# RESEARCH

# **Open Access**



# Loa loa and Mansonella perstans microfilaremia in the department of Lékoumou, **Republic of Congo**

Marlhand C. Hemilembolo<sup>1,2</sup>, Jérémy T. Campillo<sup>1</sup>, Ange Clauvel Niama<sup>3</sup>, Sébastien D. S. Pion<sup>1</sup>, François Missamou<sup>2</sup>, Michel Boussinesq<sup>1</sup>, Richard R. Bileckot<sup>3</sup> and Cédric B. Chesnais<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

Background Loiasis is endemic in the northern and western part of the Republic of Congo. Between 2004 and 2010, surveys were conducted, using the RAPLOA method, in all departments of the Republic of Congo to assess the distribution of loiasis. Prior to 2004, only two parasitological surveys on loiasis had been conducted in Congo and mainly in the Department of Lékoumou, in the southwestern of the country. In 2019, we conducted a parasitological survey in this same department, more than 30 years after the first surveys.

Methods The study was conducted in 21 villages. Loa loa and Mansonella perstans microfilaremia levels were quantified using 50 µl calibrated blood smears.

**Results** A total of 2444 individuals were examined. The median age of the screened individuals was 43 (interguartile range: 30–57, range: 18–91) years old. The overall prevalences of L. loa and M. perstans microfilaremia were 20.0% [95% confidence intervals (CI) 18.0–21.6%] and 1.0% (95% CI 0.6–1.4%) respectively. The proportion of individuals with a microfilarial density of *L. loa* > 8000 mf/ml and > 30,000 mf/ml were 5.1% (95% Cl 4.3–6.1%) and 1.1% (95% Cl 0.8–1.7%), respectively. The overall community microfilarial load was 3.4 mf/ml.

Conclusions Prevalences and intensities of L. loa infection remained generally stable between the late 1980s and 2019 in the Lékoumou Department. In contrast, parasitological indicators for M. perstans have declined sharply in the intervening years for an unknown reason.

Keywords Filariasis, Loa loa, Mansonella perstans, Parasitological survey, Republic of Congo

## \*Correspondence:

Cédric B. Chesnais

cedric.chesnais@ird.fr

<sup>1</sup> TransVIHMI, Université de Montpellier, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), INSERM Unité 1175, Montpellier, France <sup>2</sup> Programme National de Lutte Contre l'Onchocercose, Ministère de la

Santé et de la Population, Brazzaville, République du Congo <sup>3</sup> Faculté des Sciences de la santé de l'Université Marien NGOUABI,

Brazzaville, République du Congo

## Background

Loiasis is a parasitic infection resulting from the presence of the filarial worm Loa loa, transmitted between humans by tabanid vectors, primarily Chrysops silacea and C. dimidiata and mostly endemic in the forested regions of Central Africa [1]. An estimated 15 million people live in areas at high risk of infection [2]. Surveys conducted in the Republic of Congo have revealed the endemicity of loiasis in the western and northern regions of the country [2-5]. In the Lékoumou Department, the initial assessment of L. loa microfilaremia prevalence took place between 1985 and 1989, revealing an estimated



© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeco mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. 18.9% prevalence across all seven villages. The median individual microfilarial densities (MFDs) varied by village: from 800 to 3100 microfilariae per milliliter of blood (mf/ml) for the Bantu population and from 150 to 2250 mf/ml for the Pygmies [4]. A subsequent study involving adult Bantu from two villages in the same region indicated microfilaremia prevalences of 27.7 and 29.5% [3]. Furthermore, a survey conducted in 2004 revealed *Loa loa* microfilaremia prevalences ranging from 7.5 to 50.8% across 15 villages [6].

In 2019, we conducted an additional parasitological survey across 21 villages of the Lékoumou Department, including four villages surveyed in 1989. This survey not only provides an updated perspective on the distribution of loiasis in the department but also allows an evaluation of its endemicity evolution over a 30-year span. Additionally, we assessed the prevalence of *Mansonella perstans* microfilaremia. Given the hypoendemic nature of onchocerciasis in the Lékoumou Department, it is a potential target for future onchocerciasis elimination activities. Consequently, we present epidemiological indicators identifying the population at risk of *L. loa*-related postivermectin serious adverse events.

