprehensive immune response against *Bartonella*, we also suggest that other studies should be undertaken that focus on an alternative explanation for the puzzle of *Bartonella*'s pervasiveness, namely, the existence of genetic mechanisms that allow these pathogens to rapidly evade the well-adapted immune responses of their natural hosts. This alternative explanation is in line with the results of a longitudinal study of the dynamics of *Bartonella* observed in a natural population of cotton rats (*S. hispidus*), in which infections of the same individual hosts by *Bartonella* variants from different genogroups often followed one another [65, 66].

Antigenic variation-when pathogen populations evolve to alter surface features targeted by the host immune system-is one of the most widely used escape strategies that allows pathogens to reinfect hosts that have developed an immune response against the original strain (preceding antigenic changes; [67]). In Bartonella, at least three genetic mechanisms operate that could lead to rapid antigenic variation. First, contingency loci, which are hypermutable sites on specific genes, may undergo mutations that add or remove repeat units at high rates due to strand slippage during DNA replication. Although they have never been fully profiled in Bartonella, an elevated number of mononucleotide repeats in this genus, relative to other bacteria, has been noted [68]. Second, genome comparisons of virulence gene arrays in Bartonella revealed high rates of intragenomic recombination events that copy, delete, and hybridize the main versions of these genes with other nearby copies [69–72]. Finally, Bartonella share a domesticated prophage that acts as a gene transfer agent, packaging their DNA for transduction. Gene transfer agent-mediated recombination may accelerate antigenic variation, and virulence factor evolution through the exchange of DNA between co-infecting strains in the flea gut or host tissues [73]. Understanding what roles these and other genetic mechanisms for rapid evolution play in the spread and persistence of Bartonella may shed light on a universal mystery-the pervasive nature of limited-term pathogens despite efficient host immune responses.

Conclusions

This study constitutes an initial step toward understanding how the interplay between traits of *Bartonella* and their hosts influences the epidemiological dynamics of these limited-term pathogens in nature.

Acknowledgements

We thank Raquel Escudero Nieto and Aharon Friedman for their advice throughout the study, and two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

Author contributions

RR, NK, and HH conceived the ideas and designed the methodology; RR, NK, NS, and EBS collected the data; AZH and HH analyzed the data; HH led the

writing of the manuscript. All of the authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Funding

This study was supported by an Israel Foundation Science grant (award 1391/15 to HH) and an Ecology of Infectious Diseases grant (award DEB-1813069 from the National Science Foundation) under the auspices of the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation to LZ (principal investigator; PI), HH (PI), JEB, REL, and SH (co-PIs). AZH was supported by the Zuckerman STEM Leadership Program and RRP was supported by fellowships from the Kreitman School of Advanced Graduate Studies and the Swiss Institute for Dryland Environmental and Energy Research.

Availability of data and materials

The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the Dryad Digital repository at https://datadryad.org/stash/share/Xi0f1sWaXzrAGMU jaMPMB_uP_xN8OsM_qlCsJSpeUiQ, Rodríguez-Pastor et al. 2023.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This animal handling protocol was approved by the Committee for the Ethical Care and Use of Animals in Experiments of Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (permit number IL-59-09-2015). Animal populations originally captured from the wild were held in the Hawlena laboratory with the permission of the Israel Nature and Parks Authority (permit number H1877/2017).

Consent for publication

Submission for publication has been approved by all of the authors, and all persons entitled to authorship have been so named.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Received: 26 June 2023 Accepted: 6 August 2023 Published online: 04 September 2023

References

- Brian JI, Aldridge DC. Both presence-absence and abundance models provide important and different information about parasite infracommunities. Parasitol Res. 2021;120:3933–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00436-021-07327-0.
- Chong NS, Hardwick RJ, Smith SR, Truscott JE, Anderson RM. A prevalence-based transmission model for the study of the epidemiology and control of soil-transmitted helminthiasis. PLoS ONE. 2022;17:e0272600. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0272600.
- Pullan RL, Sturrock HJ, Soares Magalhaes RJ, Clements AC, Brooker SJ. Spatial parasite ecology and epidemiology: a review of methods and applications. Parasitology. 2012;139:1870–87. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0031182012000698.
- Pimentel AC, Beraldo CS, Cogni R. Host-shift as the cause of emerging infectious diseases: experimental approaches using *Drosophila*-virus interactions. J Genet Mol Biol. 2020;44:e20200197.
- Dorelien AM, Ballesteros S, Grenfell BT. Impact of birth seasonality on dynamics of acute immunizing infections in Sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e75806. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0075806.
- Begon M, Telfer S, Smith MJ, Burthe S, Paterson S, Lambin X. Seasonal host dynamics drive the timing of recurrent epidemics in a wildlife population. Proc R Soc B. 2009;276:1603–10. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.1732.
- Peel AJ, Pulliam JR, Luis AD, Plowright RK, O'Shea TJ, Hayman DT, et al. The effect of seasonal birth pulses on pathogen persistence in wild mammal populations. Proc R Soc B. 2014. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2962.
- Hayman DT. Biannual birth pulses allow filoviruses to persist in bat populations. Proc R Soc B. 2015;282:20142591. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb. 2014.2591.

