

Culex modestus: the overlooked mosquito vector



Alina Soto¹ and Leen Delang^{1*}

Abstract

Culex (Barraudius) modestus (Ficalbi 1889) are found in temperate regions across Europe, Asia, and Northern Africa. These mosquitoes thrive during the summer and prefer to breed in permanent vegetative habitats such as rice paddies and marshes. *Culex modestus* feed on a wide range of bird species but are highly attracted to humans, which makes them a potential 'bridge' vector for enzootic pathogens. There is compelling evidence that *Culex modestus* is an efficient vector for West Nile virus, potentially capable of causing epidemics in humans and other mammals. This species is also a likely vector for Usutu virus, avian malaria (*Plasmodium* spp.), and parasitic heartworms (*Dirofilaria* spp.). *Culex modestus* can be morphologically identified at the larval and adult stages, and a distinctive phenotype of this species is their ability to overwinter. Despite the widespread establishment of this mosquito species and their role as vectors for human pathogens, we lack sufficient knowledge on this species to implement and evaluate targeted vector control measures. Since *Culex modestus* can be considered a potential public health threat, there is a need for a better understanding of this mosquito species.

Keywords Culex modestus, Mosquito, Vector, Arbovirus, Avian malaria, Canine filariasis

Background

Culex (*Cx.*) mosquitoes of the *Culicidae* family are important global vectors for human and animal pathogens, including arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) and eukaryotic parasites. In Europe, the northern house mosquito *Cx. pipiens* sensu lato has been traditionally considered the primary mosquito vector responsible for pathogen transmission. They are a known vector for West Nile virus, Japanese encephalitis virus, Rift Valley fever virus, Sindbis virus, Tahyna virus, dirofilarial worms, and avian malaria [1]. Yet, a lesser known member of the *Culex* genus—*Cx. (Barraudius) modestus*—may play an important role in pathogen transmission as well. Little is

*Correspondence:

leen.delang@kuleuven.be

¹ Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Transplantation, Rega Institute for Medical Research, Laboratory of Virology and Chemotherapy, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium known about this vector, but evidence suggests that *Cx. modestus* is more anthropophilic (human-biting) and potentially more competent as a West Nile virus vector than *Cx. pipiens* s.l. mosquitoes. In this article we present a review of the literature, including what is known, and what is unknown, about the important but overlooked mosquito vector *Cx. modestus*.

Ecology

Geographic distribution

Culex modestus was first identified in 1889 in Ravenna, Italy [2], and has since been discovered across a wide landscape of countries in Europe, northern Africa, and Asia (Fig. 1). The current distribution of native *Cx. modestus* mosquitoes at the regional level in Europe can be found on the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) website, where they provide regular updates on the distribution of native and invasive mosquito species [3].

The *Cx. modestus* genotype can be divided into lineages I and II based on haplotypes in the mitochondrial



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Leen Delang



Fig. 1 Map of *Culex modestus* distribution (as of August 2023). Countries highlighted in blue represent those with at least one report of *Cx. modestus*, whereas those in grey indicate that *Cx. modestus* are absent or have not yet been reported. Sources used in the creation of this map can be found in the supplementary material (Additional file 2: Table S1). Created with Mapchart.net

cytochrome oxidase 1 gene (COX1) [4, 5]. Lineage I has been found in Spain and Portugal, lineage II was found in Germany, Sweden, and the UK, and both lineages were found in Belgium, France, Serbia, and Denmark. A haplotype analysis suggested that *Cx. modestus* from the UK and Germany share a common origin from France, whilst another part of the UK population may have been derived from Serbia [5]. In Belgium, *Cx. modestus* were likely derived from the UK and Germany, possibly the result of several independent introduction events [4]. Phylogeny on the basis of rRNA intergenic spacers between the 28S rRNA gene (3' end) and 18S rRNA gene (5' end) on chromosome I revealed that *Cx. modestus* is highly evolutionarily distant from *Cx. pipiens pipiens, Cx. pipiens molestus*, and *Cx. torrentium* [6].

It would be interesting to investigate the origin of *Cx. modestus* and how they have spread inter- and cross-continentally. A small number of *Cx. modestus* were found breeding in used tires during a large national survey in Spain [7], alerting to a potential mode of dispersal to new areas. In a mosquito survey of international ships arriving to Hebei Province in China, more than half of the vessels were found with mosquitoes onboard [8]. Almost all the mosquitoes on these ships were *Culex* (97%), and *Cx. modestus* and *Cx. tritaeniorhynchus* were the dominant species. Sea travel could therefore be a likely route of migration for these species. Given the extensive establishment of *Cx. modestus* across Eurasia and northern Africa, potential introduction to new areas by global transport, and how the effects of climate change

are expected to develop more suitable mosquito climates and habitats across the globe, this species will probably appear in new areas.

Habitat

In contrast to *Cx. pipiens* s.l., which can be found scattered across a wide range of ecological habitats, *Cx. modestus* are generally restricted to specific rural and agricultural breeding sites [9]. Their larvae are found in mostly permanent water bodies including rice fields [10–12], reedbeds [11, 13, 14], fish ponds [9, 15–17], wetlands [9, 11, 18], marshes [11, 19, 20], and woodlands [13] or deciduous forests [14]. They have been found co-habitating with other mosquito species, mostly those of the Anopheline and Culicine genera (Table 1).

Specific details regarding the ecological and climatic factors that influence *Cx. modestus* breeding are based on a limited number of studies. A cross-sectional survey conducted across 46 locations in northwestern Iran described the larval habitats of *Cx. modestus* in detail [24]. Most *Cx. modestus* were found in natural habitats (85%) as opposed to artificial ones (15%), in water bodies that were permanent (90%) rather than temporary (10%). Most were found in water that was slow running (73%) as opposed to stagnant (27%) and transparent (98%) versus opaque (2%). Most habitats contained vegetation (85%) as opposed to no vegetation (15%), with a bed type not based on clay or sand (55%). Most larvae were found in semi-shade (60%) compared to sunny (4%) or shade (36%). Similarly, *Cx. modestus* in Algeria could

Genus	Species	Country	Habitat	Source
Aedes	Ae. (Ochlerotatus) caspius	Iran	Unspecified	[21]
Anopheles	An. claviger	Algeria	Peri-urban habitat	[22]
	An. hyrcanus	Unspecified	Rice fields	[10]
	An. labranchiae	Algeria	Peri-urban habitat	[22]
	An. maculipennis s.l	Moldova	Unspecified	[23]
	An. melanoon	France	Rice fields and reed beds	[11]
	An. sacharovi	Unspecified	Rice fields	[10]
Culex	Cx. longiareolata	Algeria	Peri-urban habitat	[22]
	Cx. pipiens s.l	Algeria	Peri-urban habitat	[22]
		Belgium	Peri-urban habitat	[4]
		Iran	Unspecified	[21]
	Cx. territans	Moldova	Unspecified	[23]
	Cx. theileri	Algeria Iran	Peri-urban habitat Unspecified	[21, 22]
		Unspecified	Unspecified	[10]
		Moldova	Unspecified	[23]
	Cx. torrentium	Moldova	Unspecified	[23]
Culiseta	Cs. annulata	Moldova	Unspecified	[23]
	Cs. subochrea	Iran	Unspecified	[21]
Uranotaenia	Ur. unguiculata	Unspecified	Unspecified	[10]
		Moldova	Unspecified	[23]

 Table 1
 Mosquito species found to co-habitate with Culex modestus larvae

only be found at peri-urban as opposed to urban habitats [22]. The peri-urban sites were described as having open, permanent, stagnant, and clean water with an average pH of 7.7. In contrast, a different larval sampling survey in Iran found more *Cx. modestus* in urban than rural areas; however, only a small number of *Cx. modestus* was recovered and represented only a small proportion of the overall mosquito population (2.4%, n=33) [25]. The ability to grow in urban settings suggests that *Cx. modestus* are adaptable to diverse environments.