## Methods

The survey was conducted as part of a screening phase for a randomized controlled trial assessing the safety and efficacy of levamisole in individuals with *L. loa* microfilaremia [7]. To promptly address potential adverse events during the trial, screening was restricted to villages within a 1-h drive from Sibiti, continuing until the desired sample size was attained. In these villages, all volunteers aged  $\geq$  18 years were then invited to the screening, which took place in October 2019 across 21 villages.

The Lékoumou Department encompasses an expanse of 20,950 km<sup>2</sup> and, as of 2018, was home to 135,643 inhabitants [8]. Based on routine information from the long-acting insecticide-treated net distribution program, the collective population of the 21 villages involved in this study is reported as 20,651. The region is predominantly characterized by forested surroundings, and the primary activities of the population include food crop farming and arboriculture [8].

An informational document, accessible in French, Kituba and Lingala (two national languages), outlining the objectives and procedures of the survey was distributed to the population prior its commencement. Potential participants were assured that their identity and test results would be treated with utmost confidentiality. Each individual who agreed to take part in the survey formally signed an informed consent form. The study received approval from the Ethics Committee for Research in Health Sciences of the Republic of Congo (no. 226/ MRSIT/IRSSA/CERSSA), and an administrative authorization (no. 469/MSP/CAB/UCPP-19) was granted by the Ministry of Health and Population.

Following the registration of participants' names, sexes and ages, a blood sample was collected through a finger prick between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. Using a sterile, single-use lancet and a non-heparinized capillary tube, a calibrated 50-µl-thick smear was prepared for each subject. Within 24 h, dehemoglobinization occurred. Subsequently, each thick smear was stained with Giemsa and thoroughly examined under a microscope to identify and quantify *L. loa* and *M. perstans* microfilariae (mf). Individual microfilarial densities (MFDs) were expressed as mf/ml.

The prevalence and intensity of *L. loa* and *M. perstans* microfilaremia were analyzed by sex and age groups aligned with the seven quantiles (18–23, 24–32, 33–40, 41–47, 48–55, 56–65 and 66–91 years). The 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for each prevalence were computed using Wilson's method (uncorrected for continuity) [9]. Mean MFDs were expressed as the arithmetic mean of individual MFDs in the entire study population, encompassing both microfilaremic and amicrofilaremic individuals, and as the geometric mean of MFDs specifically within microfilaremic individuals [10, 11]. The mean MFDs in each sex were compared using Student's *t*-test.

Proportions of individuals with *L. loa* MFD > 8000 mf/ml and 30,000 mf/ml were computed. This calculation is significant because individuals with MFD > 8000 mf/ml have an elevated risk of experiencing marked or severe adverse reactions, while those with > 30,000 mf/ml are at an increased risk of developing severe adverse reactions, including neurological effects, following the use of ivermectin [12]. Finally, for each village, the *L. loa* Community Microfilarial Load (CMFL) was calculated [13] using the following formula:  $e^{\sum \frac{\ln(x+1)}{n}} - 1$  [14], where x is the individual MFD and n is the number of individuals examined (CMFLs were calculated on the entire study population).

The relationship between prevalence and each indicator *L. loa* infection intensity in this study was assessed using Spearman's correlation coefficient (*r*). Subsequently, we employed an unmatched proportion comparison test to compare prevalence between 2004 and 2019 (or, in the case of Panda, between the 1985–1989 period and 2019). Additionally, Student's test for unmatched data was applied to compare CMFL between the two periods. Data were analyzed using R software, version 4.2.1.

## Results

A total of 2444 individuals, ranging from 18 to 91 (median: 43) years old participated in the survey. Among the participants, males accounted for 51.6% (n=1262).