- Simonsen O, Kjeldsen K, Heron I. Immunity against tetanus and effect of revaccination 25–30 years after primary vaccination. Lancet. 1984;2:1240– 2. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(84)92796-x.
- 10. Wendelboe AM, Van Rie A, Salmaso S, Englund JA. Duration of immunity against pertussis after natural infection or vaccination. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2005;24:S58-61. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.inf.0000160914.59160.41.
- 11. Lewnard JA, Grad YH. Vaccine waning and mumps re-emergence in the United States. Sci Transl Med. 2018;10:433.
- Hasselhorn HM, Nubling M, Tiller FW, Hofmann F. Factors influencing immunity against diphtheria in adults. Vaccine. 1998;16:70–5. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0264-410x(97)00148-5.
- Böttiger M. Polio immunity to killed vaccine—an 18-year follow-up. Vaccine. 1990;8:443–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410x(90)90244-G.
- Kunasekaran MP, Chen X, Costantino V, Chughtai AA, MacIntyre CR. Evidence for residual immunity to smallpox after vaccination and implications for re-emergence. Mil Med. 2019;184:E668–79. https://doi.org/10. 1093/milmed/usz181.
- Levin EG, Lustig Y, Cohen C, Fluss R, Indenbaum V, Amit S, et al. Waning immune humoral response to BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine over 6 months. New Engl J Med. 2021;385:e84. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMo a2114583.
- He Q, Pilosof S, Tiedje KE, Ruybal-Pesantez S, Artzy-Randrup Y, Baskerville EB, et al. Networks of genetic similarity reveal non-neutral processes shape strain structure in *Plasmodium falciparum*. Nat Commun. 2018;9:1817. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04219-3.
- 17. Day KP, Marsh K. Naturally acquired immunity to *Plasmodium falciparum*. Immunol Today. 1991;12:A68-71. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-5699(05) 80020-9.
- Wan XF, Ferguson L, Oliva J, Rubrum A, Eckard L, Zhang X, et al. Limited cross-protection provided by prior infection contributes to high prevalence of influenza D viruses in cattle. J Virol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JVI.00240-20.
- Wikramaratna PS, Gupta S. Influenza outbreaks. Cell Microbiol. 2009;11:1016–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2009.01320.x.
- Verhaegh D, Joosten LAB, Oosting M. The role of host immune cells and Borrelia burgdorferi antigens in the etiology of Lyme disease. Eur Cytokine Netw. 2017;28:70–84. https://doi.org/10.1684/ecn.2017.0396.
- Li J, Jia H, Tian M, Wu N, Yang X, Qi J, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and emerging variants: unmasking structure, function, infection, and immune escape mechanisms. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:869832. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fcimb.2022.869832.
- 22. Breitschwerdt EB, Maggi RG, Chomel BB, Lappin MR. Bartonellosis: an emerging infectious disease of zoonotic importance to animals and human beings. J Vet Emerg Crit Care. 2010;20:8–30. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1476-4431.2009.00496.x.
- Harms A, Dehio C. Intruders below the radar: molecular pathogenesis of *Bartonella* spp. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012;25:42–78. https://doi.org/10. 1128/Cmr.05009-11.
- 24. Koesling J, Aebischer T, Falch C, Schulein R, Dehio C. Cutting edge: antibody-mediated cessation of hemotropic infection by the intraerythrocytic mouse pathogen *Bartonella grahamii*. J Immunol. 2001;167:11–4. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.167.1.11.
- Eidelman A, Cohen C, Navarro-Castilla A, Filler S, Gutierrez R, Bar-Shira E, et al. The dynamics between limited-term and lifelong coinfecting bacterial parasites in wild rodent hosts. J Exp Biol. 2019. https://doi.org/ 10.1242/jeb.203562.
- Schülein R, Seubert A, Gille C, Lanz C, Hansmann Y, Piemont Y, et al. Invasion and persistent intracellular colonization of erythrocytes. A unique parasitic strategy of the emerging pathogen *Bartonella*. J Exp Med. 2001;193:1077–86. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.193.9.1077.
- Siewert LK, Korotaev A, Sedzicki J, Fromm K, Pinschewer DD, Dehio C. Identification of the *Bartonella* autotransporter CFA as a protective antigen and hypervariable target of neutralizing antibodies in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2202059119.
- Marignac G, Barrat F, Chomel B, Vayssier-Taussat M, Gandoin C, Bouillin C, et al. Murine model for *Bartonella birtlesii* infection: new aspects. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 2010;33:95–107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cimid.2008.07.011.
- Kosoy MY, Regnery RL, Kosaya OI, Childs JE. Experimental infection of cotton rats with three naturally occurring *Bartonella* species. J Wildl Dis. 1999;35:275–84.