In a random sampling of adult mosquitoes at a nature reserve in Spain, the abundance of Cx. modestus was positively associated with inundation area (i.e. water surface) and hydroperiod (i.e. water permanence) [20]. The Cx. modestus displayed a preference for hydroperiods of > 150-200 days/year. In a 7-year surveillance study of adult mosquitoes in the Piedmont Region of Italy, proximity to rice fields was positively correlated to population clusters and abundance of Cx. modestus [26]. Elevation and distance from breeding sites, on the other hand, were negatively associated with abundance. When comparing two mosquito seasons—a wetter season with a colder average temperature (2002: 20.5 °C, 512 mm) vs. a drier season with a warmer average temperature (2003: 23 °C, 122 mm)-more Cx. modestus were captured during the wetter and colder season [26], demonstrating the importance of water surface area for *Cx. modestus* breeding.

The abundance of Cx. modestus was shown to be affected by agricultural changes. In fact, the rapid proliferation and subsequent near elimination of Cx. modestus are well described in the Camargue region of France [27]. Culex modestus was rarely reported in the Camargue until a massive upscale in rice cultivation following World War II. The population of Cx. modestus as well as An. hyrcanus became widespread and abundant throughout the region where they were considered major pests and nuisance biters. In that period, increases in mosquito density could thus be attributed to changes in paddy surface area, which suggests that reed marshes alone cannot sustain populations of Cx. modestus to the same extent as rice paddies. In later years, rice cultivation in France started to decrease and insecticide use in remaining paddies was implemented to combat pests. Consequently, the mosquito populations in these fields declined. Since the start of the twenty-first century, insecticide use has been slowly replaced by more sustainable pest control methods, resulting in a gradual increase in mosquito populations once again.

Biology

Lifecycle

Populations of Cx. modestus bloom during the warm summer months. Most studies that measured levels of Cx. modestus across different periods captured larger numbers in the months of July and August [19, 26, 28-35]. One study in Romania captured more Cx. modestus in late August or early September [36], because, interestingly, while more Cx. pipiens s.l. were captured over the summer months, towards the end of the season the proportion of Cx. modestus exceeded that of Cx. pipiens s.l. mosquitoes. In France, more Cx. modestus were captured in June or July [37]. One sampling study from Spain found a significantly higher proportion captured in marshlands in June (98%) than in any other habitat or time between March and November [20]. Likewise, a study from Moldova also captured a higher number in June (49.4%) than any other time between May and September [38]. A sampling study in Algeria found *Cx. modestus* from May to October with temperatures ranging from 17.9 at night to 31.4 °C during the day and rainfall between 0 and 66 mm [22].

Little is known about the life cycle of *Cx. modestus* and what sets it apart from other members of the *Culex* genus. *Culex modestus* has been reported to overwinter (diapause) [13, 39], a phenomenon characterized by the ability of female mosquitoes to 'hibernate' during the cold months of the year. Like *Cx. pipiens pipiens* and *Culex tarsalis*, female *Cx. modestus* enter diapause when temperatures begin to fall after the summer [40], which encourages female mosquitoes to fly to secluded shelters such as caves or bunkers. When outdoor temperatures begin to rise again, females exit diapause and seek a blood meal to produce a batch of eggs. This adaptation to the cold raises concern over potential arbovirus carryover between transmission seasons [41].

The potential for *Cx. modestus* to produce a first batch of eggs without a prior blood meal (autogeny) was briefly mentioned in one research article in which larvae were captured in the Padurea Domneasca reserve in Moldova and reared to adulthood in the laboratory [23]. The researchers observed that female adults deposited a first batch of viable eggs after sugar feeding without a prior blood meal, and the same was observed in the next generation. This is currently the only report of autogeny in *Cx. modestus*.

Three research groups have reported the rearing of *Cx. modestus* in the laboratory. A colony from Lattes, France, was maintained at 26 ± 1 °C with > 50% RH and a 16-h photoperiod with 1.5 h of simulated dawn/dusk crepuscular periods [42, 43]. Larvae were reared in pans containing tap water and yeast tablets [43], while adults were provided with 10% sugar solution and heparinized rabbit

blood for egg production [42, 43]. A laboratory colony of *Cx. modestus* (Beijing strain) in China was maintained at 26 ± 1 °C and $75 \pm 5\%$ RH under a 14-h photoperiod [44]. In a study from Greece that used F₀ adults reared from larvae, the larvae were captured and consequently reared in the laboratory in pans (53.3×40.6 cm) filled with deionized water and aerated with aquarium pumps [45]. Larvae were fed a mixture of liver and yeast powder medium (2:3 ratio) under natural light-dark conditions at 25 °C and >60% RH, and adult mosquitoes were provided with 10% sugar solution.

Host preference

Culex modestus are known to feed on birds and mammals interchangeably, which could make this species a good 'bridge' vector for enzootic pathogens such as West Nile virus. While it has been suggested that Cx. modestus displays a preference for feeding on birds (ornithophily) [46], certain data from literature show a strong disposition for human biting. A study evaluating human biting willingness in mosquitoes found that Cx. modestus and other human-biting (anthropophilic) species such as Anopheles plumbeus, Aedes vexans, Ae. (Ochlerotatus) sticticus, and Cx. pipiens molestus were positively correlated to human biting (>0.7 human biting willingness), whereas species such as Cx. pipiens pipiens and Culex territans were negatively correlated (< 0.1 human biting willingness) [47]. The relative biting risk index (RBRI) for Cx. modestus (0.07 RBRI) was higher than that of 38 out of 42 species included in the study but lower than for Ae. vexans (>0.4 RBRI), Aedes (Ochlerotatus) annulipes (0.25 RBRI), and Ae. (Ochlerotatus) sticticus (>0.1 RBRI) [47]. Another study observed that Cx. modestus were more attracted to humans than to birds, whereas Cx. *pipiens* s.l. were more attracted to birds [48]. Recent evidence therefore suggests that Cx. modestus displays more anthropophilic or mammalophilic rather than ornithophilic feeding behaviour.

Known hosts of *Cx. modestus* are based on a limited number of studies (Table 2). *Culex modestus* has been shown to feed on freshwater dwellers such as ducks, geese, and herons; mammals and farmland animals such as dogs, chickens, cattle, and horses; and even birds of prey (i.e. Western Marsh harrier) and reptiles. Given the natural aquatic habitats of *Cx. modestus* in areas such as rice paddies and marshes, it is fitting that they feed on animals from the same habitats, such as wild aquatic birds or rural farmland animals. It is interesting that some studies have found this species to be more attracted to humans than to birds, but blood-meal host identification on field-captured mosquitoes found more mosquitoes that fed on birds than mammals. This suggests that

Class	Scientific name	Common name	Country	Source
Bird (Aves)	Anas acuta	Northern pintail Spain		[100]
	Anas platyrhynchos	Mallard	Czech Republic	[9]
			Spain	[46, 100]
	Anas strepera	Gadwall	Czech Republic	[9]
			Spain	[100]
	Anas sp.	Duck (unspecified)	China	[53]
			France	[30]
			Spain	[101]
	Anser anser	Greylag goose	Czech Republic	[9]
			Spain	[46, 100]
	Ardea cinerea	Grey heron	Spain	[100]
	Branta canadensis	Canada goose	Spain	[100]
	Chen caerulescens	Snow goose	Spain	[100]
	Circus aeruginosus	Western Marsh harrier	Czech Republic	[9]
	Cygnus olor	Mute swan	United Kingdom	[28]
	Egretta garzetta	Little egret	Spain	[100]
	Emberiza citrinella	Yellowhammer	Czech Republic	[9]
	Erithacus rubecula	European robin	Czech Republic	[9]
	Falco vespertinus	Red-footed falcon	Hungary	[96]
	, Fringilla coelebs	Eurasian chaffinch	Czech Republic	[9]
	Gallus aallus	Red iunalefowl	Spain	[46, 100]
	Gallus sp.	Chicken (unspecified)	Russia	[87]
	Hirundo rustica	Barn swallow	Czech Republic	[9]
			United Kingdom	[28]
	Muscicana striata	Spotted flycatcher	Czech Republic	[9]
	Nycticorax nycticorax	Black-crowned night heron	Czech Republic	[9]
	Parus caeruleus	Blue tit	Czech Republic	[9]
	Parus maior	Great tit	Czech Republic	[9]
	Passer domesticus	House sparrow	Czech Republic	[9]
	Sturnus vulgaris	Common starling	Czech Republic	[9]
	Tadorna ferruainea	Buddy shelduck	dv shelduck Spain	
	Tadorna tadorna	Common shelduck	Spain	[100]
	Turdus merula	Eurasian blackbird	Czech Republic	[9]
	Unspecified	Unspecified	Spain	[34 46]
Mammal (Mammalia)	Bostaurus	Cow	China	[33]
Marinnar (Marinnana)		2000	Russia	[87]
	Canis familiaris	Dog	Italy	[01]
	Curris furninuris	Dog	Bussia	[97]
	Fauus caballus	Horse	France	[30]
	Equas cabanas	HOISE	Pussia	[90]
	Homo canianc	Human	Pussia	[07]
	nonno supiens	пипап	nussia	[0/] [51]
	Sucdomosticus	Dia	China	[1]
	Juscopeiferd	riy	China	
Dentile (Dentili-)	Unspecified	Unspecified Spain		[34, 46]
keptile (keptilia)	Unspecified	Unspecifiea	Spain	[34]

Table 2 Host sources of *Culex modestus* based on blood-meal identification or host-feeding studies

the feeding preference of *Cx. modestus* depends on host abundance and availability in their current habitats.