*Loa loa* mf prevalence exhibited variation across villages, ranging from 2.8 to 34.9% (Table 1). Notably, 13 out of the 21 villages reported prevalence values surpassing 20%, while two villages recorded prevalence exceeding 30%. In populated areas like Mayéyé, Mapati and Loyo, neighboring communities may exhibit divergent prevalence values of *L. loa* mf, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

The prevalence of *L. loa* microfilaremia was found to be twice as high in men (25.6%, 95% CI 22.9–28.5) compared to women (12.7%, 95% CI 10.7–14.9). Additionally, this prevalence showed a progressive increase with age, starting from 10.9% (95% CI 7.7–14.9) in individuals aged 18–23 years to 27.3% (95% CI 21.7–33.8) in those aged 66–91 years (Table 2).

For *M. perstans*, only 22 out of 2444 individuals (1.0%, 95% CI 0.6–1.4) had *M. perstans* mfs (refer to Additional file 1: Fig. S1). The prevalence of microfilaremia ranged from 0 to 3.6% across villages (Table 1) with an overall CMFL of 0.01 mf/ml.

*The L. loa* MFD ranged from 20 to 109,000 mf/ml, with an arithmetic mean of 1487 mf/ml (95% CI 1485–1489) in the total population. It was significantly higher in men (1984 mf/ml) than in women (957 mf/ml) (*t*-test,  $t_{(2442)} = -3.7285$ , P < 0.001).

The proportions of individuals with MFD > 8000 mf/ml and those with MFD > 30,000 mf/ml were 5.1% (95% CI 4.3–6.1) and 1.1% (95% CI 0.8–1.7), respectively, among all examined individuals. The overall CMFL across the 21 villages was 3.4 mf/ml, and detailed results for each village are available in Table 1.

At the community level, the prevalence of *L. loa* exhibited a positive and significant correlation with CMFL (Spearman's correlation coefficient,  $r_s$ =0.9, 95% CI 0.8–1.0, *P* < 0.001), with the proportion of individuals with MFD <sup>></sup> 8000 mf/ml (Spearman's correlation coefficient,  $r_s$ =0.6, 95% CI 0.2–0.8, *P*=0.004) and with the arithmetic mean MFD (Spearman's correlation coefficient,  $r_s$ =0.5, 95% CI 0.1–0.7, *P*=0.039). However, no significant correlation was observed with the proportion of individuals with MFD <sup>></sup> 30,000 mf/ml (Spearman's correlation coefficient,  $r_s$ =0.2, 95% CI – 0.3 to 0. 6, *P*=0.486).

Tables 3 and 4 present the results for villages where at least two surveys were conducted, specifically focusing on data for individuals within the Bantu group (note that Pygmy individuals were also examined in the 1980s surveys). In 1985–1986, prevalences were calculated for the entire population (except for Panda), and it is estimated that if only adults were considered, the values would be scaled by a factor of 1.5–2. Thus, *L. loa* microfilaremia prevalence tended to decrease in four villages (Loyo, Mapati, Mayéyé and Mikakaya), remained relatively constant in Mambouana and Ouandzi, and saw a significant increase in Mbaya. In Panda, it is likely that the

prevalence in the 1980s would have been < 27.7% if the microfilaremia evaluation had been performed on a thick smear, and it is probable that this prevalence increased at least slightly between that period and 2019. Table 5 illustrates the CMFL and MFD results obtained between the 2004 survey and the 2019 survey in seven villages, revealing no significant changes except for a notable decrease in the proportion of individuals with more than 30,000 mf/ml in Mikakaya. As for *M. perstans* microfilaremia, there was a striking decline in prevalence between the late 1980s and 2019, with intermediate values observed in 2004.

## Discussion

A survey conducted as part of a screening initiative for a clinical trial evaluated the endemic levels of L. loa and M. perstans filariasis in 21 villages situated within a 30-km radius of the town of Sibiti, the capital of the Department of Lékoumou, Republic of Congo. ALthough our sampling relied on voluntary participation, the populations in each of these villages share highly homogeneous habits and ways of life, providing reassurance regarding the relative accuracy of our prevalence and infection intensity levels. Of these villages, six are positioned to the north of the town, while the remaining 15 are situated to the east on the two roads leading to the large village of Mayéyé. The vegetation in Lékoumou is predominantly dense forest, with areas along the roads characterized by forest degradation resulting from agricultural activities. Between Sibiti and Mayéyé, there are also expanses of savanna or bare ground [15].