- Abbott RC, Chomel BB, Kasten RW, Floyd-Hawkins KA, Kikuchi Y, Koehler JE, et al. Experimental and natural infection with *Bartonella henselae* in domestic cats. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. 1997;20:41–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0147-9571(96)00025-2.
- Kedem H, Cohen C, Messika I, Einav M, Pilosof S, Hawlena H. Multiple effects of host species diversity on co-existing host-specific and host-opportunistic microbes. Ecology. 2014;95:1173–83. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0678.1.
- Cohen C, Einav M, Hawlena H. Path analyses of cross-sectional and longitudinal data suggest that variability in natural communities of bloodassociated parasites is derived from host characteristics and not interspecific interactions. Parasite Vector. 2015;8:429. https://doi.org/10.1186/ S13071-015-1029-5.
- Gutiérrez R, Cohen C, Flatau R, Marcos-Hadad E, Garrido M, Halle S, et al. Untangling the knots: co-infection and diversity of *Bartonella* from wild gerbils and their associated fleas. Mol Ecol. 2018;27:4787–807. https://doi. org/10.1111/mec.14906.
- Halle S, Garrido M, Noy K, Messika I, Kedem H, Cohen C, et al. Cascading effects of sand stabilization on pathogen communities: connecting global and local processes. Glob Ecol Biogeogr. 2021;31:215–32.
- 35. Garrido M, Halle S, Flatau R, Cohen C, Navarro-Castilla A, Barja I, et al. The dilution effect behind the scenes: testing the underlying assumptions of its mechanisms through quantifying the long-term dynamics and effects of a pathogen in multiple host species. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2021;288:e20210773. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0773.
- Breitschwerdt EB. Bartonellosis: one health perspectives for an emerging infectious disease. ILAR J. 2014;55:46–58. https://doi.org/10.1093/ilar/ilu015.
- Boulouis HJ, Chang CC, Henn JB, Kasten RW, Chomel BB. Factors associated with the rapid emergence of zoonotic *Bartonella* infections. Vet Res. 2005;36:383–410. https://doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2005009.
- Abramsky Z, Ovadia O, Rosenzweig ML. The shape of a *Gerbillus pyramidum* (Rodentia, Gerbillinae) isocline—an experimental field-study. Oikos. 1994;69:318–26. https://doi.org/10.2307/3546153.
- Abramsky Z, Rosenzweig ML, Pinshow B. The shape of a gerbil isocline measured using principles of optimal habitat selection. Ecology. 1991;72:329–40.
- Rodriguez-Pastor R, Shafran Y, Knossow N, Gutierrez R, Harrus S, Zaman L, et al. A road map for in vivo evolution experiments with blood-borne parasitic microbes. Mol Ecol Resour. 2022;22:2843–59. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1755-0998.13649.
- Cohen C: Reciprocal relationships between a host and its symbionts and within the symbiotic community. Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva: Ben-Gurion University of the Negev; 2018.
- Hong JH, Li Y, Hua XG, Bai YJ, Wang CY, Zhu CX, et al. Lymphatic circulation disseminates *Bartonella* infection into bloodstream. J Infect Dis. 2017;215:303–11. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiw526.
- R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2022.
- Jurasinski G, Koebsch F, Guenther A, Beetz S. Flux: flux rate calculation from dynamic closed chamber measurements. In: R package, 0.3–0.1 edn2022.
- Hall BG, Acar H, Nandipati A, Barlow M. Growth rates made easy. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31:232–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst187.
- Abramsky Z. Population biology of *Gerbillus allenbyi* in northern Israel. Mammalia. 1984;48:197–206.
- 47. Sinai P, Krasnov BR, Shenbrot GI, Choshniak I. Ecology and behaviour of the lesser Egyptian gerbil (*Gerbillus gerbillus*) (Rodentia: Gerbillidae) from the Negev highlands and Arava valley, Israel. Mammalia. 2003;67:1–14.
- Bai Y, Calisher CH, Kosoy MY, Root JJ, Doty JB. Persistent infection or successive reinfection of deer mice with *Bartonella vinsonii* subsp. *arupensis*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2011;77:1728–31. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02203-10.
- Birtles RJ, Hazel SM, Bennett M, Bown K, Raoult D, Begon M. Longitudinal monitoring of the dynamics of infections due to *Bartonella* species in UK woodland rodents. Epidemiol Infect. 2001;126:323–9.
- Kosoy M, Mandel E, Green D, Marston E, Jones D, Childs J. Prospective studies of *Bartonella* of rodents. II. Diverse infections in a single rodent community. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2004;4:296–305.
- Jardine C, McColl D, Wobeser G, Leighton FA. Diversity of *Bartonella* genotypes in Richardson's ground squirrel populations. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2006;6:395–403. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2006.6.395.
- Gutiérrez R, Krasnov B, Morick D, Gottlieb Y, Khokhlova IS, Harrus S. Bartonella infection in rodents and their flea ectoparasites: an overview. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2015;15:27–39. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2014.1606.