Host-seeking behaviour

Understanding the host-seeking behaviour of mosquitoes can help to elucidate their vectorial capacity and determine potential target areas for vector and pest control. *Culex modestus* are nuisance biters described as having painful bites [10, 49, 50]. The hourly human biting rate of Cx. modestus captured in England was found to be 2.5 with a range of 0-55 [51], but most likely the daily bites and frequency of feeding will depend on the local mosquito abundance and climate. Culex modestus are nocturnal feeders, but different studies have reported differences in peak biting activity. One research group observed different host-seeking activities depending on the mode of trapping [32]. With CO_2 -baited traps, the host-seeking pattern was unimodal with peak trapping in the evening (87.0%) and to a lesser extent during the night (12.2%) or early morning (0.7%). With human landing catches (HLCs), host-seeking activity was bimodal with most adult mosquitoes still captured in the evening (84.9%) but a non-negligible proportion captured in the morning (15.1%). The same study found that the timing of the sunset as well as relative humidity (RH) influenced the initiation of flight and peak flight activity in this species. In another study, the biting activity of Cx. modestus ranged from -0.5 to +2 h from sunset, with peak biting at+1 h [51]. A different study observed that Cx. modestus fed exclusively at night with peak activity from 22:00 to 00:00, but the timing in relation to the sunset was not reported [52]. Finally, one article reported a higher number of *Cx. modestus* captured on average at dusk (21:00 to 23:00) than at dawn (05:00 to 07:00), but the difference in mean number captured per night was small ($n = \sim 180$ at dusk, $n = \sim 120$ at dawn) [53].

Limited evidence on the flight range of Cx. modestus suggests that they have a restricted travel radius. Compared to Cx. pipiens s.l., Cx. modestus was less likely to stray from the shorelines of breeding sites [9]. The radial distance of active dispersion around an emergence site for Cx. modestus was estimated to be 700 m, shorter than that of An. melanoon (1000 m) which co-habitated in the same breeding sites [11]. A trapping study that captured mosquitoes using traps placed at different distances from the ground measured a mean flying height of 2.26 m (\pm 0.57) for *Cx. modestus*, which was lower than that of Cx. pipiens s.l. $(2.66 \text{ m} \pm 0.90)$ but higher than for Coquillettidia richiardii (2.00 m±1.00), Aedes detritus (1.98 m \pm 0.71), and Ae. caspius (1.74 m \pm 0.24) [54]. A different study observed that more Cx. modestus were captured in traps placed 5 m above the ground than at 1

or 3 m, suggesting that this altitude reflected the ornithophilic behaviour of the species [53].

Observations of *Cx. modestus* in Volgograd, Russia, found that they could feed interchangeably indoors (endophagy) and outdoors (exophagy) [48]. *Culex modestus* fed indoors more frequently than *Cx. pipiens* s.l., and they fed more often on humans and other mammals both indoors and outdoors than *Cx. pipiens* s.l. mosquitoes. As the *Cx. modestus* in this study were found in both rural and urban areas, more research is needed to understand their feeding behaviour across different habitats in other locations.

Microbiome

Unravelling the microbiome of mosquito vectors can help to identify potential targets for control. A prime example of a microbe used in the biological control of mosquitoes is Wolbachia pipientis, an intracellular bacterium which has been successfully used as an antiviral strategy against dengue virus in endemic areas [55]. While Wolbachia is known to persistently infect Culex spp. [56], particularly Cx. pipiens s.l., which has a high prevalence of Wolbachia, current evidence suggests that most Cx. modestus do not carry this endosymbiont [57, 58]. Mosquitoes from Eastern Europe (7% prevalence rate) [58] and Italy (unknown prevalence rate) [57] have been found infected with Wolbachia, while one study with a low sample size (n = 11) did not find any Wolbachia-infected *Cx. modestus* from Belgium [59]. It would be interesting to investigate whether Wolbachia can modify arbovirus infection in Cx. modestus, as it has been shown to do for other species [60].

Viral metagenomic analyses of field-captured mosquitoes have identified insect-specific viruses (ISVs) belonging to *Cx. modestus* captured from Belgium [4] and eastern Macedonia and northern Greece [61] (Table 3). The latter identified several core ISVs that overlapped

 Table 3
 Insect-specific viruses found in Culex modestus

Туре	Family	Name	Source
(-)ssRNA	Orthomyxoviridae	Culex orthomyxo-like virus	[4, 61]
dsRNA	Chrysoviridae	Hubei chryso-like virus 1	[<mark>61</mark>]
	Partitiviridae	Atrato Partiti-like virus 3	[4]
		Beihai partiti-like virus 2	[<mark>4, 61</mark>]
		Sonnbo virus	[4]
(+)ssRNA	Picornaviridae	lsta virus	[4]
	Virgaviridae	Alexandroupolis virga-like virus	[61]
dsRNA	Totiviridae	Culex inatomii totivirus	[<mark>4, 61</mark>]
		Fitzroy Crossing toti-like virus	[4]
N/A	Unclassified	Yongsan negev-like virus 1	[4]

between *Cx. modestus* and *Cx. theileri, An. melanoon,* and *Uranotaenia unguiculata,* which have been found to co-habitate together. The potential role of these ISVs on the life history, survival, and vector competence of *Cx. modestus* mosquitoes is not known.

Microsporidians of the genus *Cristulospora* have been found in *Cx. modestus* from Uzbekistan [62], and the arthropod parasite *Crithidia brevicula* was found in mosquitoes from the Czech Republic [63]. It has been shown that *Cx. modestus* larvae can be infected with the parasitic mermithid nematode *Romanomermis iyengari* [64] and the fungal parasite *Coelomomyces iliensis* [65]. Further research is needed to characterize the complete microbiome of *Cx. modestus*, including the bacteriome and mycobiome, across a wider geographical landscape.

Morphology and Identification Morphology

Upon its discovery by Ficalbi in 1889, *Cx. modestus* was described using the phrase "*zanzara di colorito modesto*", which translates to "*mosquito of modest colouring*". This is likely the origin of the *modestus* name, as this species is small with homogeneously brown features. The morphology of *Cx. modestus* and other members of the *Barraudius* Edwards subgenus is described in detail by Becker et al. 2010 [10].

The unique characteristics of Cx. modestus that are used for their morphological identification can be found in the terga, hind legs, male gonocoxite, and larval siphons [10]. The terga display dark triangular patches over the longitudinal abdominal bands (Fig. 2A). In the hind legs, tarsomere I is distinctly shorter than the hind tibia (Fig. 2B) [10]. A microscopic image of adult Cx. modestus compared to Cx. pipiens pipiens can be found in the supplemental material (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). The male gonostylus is long, comprising half the length of the gonocoxite, and the ventral arm of the aedeagus is short, not extending beyond the apex of the paraproct (Fig. 2C-D) [10]. In the larval siphon, the tufts 1-S are arranged in a "ventral zig zag row" towards the apex of the siphon, with one tuft usually inserted in the pecten, and the saddle seta 1-X have two or three branches (Fig. 2E) [10]. Due to general similarities in morphology, Cx. modestus can be confused with Ae. cinereus or Cx. *martini* [10].