The prevalence of *L. loa* microfilaremia within villages exhibited tendencies linked to village size and the surrounding forest cover type. Among the 21 surveyed villages, microfilaremia prevalences surpassing 20% were observed in 13, but slightly lower values of approximately 17% were recorded in three relatively populated areas: Loyo, Mapati and Mayéyé (2085, 1342 and 3647 inhabitants, respectively). This discrepancy is likely attributed to more extensive deforestation surrounding these larger villages, possibly resulting in lower Chrysops population density compared to the others, as illustrated by satellite images in Additional file 1: Fig. S2. Furthermore, the villages along the road from Sibiti to Mayéyé exhibited the lowest prevalences (2.8%, 10.6% and 14.5%), likely due to the particularly sparse vegetation cover in these areas. These findings are also influenced by the fact that *Chrysops* species rarely disperse beyond 2 km from sites favorable to them [16, 17].

The prevalence of *L. loa* microfilaremia demonstrated a significant sex disparity, with a higher prevalence in men (25.6%) compared to women (12.7%). These findings are consistent with those obtained in the same

Boudouhou -3617431 13457584 1323 113 43(22-56) 25 221(143-32.7) 40   Idoubi -3678527 1344763 535 56 51(43-69) 13 232(124-39.7) 47   Ihoudou -3671935 13575193 535 56 51(43-69) 13 246((14.6-39.4) 51   Ihoudou -3671913 13572193 3557139 423 63 52 349(219-52.9) 124   Indzeri -3.705659 13551219 390 36 44 246((14.6-39.4) 51   Idende -3.705659 1355613 609 36 32 340(27-56) 12   Lilende -3.705705 1356043 530 33 47<(22-55) 13   Loyo -3.505896 1355613 600 34 23 17.3(12.5.2.34) 23   Makenda -3.705595 133 132 248 43 23-5.5 13   Makenda -3.505896 13574197 <	Loa + Prev. mf Loa (IC 95%)	CMFL Loa MA Loc	% > 8000 mf/ml	% > N. Mp + 30,000	Prev mf <i>Mp</i> (IC 95%)
Boundountou -3.61/341 13.457584 13.23 113 43 (32-56) 25 22.1 (143-32.7) 47   Idoutio -3.678527 13.44763 535 56 51 (43-69) 13 23.2 (124-39.7) 51   Ihoudou -3.678527 13.44763 535 56 51 (43-69) 17 246 (114.6-39.4) 51   Ihoudou -3.671935 13.572193 423 63 52 34.9 (21-52.9) 12.1   Ikaya -3.705103 13.557103 423 63 52 34.9 (21-55.9) 12.1   Lilende -3.705103 13.550436 530 33 47 (23-56) 1 28 (0.1-15.5) 0.4   Lilende -3.705508 427 69 45 (32-57) 10 145 (6.9-26.7) 13   Lilende -3.505944 13550413 600 87 44 (30-57) 20 42   Makanda -3.703558 13.318404 1375 110 10.6 (53-18.9) 13   Makanda <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>mt/ml</th><th></th></td<>				mt/ml	
	22.1 (14.3–32.7)	4.0 1526	5.3	0.9 0.0	0