- Pulliainen AT, Dehio C. Persistence of *Bartonella* spp. stealth pathogens: from subclinical infections to vasoproliferative tumor formation. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2012;36:563–99. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00324.x.
- Alvarez-Fernandez A, Breitschwerdt EB, Solano-Gallego L. Bartonella infections in cats and dogs including zoonotic aspects. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11:624. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-3152-6.
- 55. Kordick DL, Breitschwerdt EB. Relapsing bacteremia after blood transmission of *Bartonella henselae* to cats. Am J Vet Res. 1997;58:492–7.
- Johnson JR. Differentiating relapse from same-strain reinfection in recurring Gram-negative bacteremia. Clin Infect Dis. 1999;29:1603. https://doi.org/10. 1086/313535.
- Mendelssohn H, Yom-Tov Y. Mammalia of Israel. Jerusalem: The Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities; 1999.
- Buckling A, Rainey PB. Antagonistic coevolution between a bacterium and a bacteriophage. Proc R Soc B. 2002;269:931–6. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb. 2001.1945.
- Shimizu YK, Hijikata M, Iwamoto A, Alter HJ, Purcell RH, Yoshikura H. Neutralizing antibodies against *hepatitis C* virus and the emergence of neutralization escape mutant viruses. J Virol. 1994;68:1494–500. https://doi.org/10. 1128/JVI.68.3.1494-1500.1994.
- Duffy MA, Sivars-Becker L. Rapid evolution and ecological host-parasite dynamics. Ecol Lett. 2007;10:44–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248. 2006.00995.x.
- Berenos C, Schmid-Hempel P, Wegner KM. Evolution of host resistance and trade-offs between virulence and transmission potential in an obligately killing parasite. J Evol Biol. 2009;22:2049–56. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01821.x.
- Bonneaud C, Tardy L, Giraudeau M, Hill GE, McGraw KJ, Wilson AJ. Evolution of both host resistance and tolerance to an emerging bacterial pathogen. Evol Lett. 2019;3:544–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/evl3.133.
- 63. Greischar MA, Koskella B. A synthesis of experimental work on parasite local adaptation. Ecol Lett. 2007;10:418–34.
- 64. Eizaguirre C, Lenz TL. Major histocompatibility complex polymorphism: dynamics and consequences of parasite-mediated local adaptation in fishes. J Fish Biol. 2010;77:2023–47. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649. 2010.02819.x.
- Chan KS, Kosoy M. Analysis of multi-strain *Bartonella* pathogens in natural host population—do they behave as species or minor genetic variants? Epidemics. 2010;2:165–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2010.08.002.
- Ahn KW, Kosoy M, Chan KS. An approach for modeling cross-immunity of two strains, with application to variants of *Bartonella* in terms of genetic similarity. Epidemics. 2014;7:7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2014.03. 001.
- Deitsch KW, Lukehart SA, Stringer JR. Common strategies for antigenic variation by bacterial, fungal and protozoan pathogens. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7:493–503. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2145.
- Coenye T, Vandamme P. Characterization of mononucleotide repeats in sequenced prokaryotic genomes. DNA Res. 2005;12:221–33. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/dnares/dsi009.
- Engel P, Salzburger W, Liesch M, Chang CC, Maruyama S, Lanz C, et al. Parallel evolution of a type IV secretion system in radiating lineages of the host-restricted bacterial pathogen *Bartonella*. PLOS Genet. 2011;7:e1001296. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1001296.
- Schülein R, Guye P, Rhomberg TA, Schmid MC, Schroder G, Vergunst AC, et al. A bipartite signal mediates the transfer of type IV secretion substrates of *Bartonella* henselae into human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102:856–61. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0406796102.
- Nystedt B, Frank AC, Thollesson M, Andersson SG. Diversifying selection and concerted evolution of a type IV secretion system in *Bartonella*. Mol Biol Evol. 2008;25:287–300. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm252.
- Thibau A, Hipp K, Vaca DJ, Chowdhury S, Malmstrom J, Saragliadis A, et al. Long-read sequencing reveals genetic adaptation of *Bartonella* adhesin a among different *Bartonella henselae* isolates. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:838267. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.838267.
- Québatte M, Dehio C. Bartonella gene transfer agent: evolution, function, and proposed role in host adaptation. Cell Microbiol. 2019;21:e13068. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.13068.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