Molecular identification

Currently, the primary method of molecular detection of *Cx. modestus* is DNA barcoding. This entails PCR amplification of a target sequence such as the mitochondrial COX1 or ribosomal ITS or rDNA genes to produce a "universal" fragment, which, when sequenced, can be used to identify a range of insect species [66]. A



Fig. 2 Identifiable characteristics of *Culex modestus*. A Schematic of adult abdomen; B adult hind leg; C male gonocoxite; D male hypopygium; E larval siphon. Schematic A made using Procreate.com. Schematics B–E were reproduced and adapted from Becker et al. 2010 [10] with permission from Springer Nature. The black arrows indicate the unique identifiable features

common barcoding target is the 710-bp fragment in COX1 described by Folmer et al. [67]. COX1 is the largest of the mitochondrial subunit-encoding genes and is highly conserved with a slow rate of nucleotide substitution, making it an excellent target for insect species identification. Diagnostic enzyme markers are another target for molecular identification, as malate dehydrogenase 2 and adenylate kinase have been identified as suitable allozyme markers for the taxonomy of *Cx. modestus* [68].

Other *Culex* species have several molecular markers that can be used for identification, including microsatellite loci (e.g. CQ11), nuclear genes such as *ace-2* [69], and the ribosomal ITS region. While morphological identification is considered the gold standard method for mosquito identification, morpho-taxonomy can be highly time-consuming and often misleading if samples are handled improperly or if performed by an inexperienced taxonomist. For large-scale studies capturing high volumes of mosquitoes, a PCR- or RT-PCR-based method of identification would be ideal.

Disease transmission

Vector incrimination is necessary to determine if an arthropod species is a natural vector of disease. Following the same principle as Koch's postulates, vector incrimination determines if there is an association in space and time between the arthropod species and human cases of the disease, evidence of direct contact between the arthropod species and the humans, and evidence that the arthropod can harbour and transmit the pathogen [70]. While there is significant evidence of *Cx. modestus* attraction and willingness to bite humans, eco-epidemio-logical modelling data for this species are lacking. Understanding vector population dynamics and transmission risk over space and time is needed to anticipate potential outbreaks and evaluate the impact of vector control or outbreak mitigation strategies.

The ability of a species to acquire, maintain, and transmit a pathogen is defined as vector competence. Vector competence studies of mosquitoes typically involve measures of infection rate, dissemination rate, and transmission rate as the proportion of mosquitoes with pathogens in the body, head, and saliva, respectively. Mosquitoes with detectable pathogens in the saliva are considered competent vectors, with the proportion of competent mosquitoes in a species expressed as the transmission efficiency. Ideally, mosquito vector competence is determined using living mosquitoes to determine the presence of infectious pathogens in the saliva. Vector competence studies are preferable to the detection of DNA or RNA in field-captured mosquitoes, as the detection of nucleic acid alone is not sufficient evidence to implicate an insect as a vector. However, to understand the true risk of mosquito-borne disease transmission in a given area, measures of vector competence of a species can be used to determine their vectorial capacity. Vectorial capacity, or the Ross-Macdonald model, is defined as the number of infectious mosquito bites per day, a rate comparable to the basic reproduction number (R_0) [71]. Vectorial capacity includes the human biting rate, the ratio of mosquitoes to humans (or animals), the vector's daily probability of survival, the extrinsic incubation period of the pathogen, and the vector competence. Currently, there are no estimated measures of Cx. modestus vectorial capacity anywhere in the world, but measures of vector competence can serve as a proxy for estimated transmission risk.

Culex modestus is a potential vector for several pathogenic viruses and parasites (Table 4). Evidence from epidemiological modelling, vector competence studies, and field detection data support the case that *Cx. modestus* is a competent vector for West Nile virus. Additionally, the evidence that this species is a vector for Usutu virus, avian malaria, and dirofilarial worms is compelling. However, several pathogens have only been detected via RNA or DNA screenings of field-captured *Cx. modestus*, including Japanese encephalitis virus [33], Sindbis virus [72], Kyzylagach virus [73], Tahyna virus [17, 39, 74, 75], Batai virus [76], Lednice virus [77], Ebinur Lake virus (previously named Abbey Lake virus) [78, 79], Zaliv Terpeniya virus [80], Banna virus [74, 81], and *Haemoproteus* sp. [34]. However, whether Page 8 of 14

Cx. modestus is a competent vector for these pathogens requires further investigation.

West Nile virus (WNV) is a member of the Flaviviri*dae* family and the Japanese encephalitis serocomplex. The virus is maintained in an enzootic transmission cycle between avians and mosquito vectors and can cause severe neuroinvasive disease in humans and other mammals. WNV was first discovered in Uganda in 1937 and is widespread across the globe, causing seasonal outbreaks in temperate regions. The largest recorded outbreak of WNV occurred in the USA in 2002 with more than 4156 human cases, 2942 reports of meningoencephalitis, and 284 deaths [82]. Climatic and environmental shifts driven by the effects of climate change are expected to increase the incidence and spread of WNV in the future [83]. Epidemiological data from Southern France suggested that Cx. modestus was the main amplifier of WNV over Cx. pipiens s.l. [84], though equine WNV outbreaks in Italy were significantly associated with suitable habitats for both *Cx*. pipiens s.l. and Cx. modestus [85]. In contrast to these studies, Cx. modestus was estimated to be a less significant amplifier of equine WNV in Croatia than Cx. pipiens s.l. and Ae. vexans due to their low abundance [86]. The estimated relative risk for WNV transmission was on average 35.2 for Cx. pipiens s.l., 65.4 for Ae. vexans, and only 2.0 for Cx. modestus. In a study that used laboratory-colonized mosquitoes from France, Cx. modestus was shown to have a higher vector competence for WNV than *Cx. pipiens pipiens* [43]. The dissemination and transmission rates were 89.2% and 54.5% for Cx. modestus and 38.5% and 15.8% for Cx. pipiens pipiens, respectively. In contrast, a different study of laboratory colonies reported a lower WNV vector competence in Cx. modestus (35% transmission rate) compared to Cx. pipiens pallens from Beijing, China (48% transmission rate) [44]. A surveillance study in Russia found a higher WNV infection rate in field-caught Cx. modestus than in Cx. pipiens pipiens (2.72% vs. 0.79%), despite having a much lower sample size (370 Cx. modestus vs. 1261 Culex pipiens pipiens) [87]. In Romania, Cx. modestus had an infection rate of 1.81 per 1000 mosquitoes for WNV, whereas *Cx. pipiens* s.l. had an infection rate of 0.77 per 1000 mosquitoes [72]. Culex modestus from Russia had an infection rate estimate of 0.24 per 1000 mosquitoes, lower than that of Cx. pipiens s.l. (0.51 per 1000 mosquitoes) [48]. These data combined suggest *Cx. modestus* may play an important role in WNV circulation.

Usutu virus (USUV) is another flavivirus antigenically similar to WNV. USUV rarely causes symptomatic infections in humans but can result in rapid and destructive outbreaks among birds [88]. RNA of Usutu virus has

	Family	Pathogen	Detection method	Mosquito type	Country	Source
Virus	Flaviviridae	West Nile virus	RNA detection	Field	Czech Republic	[9, 15, 16, 102]
			RNA detection	Field	Italy	[103]
			RNA detection	Field	Kazakhstan	[104]
			RNA detection	Field	Romania	[72]
			RNA detection	Field	Russia	[48, 87]
			Vector competence	Lab	China	[44]
			Vector competence	Lab	France	[42, 43]
		Usutu virus	RNA detection	Field	Czech Republic	[16, 89]
			Vector competence	Field	Belgium	[59]
		Japanese encephalitis virus	RNA detection	Field	China	[33]
	Alphaviridae	Sindbis virus	RNA detection	Field	Romania	[72]
		Kyzylagach virus	RNA detection	Field	Czech Republic	[73]
	Peribunyaviridae	Tahyna virus	Immunological detection	Field	France	[39]
			Immunological & RNA detection	Field	China	[74]
			Immunological detection	Field	Czech Republic	[17, 75]
		Batai virus	RNA detection	Field	Germany	[76]
		Lednice virus	RNA detection	Field	Czech Republic	[77]
		Ebinur Lake virus (Abbey Lake virus)	RNA detection	Field	China	[78, 79]
	Phenuiviridae	Zaliv Terpeniya virus	RNA detection	Field	Azerbaijan	[80]
	Reoviridae	Banna virus	RNA detection	Field	China	[74, 81]
Eukaryota	Onchocercidae	Dirofilaria immitis	Vector competence	Field	Italy	[90]
	Plasmodiidae	Plasmodium relictum	Vector competence	Lab	Bulgaria	[14]
		Plasmodium sp.	DNA detection	Field	Romania	[92]
			DNA detection	Field	Spain	[34]
	Haemoproteidae	Haemoproteus sp.	DNA detection	Field	Spain	[34]
	Trypanosomatidae	Trypanosoma corvi/culicavium	Microscopic examination	Field	Czech Republic	[63]