Ihoudou $-3.671935$ $13.545637$ $520$ $69$ $40$ $(27-56)$ $17$ $246$ $(14,6-39,4)$ $51$ Ikaya $-3.711913$ $13.572193$ $423$ $63$ $52$ $34-64$ $22$ $349$ $(219-52.9)$ $122$ Indzeri $-3.703559$ $13.550436$ $530$ $39$ $36$ $44$ $(24-56)$ $1$ $2.8$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.709723$ $13.550436$ $530$ $33$ $47$ $32-59$ $11$ $2.8$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.709723$ $13.550436$ $530$ $33$ $47$ $32-59$ $11$ $2.8$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.709723$ $13.550436$ $530$ $33$ $47$ $32-59$ $11$ $2.8$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.699444$ $13.530688$ $427$ $69$ $45$ $34-62$ $11$ $10.6$ $(53-18.9)$ $113$ Loyo $-3.058966$ $13.514197$ $632$ $1004$ $45$ $(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6$ $(53-18.9)$ $133$ Makanda $-3.703586$ $13.514197$ $632$ $1004$ $45$ $(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6$ $(53-18.9)$ $13$ Makanda $-3.703586$ $13.514197$ $632$ $1044$ $43$ $(3-55)$ $42$ $42$ Makanda $-3.703586$ $13.514197$ $632$ $2104$ $43$ $173$ $10.6$ $(14.0-355)$ $229$ Makanda $-3.497895$ $1337404$ $1375$ $71$ $43$ $23-57$ $12$ $10$	23.2 (12.4–39.7)	4.7 933	3.6	0.0 2	3.6 (0.4–12.9)
Ikaya $-3.711913$ $13572193$ $423$ $63$ $52$ $34-9(21-5.29)$ $124$ Indzeri $-3.705659$ $13551219$ $390$ $36$ $44(24-56)$ $1$ $2.8(0.1-15.5)$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.709723$ $13551219$ $390$ $36$ $44(24-56)$ $1$ $2.8(0.1-15.5)$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.709723$ $13550436$ $530$ $33$ $47(32-59)$ $7$ $2.12(8.5-43.7)$ $1.8$ Ulende $-3.699444$ $135500436$ $530$ $33$ $47(32-56)$ $43$ $17.3(12.5-23.4)$ $2.5$ Loyo $-3.05896$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Makudo $-3.3658986$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Makudo $-3.3658986$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Makudo $-3.3658986$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Makudo $-3.369861$ $13.514135$ $3647$ $484$ $43(29-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Makudo $-3.369163$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $44(30-57)$ $20$ $21.1(11.8-34.8)$ $225$ Mambouana $-3.3497895$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $42(37-50)$ $21.6(9-26.7)$ $22$ Mayeve $-3.368165$ $13.41885$ $1342$ $484$ $43(31-55)$	24.6 ((14.6–39.4)	5.1 1344	7.2	0.0 0	0
Indzeri $-3.705659$ $13.551219$ $390$ $36$ $44(24-56)$ $1$ $28(0.1-15.5)$ $0.4$ Iziembo $-3.709723$ $13.560436$ $530$ $33$ $47(32-59)$ $7$ $21.2(6.5-43.7)$ $28$ Lilende $-3.699444$ $13.530688$ $427$ $69$ $45(34-62)$ $10$ $14.5(6.9-26.7)$ $13$ Luyo $-3.699444$ $13.530688$ $427$ $69$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Loyo $-3.05896$ $13.331352$ $2085$ $2248$ $43(20-56)$ $43$ $17.3(12.5-23.4)$ $225$ Makoto $-3.658986$ $13.53613$ $600$ $87$ $44(30-57)$ $20$ $23.0(14.0-35.5)$ $4.2$ Makoto $-3.658986$ $13.538613$ $600$ $87$ $44(30-57)$ $20$ $23.0(14.0-35.5)$ $4.2$ Makoto $-3.658986$ $13.5318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43(28-57)$ $17$ $17.0(9.9-27.2)$ $24$ Mapati $-3.528635$ $13.341885$ $1342$ $100$ $45(30-60)$ $17$ $17.0(9.9-27.2)$ $24$ Mapeti $-3.528635$ $13.341885$ $1342$ $100$ $43(31-55)$ $82$ $11.0(9.9-27.2)$ $24$ Mapati $-3.528635$ $13.341885$ $1342$ $100$ $43(3-55)$ $22$ $42$ Mapati $-3.528635$ $13.341865$ $1342$ $100$ $43(3-56)$ $17$ $10.0(12.2-33.6)$ $24$ Mayeye $-3.487612$ $13.291329$ $13829148$ $1180$ $12$ <td>34.9 (21.9–52.9)</td> <td>12.6 2453</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>1.6 1</td> <td>1.6</td>	34.9 (21.9–52.9)	12.6 2453	9.5	1.6 1	1.6