Table 4 Reports of vector competence or molecular detection of infectious pathogens in Culex modestus

been detected in pools of Cx. modestus captured from the Czech Republic [16, 89]. Another study using fieldcaptured mosquitoes from Belgium investigated the vector competence of Cx. modestus to USUV [59]. The sample size of Cx. modestus included in the latter study was low (n=5) but the transmission potential for USUV was high (20% transmission efficiency), suggesting that Cx. modestus could be potent vectors for USUV. The cocirculation of WNV and USUV in the same habitat has been reported in the Czech Republic [16], but given that these two arboviruses share considerable geographical overlap, co-circulation is likely not an uncommon occurrence. Because of their preferred habitat for rural wetland areas, farmers, hunters, and people living around ponds and farmland animals, such as horses, may have a higher risk of WNV or USUV infection by Cx. modestus [9].

Culex modestus has been implicated as a vector of the parasitic heartworm *Dirofilaria immitis* with a vector efficiency index of 2.0, higher than that of An. maculipennis (1.4) and Cx. pipiens s.l. (1.2), but lower than in Ae. caspius (6.3) [90]. Data suggest that these

four species including *Cx. modestus* were primarily responsible for the transmission of canine filariasis in Piedmont, Italy [91]. Field *Cx. modestus* captured from Spain were found positive for avian malaria (*Plasmodium* sp., 0.5–6.3% prevalence) and *Haemoproteus* sp. (unknown prevalence) [34]. In mosquitoes captured from Romania, one positive pool of *Cx. modestus* containing *Plasmodium* sp. was found [92]. In addition, there is evidence from a laboratory investigation that a small number of *Cx. modestus* could transmit *Plasmodium* relictum sporozoites in the salivary glands [14]. In a survey of blood-sucking insects in the Czech Republic, *Cx. modestus* were found carrying the avian trypanosome *Trypanosoma corvi/culicavium* [63].

Capture and control

Trapping methods

Wild *Cx. modestus* have been captured using a variety of different trapping methods, including HLCs [32, 51, 52], BG-Sentinel traps baited with CO₂ [4, 13, 59, 93], CDC light traps baited with CO₂ [12, 15, 26, 52, 53, 61],

Mosquito Magnet Pro traps [19, 28, 29], and larval dipping [18, 19, 21, 29, 94]. Other trapping methods include CO_2 -baited traps (undescribed) [32, 95], CO_2 -baited CDC traps without light [35], CDC traps without light baited with a Japanese quail or rabbit [9], CO_2 -baited CDC traps with sentinel birds (Japanese quail or chicken) or sentinel mammals (rabbit or guinea pig) [35], the EVS/ CO_2 Mosquito Trap [14], and a resting box baited with Red-footed falcon nestlings [96].

In studies that have compared trapping methods, either a majority or an equal number of Cx. modestus adults could be captured with HLCs or CO₂-baited traps. The only exception is a study performed in the UK which captured significantly higher proportions of Cx. modestus with the Mosquito Magnet Pro than with resting boxes [28]. Regarding Cx. pipiens s.l., more Cx. modestus were captured with HLCs than with CO₂-baited traps in Italy [32]. In France, more Cx. modestus were captured with CO₂-baited traps (38 mosquitoes per trap night) and HLCs (33 mosquitoes per trap night) than with pigeonbaited traps (0.3 mosquitoes per trap night), and no Cx. modestus were caught using resting boxes (336 trap nights) or gravid traps (176 trap nights) [95]. Similar to Cx. modestus, more Cx. pipiens s.l. were captured using CO_2 -baited traps than the pigeon-baited traps (78 vs. 7 mosquitoes per trap night), despite this species being highly ornithophilic, suggesting that CO₂-baited traps are more attractive to these species than the use of live birds. Overall, the CO₂-baited traps collected two-thirds of all mosquitoes as well as sampled all the 14 species identified in the study [95]. A much lower number of Cx. pipiens s.l. were caught with HLCs than Cx. modestus (3 vs. 33 mosquitoes per trap night), suggesting that Cx. *modestus* is more attracted to humans than *Cx. pipiens* s.l. [95]. Similarly, a study from China captured an equal number of Cx. modestus in CO₂-baited CDC light traps (n=260) and HLCs (n=258) [52]. Interestingly, although Cx. modestus could be captured using human bait, no *Cx. pipiens molestus* were caught using this technique, despite the anthropophilic behaviour of the molestus biotype, whereas they could be caught with CO₂-baited CDC light traps (n=267) [52].

Traps can be coupled with lures or attractants to enhance mosquito attraction. CO_2 , released by dry ice or fermentation, simulates animal respiration, which is a long-distance attractant for mosquitoes. BG lure and BG Sweetscent (Biogents AG, Regensburg, Germany) mimic the scent of human and/or animal skin and can be coupled with CO_2 -baited and/or light traps. The Mosquito Magnet Pro trap uses Octenol as a lure, which selectively attracts mammalophilic species. *Culex modestus* was the dominant mosquito species captured using the Mosquito Magnet Pro in a surveillance study in the UK compared to *Cx. pipiens* s.l./*Cx. torrentium*, suggesting that *Cx. modestus* is more attracted to mammalian hosts than the latter species [29]. In a different study, CDC light traps (without light) baited with CO_2 from dry ice captured 97% of all *Cx. modestus* mosquitoes compared to horse urine, acetone, O-1-octen-3-ol, and ammonium hydroxide [93].

Depending on the purpose of the research, when aiming to capture high densities of *Cx. modestus*, CO_2 -baited traps could be the best choice as they are less labourintensive than HLCs. When the aim is to capture hostseeking adults that are specifically human-biting, HLC is the most suitable method; otherwise, the use of a trap coupled with a human- or animal-scented lure would be appropriate.

Insecticides and insecticide resistance

In the event of an arbovirus outbreak, interventions should be carefully chosen to target mosquito populations responsible for transmission. Insecticides delivered through, for example, space spraying can be used to kill adult vectors, while other interventions such as larval source management can be used to reduce the density of vector populations. Currently, insecticide research specifically targeting Cx. modestus is scarce. Exposure to 5 mg/l avermectin-impregnated fine plant powder was significantly toxic to Cx. modestus larvae [97]. Two waterbased pyrethroid formulations (Agua-K-Othrine, 2% deltamethrin, and Pesguard S102, 10% d-phenothrin) were tested for their potential use in aerial spraying to control adult mosquitoes, of which both pyrethroids showed efficacy against Cx. modestus and other riceland mosquitoes in Greece [45]. Space spraying campaigns to target adult host-seeking mosquitoes would be best carried out during the peak hours of the night when the mosquitoes are most active [52].

The widespread use of insecticides for the control of agricultural pests and disease vectors has led to a global rise in genetic and phenotypic insecticide resistance in mosquitoes. Only one study from Belgium has reported the presence of insecticide resistance markers in Cx. modestus [98]. In 51 captured mosquitoes, knockdown resistance to pyrethroids and DDT (L1014F) was 43%, while the acetylcholinesterase-1 substitution conferring resistance to organophosphates and carbamates (G119S) was 3.9% [98]. Insecticide-resistant mosquito populations may be less susceptible to vector control responses that are insecticide-based, and there is also evidence that resistance mutations can increase arbovirus dissemination in the mosquito [99]. It is important to monitor insecticide resistance in areas with known or suspected mosquito-borne pathogen transmission.

Conclusion

Despite their extensive presence and capacity to spread human pathogens, little is known about Cx. modestus. These mosquitoes are widespread across the European continent and parts of Asia and northern Africa, but their origin and migration patterns are insufficiently described. Culex modestus are adapted to temperate regions, thriving during the warm summer months whilst able to survive cold winters. This species feeds on a wide range of bird species, but nonetheless appears to be highly attracted to humans, suggesting it could be a model 'bridge' vector for enzootic pathogens. While this species is likely an intermediate vector for West Nile virus, Usutu virus, canine filariasis, and avian malaria, more research is needed to implicate Cx. modestus in the transmission of other viruses and parasites. For example, Sindbis virus, Tahyna virus, and Trypanosoma sp. have been detected in fieldcaptured Cx. modestus, but no studies on their vector competence for these pathogens have been carried out. Culex modestus are known to harbour insect-specific viruses, but limited knowledge is available on the bacteria or fungi that colonize them. It would be especially interesting to investigate whether Wolbachia has any effect on the life history traits or vector competence for this species. While Cx. modestus appears to be a rural pest, it remains unclear whether these mosquitoes prefer feeding indoors or outdoors and how well they survive in more urban areas. Mosquitoes captured from Belgium were found to be insecticide-resistant to all four major classes of insecticides used in public health, while it is unknown if mosquitoes from other areas carry these adaptations, potentially complicating future vector control strategies. Although Cx. modestus is a potential vector for human pathogens, there is still a significant lack of baseline knowledge on this species. More research on the biology and behaviour of *Cx. modestus* is thus urgently needed. As a priority, the vectorial capacity of Cx. modestus to WNV in hotspot areas should be determined (including measures of mosquito abundance, human-biting rate, etc.) to implicate this species as a capable WNV vector. Mosquito abundance studies can be done in parallel to research into the behaviour of Cx. modestus, for example to determine feeding patterns (endophagy vs. exophagy), resting preferences (endophily vs. exophily), and breeding sites (urban vs. rural), which can be used to determine optimal target sites for mosquito control. Finally, if this species is confirmed as an efficient vector of WNV, cost-effective methods of reducing populations of Cx. modestus, such as larval source reduction or insecticide-based interventions, should be investigated for WNV outbreak prevention and mitigation.

Abbreviations

- Ae. Aedes
- An. Anopheles
- CDC Centers for Disease Prevention and Control COX1 Cvtochrome oxidase 1
- .o.x.i Cytochionie o. .s. Culiseta
- Cs. Culise Cx. Culex
- ECDC European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
- HLC Human landing catch
- ISV Insect-specific virus
- RBRI Relative biting risk index
- RH Relative humidity
- S.I. Sensu lato
- Ur. Uranotaenia
- USUV Usutu virus
- WNV West Nile virus

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13071-023-05997-6.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. Comparison of A *Culex pipiens pipiens* and B *Culex modestus*. The black arrows indicate the unique characteristics for morphological identification of Culex modestus.

Additional file 2: Table S1. Studies with reports of *Culex modestus* by country.

Acknowledgements

We thank Xin Zhang, Louna Haliche, and Alex Calzoni for their translations of non-English publications, Alekxander Binderup for his help with finding references, and Lander De Coninck for his advice regarding the mosquito virome.

Author contributions

AS conducted the review and wrote the manuscript; LD edited the manuscript. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

o Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 8 August 2023 Accepted: 4 October 2023 Published online: 20 October 2023

References

 Culex pipiens—Factsheet for experts. 2020. https://www.ecdc.europa. eu/en/infectious-disease-topics/related-public-health-topics/diseasevectors/facts/mosquito-factsheets

- Ficalbi E. Notizie preventive sulle zanzare italiane. IV. Nota preventiva. Descrizione di una specie nuova Zanzara di colorito modesto *Culex* modestus, n sp. Bull Della Soc Entomol Ital. 1890;21:93–4.
- 3. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC). Mosquito maps. 2023
- Wang L, Rosales Rosas AL, De Coninck L, et al. Establishment of *Culex modestus* in belgium and a glance into the virome of belgian mosquito species. mSphere. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.01229-20.
- Hernández-Triana L, Brugman V, Pramual P, et al. Genetic diversity and population structure of *Culex modestus* across Europe: does recent appearance in the United Kingdom reveal a tendency for geographical spread? Med Vet Entomol. 2019;1:34.
- Shaikevich EV, Zagoskin MV, Mukha DV. Comparative characteristics of the intergenic spacer of the ribosomal RNA gene cluster in mosquitoes of the genus *Culex* (Diptera: Culicidae). Mol Biol. 2013;47:364–72.
- Roiz D, Eritja R, Escosa R, et al. A survey of mosquitoes breeding in used tires in Spain for the detection of imported potential vector species. J Vector Ecol. 2007;32:10.
- Nie WZ, Li JC, Li DX, et al. Mosquitoes found aboard ships arriving at Qinhuangdao Port PR. China. Med Entomol Zool. 2004;55:333–5.
- Radrova J, Seblova V, Votypka J. Feeding behavior and spatial distribution of *Culex* Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Wetland Areas of the Czech Republic. J Med Entomol. 2013;50:1097–104.
- Becker N, Petrić D, Zgomba M, et al. Mosquitoes and their control. 2nd ed. Heidelberg: Springer Nature; 2010. p. 1–577.
- Cailly P, Balenghien T, Ezanno P, et al. Role of the repartition of wetland breeding sites on the spatial distribution of *Anopheles* and *Culex*, human disease vectors in Southern France. Parasit Vectors. 2011;4:65.
- Chaskopoulou A, Dovas CI, Chaintoutis SC, et al. Detection and early warning of West Nile virus circulation in Central Macedonia, Greece, using sentinel chickens and mosquitoes. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2013;13:723–32.
- Rudolf I, Šikutová S, Šebesta O, et al. Overwintering of *Culex modestus* and other mosquito species in a reedbed ecosystem, including arbovirus findings. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2020;36:257–60.
- Dimitrov D, Bobeva A, Marinov MP, et al. First evidence for development of *Plasmodium relictum* (Grassi and Feletti, 1891) sporozoites in the salivary glands of *Culex modestus* Ficalbi, 1889. Parasitol Res. 2023;0123456789:1–5.
- Rudolf I, Bakonyi T, Šebesta O, et al. West Nile virus lineage 2 isolated from *Culex modestus* mosquitoes in the Czech Republic, 2013: expansion of the European WNV endemic area to the North? Eurosurveillance. 2014;19:20867.
- Rudolf I, Bakonyi T, Šebesta O, et al. Co-circulation of Usutu virus and West Nile virus in a reed bed ecosystem. Parasit Vectors. 2015;8:1–5.
- 17. Danielová V, Holubová J. Two more mosquito species proved as vectors of Tahyna virus in Czechoslovakia. Folia Parasitol. 1977;24:187–9.
- Pradel JA, Martin T, Rey D, et al. Is *Culex modestus* (Diptera: Culicidae), vector of West Nile Virus, Spreading in the Dombes Area, France? J Med Entomol. 2009;46:1269–81.
- Golding N, Nunn MA, Medlock JM, et al. West Nile virus vector Culex modestus established in southern England. Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:32.
- 20. Roiz D, Ruiz S, Soriguer R, et al. Landscape effects on the presence, abundance and diversity of mosquitoes in mediterranean wetlands. PLoS ONE. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128112.
- 21. Saghafipour A, Abai MR, Farzinnia B, et al. Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna of Qom Province. Iran J Arthropod-Borne Dis. 2012;6:54–61.
- 22. Arroussi DER, Bouaziz A, Boudjelida H. Mosquito survey reveals the first record of *Aedes* (Diptera: Culicidae) species in urban area, Annaba district. Northeastern Algeria Pol J Entomol. 2021;90:14–26.
- Sulesco TM, Toderas LG, Uspenskaia IG, et al. Larval habitats diversity and distribution of the mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) Species in the Republic of Moldova. J Med Entomol. 2015;52:1299–308.
- Adham D, Moradi-Asl E, Vatandoost H, et al. Ecological niche modeling of West Nile virus vector in northwest of Iran. Oman Med J. 2019;34:514–20.
- Khoobdel M, Keshavarzi D, Hassan Mossa-Kazemi S, et al. Species diversity of mosquitoes of the Genus *Culex* (Diptera, Culicidae) in the coastal areas of the Persian Gulf. AIMS Public Health. 2019;6:99–106.

- Bisanzio D, Giacobini M, Bertolotti L, et al. Spatio-temporal patterns of distribution of West Nile virus vectors in eastern Piedmont Region, Italy. Parasit Vectors. 2011;4:230.
- Ponçon N, Balenghien T, Toty C, et al. Effects of local anthropogenic changes on potential malaria vector *Anopheles hyrcanus* and West Nile virus vector *Culex modestus*, Camargue. France Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:1810–5.
- Brugman VA, Hernández-Triana LM, England ME, et al. Blood-feeding patterns of native mosquitoes and insights into their potential role as pathogen vectors in the Thames estuary region of the United Kingdom. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10:1–12.
- 29. Vaux AGC, Gibson G, Hernandez-Triana LM, et al. Enhanced West Nile virus surveillance in the North Kent marshes UK. Parasit Vectors. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0705-9.
- Balenghien T, Fouque F, Sabatier P, et al. Horse-, Bird-, and humanseeking behavior and seasonal abundance of mosquitoes in a West Nile Virus Focus of Southern France. J Med Entomol. 2006;43:936–46.
- Šebesta O, Gelbič I, Peško J. Seasonal dynamics of mosquito occurrence in the lower Dyje River Basin at the Czech-Slovak-Austrian border. Ital J Zool. 2013;80:125–38.
- 32. Veronesi R, Gentile G, Carrieri M, et al. Seasonal pattern of daily activity of *Aedes caspius, Aedes detritus, Culex modestus,* and *Culex pipiens* in the Po delta of northern Italy and significance for vector-borne disease risk assessment. J Vector Ecol. 2012;37:49–61.
- Zeng X. Isolation of Japanese B encephalitis virus from *Culex (Bar-raudius) modestus* Ficalbi in Shenyang area (author's transl). Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi. 1980;14:209–10.
- Ferraguti M, de la Puente JM, Muñoz J, et al. Avian *Plasmodium* in *Culex* and *Ochlerotatus* mosquitoes from Southern Spain: effects of season and host-feeding source on parasite dynamics. PLoS ONE. 2013;8:e66237.
- Votýpka J, Seblová V, Rádrová J. Spread of the West Nile virus vector *Culex modestus* and the potential malaria vector *Anopheles hyrcanus* in central Europe. J Vector Ecol. 2008;33:269–77.
- Cotar AI, Falcuta E, Prioteasa LF, et al. Transmission dynamics of the west nile virus in mosquito vector populations under the influence of weather factors in the Danube Delta. Romania EcoHealth. 2016;13:796–807.
- Ponçon N, Toty C, L'Ambert G, et al. Population dynamics of pest mosquitoes and potential malaria and West Nile virus vectors in relation to climatic factors and human activities in the Camargue. France Med Vet Entomol. 2007;21:350–7.
- Sulesco T, Toderas L, Toderas I. A recent survey of the mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna and seasonal human biting activity in the city of Chisinau Moldova. J Eur Mosq Control Assoc. 2013;31:1–7.
- Chippaux A, Rageau J, Mouchet J. [Hibernation of arbovirus Tahyna in *Culex modestus* Fic in France] C R Acad Hebd Seances. Acad Sci D. 1970;270:1648–50.
- Schaefer CH, Washino RK. Synthesis of energy for overwintering in natural populations of the mosquito *Culex tarsalis*. Comp Biochem Physiol. 1970;35:503–6.
- 41. Nasci RS, Savage HM, White DJ, et al. West Nile virus in overwintering *Culex* mosquitoes, New York City, 2000. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001;7:742.
- Balenghien T, Vazeille M, Reiter P, et al. Evidence of laboratory vector competence of *Culex modestus* for West Nile virus. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2007;23:233–6.
- Balenghien T, Vazeille M, Grandadam M, et al. Vector competence of some French *Culex* and *Aedes* mosquitoes for West Nile Virus. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2008;8:589–95.
- 44. Jiang SF, Zhang YM, Guo XX, et al. Experimental studies on comparison of the potential vector competence of four species of *Culex* mosquitoes in China to transmit West Nile Virus. J Med Entomol. 2010;47:788–90.
- 45. Chaskopoulou A, Latham MD, Pereira RM, et al. Efficacy of aerial ultralow volume applications of two novel water-based formulations of unsynergized pyrethroids against riceland mosquitoes in Greece. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2011;27:414–22.
- Muñoz J, Ruiz S, Soriguer R, et al. Feeding patterns of potential West Nile virus vectors in South-West Spain. PLoS ONE. 2012. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039549.

- Trájer AJ. Which mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) are candidates for DNA extraction in forensic practice? J Forensic Leg Med. 2018:1:183–91.
- Platonov AE, Fedorova MV, Karan LS, et al. Epidemiology of West Nile infection in Volgograd, Russia, in relation to climate change and mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) bionomics. Parasitol Res. 2008;103:45–53.
- Marshall JF. Records of *Culex (Barraudius) modestus* Ficalbi (Diptera, Culicidae) obtained in the south of England. Nature. 1945;156:172–3.
- Callot J, Van-Ty D. Localités françaises nouvelles pour Culex (Culex) theileri, Culex (Barraudius) modestus et Culex (Neoculex) impudicus. Ann Parasitol Hum Comparée. 2017;1942:142–50.
- Brugman VA, England ME, Stoner J, et al. How often do mosquitoes bite humans in southern England? A standardised summer trial at four sites reveals spatial, temporal and site-related variation in biting rates. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10:420.
- Wang ZM, Xing D, Wu ZM, et al. Biting activity and host attractancy of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in Manzhouli. China J Med Entomol. 2012;49:1283–8.
- Guo XX, Zhang YM, Li CX, et al. Host-seeking behavior and arbovirus detection in mosquitoes of habahe county, Xinjiang Uigur Autonomous Region. China J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2015;31:329–35.
- Bellini R, Veronesi R, Draghetti S, et al. Study on the flying height of *Aedes caspius* and *Culex pipiens* females in the Po delta area. Italy J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 1997;13:356–60.
- Mohd Nordin NR, Arsad S, Hilmi Mahmud M, et al. Wolbachia in dengue control: a systematic review. Maced J Med Sci. 2022. https://doi.org/10. 3889/oamjms.2022.9014.
- Bergman A, Hesson JC. Wolbachia prevalence in the vector species *Culex pipiens* and *Culex torrentium* in a Sindbis virus-endemic region of Sweden. Parasit Vectors. 2021;14:428.
- Ricci I, Cancrini G, Gabrielli S, et al. Searching for *Wolbachia* (Rickettsiales: Rickettsiaceae) in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae): large polymerase chain reaction survey and new identifications. J Med Entomol. 2002;39:562–7.
- Shaikevich E, Bogacheva A, Ganushkina L. Dirofilaria and Wolbachia in mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) in central European Russia and on the Black Sea coast. Parasite. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/20190 02.
- Soto A, De Coninck L, Devlies AS, et al. Belgian *Culex pipiens pipiens* are competent vectors for West Nile virus while *Culex modestus* are competent vectors for Usutu virus. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2023;17:e0011649.
- Ant TH, Mancini MV, McNamara CJ, et al. Wolbachia-Virus interactions and arbovirus control through population replacement in mosquitoes. Pathog Glob Health. 2023;117:245–58.
- Konstantinidis K, Dovrolis N, Kouvela A, et al. Defining virus-carrier networks that shape the composition of the mosquito core virome of a local ecosystem. Virus Evol. 2022;8:036.
- 62. Khodzhaeva LF, Issi IV. A new genus of microsporidans *Cristulospora* gen n (*Amblyospiridae*) with 3 new species from blood-sucking mosquitoes in Uzbekistan. Parazitologiia. 1989;23:140–5.
- 63. Svobodova M, VOLF P, Votypka J. Trypanosomatids in ornithophilic bloodsucking Diptera. Med Vet Entomol. 2015;29:444–7.
- Pridantseva EA, Lebedeva NI, Shcherban' ZP, Kadyrova MK. An evaluation of the possibility of using Romanomermis iyengari Welch mermithids for mosquito control in Uzbekistan. Med Parazitol (Mosk). 1990;1:15–7.
- Dzerzhinskii VA, Dubitskii AM, Deshevykh ND. Appearance of variants in the artificial infection of larvae of the blood-sucking mosquito, *Culex modestus*, infested with the entomopathogenic fungus, *Coelomomyces iliensis*. Parazitologiia. 1975;9:540–2.
- 66. Kumar Barik T. Molecular identification of mosquito vectors and their management. Berlin: Springer Nature; 2020.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, et al. DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit l from diverse metazoan invertebrates. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol. 1994;3:294–9.
- Weitzel T, Collado A, Jöst A, et al. Genetic differentiation of populations within the *Culex pipiens* complex and phylogeny of related species. J Am Mosq Control Assoc. 2009;25:6–17.
- 69. Smith JL, Fonseca DM. Rapid assays for identification of members of the *Culex (Culex) pipiens* complex, their hybrids, and other sibling species (Diptera: Culicidae). Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2004;70:339–45.

- Beier JC. Vector incrimination and entomological inoculation rates. Methods Mol Med. 2002;72:3–11.
- 71. Macdonald G. The epidemiology and control of Malaria. London: Oxford University Press; 1957. p. 201.
- Crivei LA, Moutailler S, Gonzalez G, et al. Detection of West Nile Virus Lineage 2 in Eastern Romania and first identification of Sindbis Virus RNA in mosquitoes analyzed using high-throughput microfluidic realtime PCR. Viruses. 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/v15010186.
- Šikutová S, Dočkal P, Straková P, et al. First record of mosquito-borne kyzylagach virus in central Europe. Viruses. 2020. https://doi.org/10. 3390/v12121445.
- Cao Y, Fu S, Tian Z, et al. Distribution of mosquitoes and mosquitoborne arboviruses in Inner Mongolia. China Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2011;11:1577–81.
- Hubálek Z, Rudolf I, Bakonyi T, et al. Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) surveillance for arboviruses in an area endemic for West Nile (Lineage Rabensburg) and Tahyna viruses in Central Europe. J Med Entomol. 2010;47:466–72.
- Scheuch D, Schäfer M, Eiden M, et al. Detection of Usutu, Sindbis, and Batai Viruses in Mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) collected in Germany, 2011–2016. Viruses. 2018;10:389.
- Danielová V, Hájková Z, Kolman JM, et al. Results of the virological examination of mosquitoes in southern Moravia in 1962–1964. Cesk Epidemiol Mikrobiol Imunol. 1966;15:178–84.
- Liu R, Zhang G, Sun X, et al. Isolation and molecular characterization on Abbey Lake Orthobunyavirus (Bunyaviridae) in Xinjiang, China. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi Zhonghua Liuxingbingxue Zazhi. 2014;1:939–42.
- Xia H, Liu R, Zhao L, et al. Characterization of Ebinur Lake Virus and Its human seroprevalence at the China-Kazakhstan Border. Front Microbiol. 2020. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.03111.
- L'vov DK, Al'khovskiĭ SV, Shchelkanov MI, et al. Genetic characterization of the Zaliv Terpeniya virus (ZTV, Bunyaviridae, Phlebovirus, Uukuniemi serogroup) strains isolated from the ticks lxodes (*Ceratixodes*) uriae White, 1852, obligate parasites of the Alcidae birds, in high latitudes of Northern Eurasia and the mosquitoes Culex modestus Ficalbi, 1889, in subtropics Transcaucasus]. Vopr Virusol. 2014;59:12–8.
- Cao YX, Fu SH, Tian ZF, et al. Isolation and identification of Banna virus from mosquito for the first time in Inner Mongolia. Zhonghua Shi Yan He Lin Chuang Bing Du Xue Za Zhi. 2009;23:106–8.
- O'Leary DR, Marfin AA, Montgomery SP, et al. The epidemic of West Nile Virus in the United States, 2002. Vector-Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2004;4:61–70.
- Heidecke J, Schettini AL, Rocklöv J. West Nile virus eco-epidemiology and climate change. PLOS Clim. 2023;2:e0000129.
- Tran A, L'Ambert G, Balança G, et al. An integrative eco-epidemiological analysis of West Nile Virus transmission. EcoHealth. 2017;14:474–89.
- Mughini-Gras L, Mulatti P, Severini F, et al. Ecological niche modelling of potential west nile virus vector mosquito species and their geographical association with equine epizootics in Italy. EcoHealth. 2014;11:120–32.
- Vignjević G, Vrućina I, Sestak I, et al. Equine seroprevalence rates as an additional indicator for a more accurate risk assessment of the West Nile virus transmission. Coll Antropol. 2013;37:949–56.
- Fyodorova MV, Savage HM, Lopatina JV, et al. Evaluation of potential West Nile Virus vectors in volgograd Region, Russia, 2003 (Diptera: Culicidae): species composition, bloodmeal host utilization, and virus infection rates of mosquitoes. J Med Entomol. 2006;43:552–63.
- Rijks JM, Kik ML, Slaterus R, et al. Widespread Usutu virus outbreak in birds in the Netherlands, 2016. Eurosurveillance. 2016;21:30391.
- Hönig V, Palus M, Kaspar T, et al. Multiple lineages of Usutu virus (*Flavi-viridae, Flavivirus*) in blackbirds (*Turdus merula*) and mosquitoes (*Culex pipiens*, Cx modestus) in the Czech Republic (2016–2019). Microorganisms. 2019;7:568.
- Rossi L, Pollono F, Meneguz P, et al. Four species of mosquito as possible vectors for *Dirofilaria immitis* piedmont rice-fields. Parassitologia. 1999;41:537–42.
- 91. Pollono F, Rossi L, Cancrini G. Research on *Culicidae* attracted to dog bait in piedmont. Parassitologia. 1998;40:439–45.

- Ionică AM, Zittra C, Wimmer V, et al. Mosquitoes in the danube delta: searching for vectors of filarioid helminths and avian malaria. Parasit Vectors. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-017-2264-8.
- Merdić E, Jeličić Ž, Krčmar S, et al. Efficacy of mosquito attractants in various habitats of a floodplain. Biologia. 2010;65:545–51.
- Freitas FB, Novo MT, Esteves A, et al. Species composition and WNV screening of mosquitoes from lagoons in a wetland area of the Algarve Portugal. Front Physiol. 2012. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2011.00122.
- L'Ambert G, Ferré JB, Schaffner F, et al. Comparison of different trapping methods for surveillance of mosquito vectors of West Nile virus in Rhône Delta. France J Vector Ecol. 2012;37:269–75.
- 96. Soltész Z, Erdélyi K, Bakonyi T, et al. West Nile virus host-vector-pathogen interactions in a colonial raptor. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10:10.
- 97. Belevich O, Yurchenko Y, Alekseev A, et al. Toxic effects of fine plant powder impregnated with avermectins on *Mosquito Larvae* and nontarget aquatic invertebrates. J Med Entomol. 2021;58:773–80.
- Wang L, Soto A, Remue L, et al. First report of mutations associated with pyrethroid (L1014F) and organophosphate (G119S) resistance in Belgian *Culex (Diptera: Culicidae*) mosquitoes. J Med Entomol. 2022;59:2072–9.
- Atyame CM, Alout H, Mousson L, et al. Insecticide resistance genes affect *Culex quinquefasciatus* vector competence for West Nile virus. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2019;286:20182273.
- Alcaide M, Rico C, Ruiz S, et al. Disentangling vector-borne transmission networks a universal DNA barcoding method to identify vertebrate hosts from arthropod bloodmeals. PLoS ONE. 2009. https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0007092.
- Martínez-De La Puente J, Ruiz S, Soriguer R, et al. Effect of blood meal digestion and DNA extraction protocol on the success of blood meal source determination in the malaria vector *Anopheles atroparvus*. Malar J. 2013;12:109.
- Rudolf I, Blažejová H, Šebesta O, et al. West Nile virus (lineage 2) in mosquitoes in southern Moravia—awaiting the first autochthonous human cases. Epidemiol Mikrobiol Imunol. 2018;67:44–6.
- Mancini G, Montarsi F, Calzolari M, et al. Mosquito species involved in the circulation of West Nile and Usutu viruses in Italy. Vet Ital. 2017;53:97–110.
- 104. Nurmakhanov T, Sansyzbaev Y, Atshabar B, et al. Phylogenetic characteristics of West Nile virus isolated from *Culex modestus* mosquitoes in West Kazakhstan. Front Public Health. 2021;12:8.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