Liembo $-3.709723$ $13.560436$ $530$ $33$ $47(32-59)$ $7$ $21.2(8,5-43.7)$ $2.8$ Lilende $-3.699444$ $13.530688$ $427$ $69$ $45(34-62)$ $10$ $14.5(6,9-26.7)$ $18$ Loyo $-3.058966$ $13.31352$ $2085$ $248$ $43(29-56)$ $43$ $17.3(12,5-23.4)$ $2.5$ Makanda $-3.703558$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5,3-189)$ $11.3$ Makoto $-3.3058966$ $13.558613$ $600$ $87$ $44(30-57)$ $12$ $20.(14,0-35.5)$ $42.7$ Mambouana $-3.497895$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43(28-57)$ $15$ $21.1(11,8-348)$ $229$ Mambouana $-3.497895$ $13.318804$ $1375$ $71$ $43(28-57)$ $15$ $21.1(11,8-348)$ $229$ Mambouana $-3.487612$ $13.541355$ $3647$ $484$ $43(31-55)$ $82$ $117$ $170(99-272)$ $24$ Mayeye $-3.681605$ $13.641355$ $3647$ $484$ $43(31-55)$ $82$ $116.9(13.1-281)$ $21$ Miakaya $-3.687012$ $13.59198$ $1180$ $118$ $43(30-50)$ $17$ $210(12,2-336)$ $49$ Mikakaya $-3.3487612$ $13.591328$ $39(30-50)$ $17$ $210(12,2-336)$ $49$ Mikakaya $-3.3487612$ $13.591368$ $1180$ $118$ $43(30-55)$ $21.6(13,1-281)$ $21.6(13,1-281)$ $21.6(13,1-281)$ $21.6(13,1-281)$ Mikakaya	2.8 (0.1–15.5)	0.4 2572	2.8	2.8 0	0
Lilende $-3.699444$ $13.530688$ $427$ $69$ $45 (34-62)$ $10$ $14.5 (6.9-26.7)$ $1.8$ Loyo $-3.05896$ $13.381352$ $2085$ $248$ $43 (29-56)$ $43$ $17.3 (12.5-23.4)$ $2.5$ Makanda $-3.703558$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45 (32-57)$ $11$ $10.6 (5.3-189)$ $13.3$ Makanda $-3.703558$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45 (32-57)$ $11$ $10.6 (5.3-189)$ $13.3$ Makoto $-3.703558$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45 (30-57)$ $11$ $10.6 (5.3-189)$ $13.3$ Makoto $-3.703558$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45 (30-50)$ $17$ $10.6 (5.3-189)$ $13.3$ Mambouana $-3.497895$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43 (28-57)$ $15$ $21.1 (11.8-348)$ $229$ Mambouana $-3.487612$ $13.641355$ $3647$ $484$ $43 (31-55)$ $82$ $16.9 (13.5-21.0)$ $27$ Mbaka $-3.580357$ $13.641355$ $3647$ $484$ $43 (31-55)$ $82$ $16.9 (13.1-28.1)$ $31$ Mikakaya $-3.487612$ $13.593292$ $330$ $811$ $33(30-50)$ $17$ $21.0 (12.2-23.6)$ $42$ Mikakaya $-3.347612$ $13.591392$ $1380$ $1180$ $118$ $43 (30-50)$ $17$ $21.0 (12.2-23.6)$ $42$ Mikakaya $-3.3730349$ $13.894546$ $128$ $128$ $127$ $21.4 (17.7-48.6)$ $90$ Moussournou $-3.774$	21.2 (8.5–43.7)	2.8 1181	3.0	3.0 0	0
	14.5 ((6.9–26.7)	1.8 389	0.0	0.0 1	1.4 (0.03–8.1)
Makanda $-3.703558$ $13.514197$ $632$ $104$ $45(32-57)$ $11$ $10.6(5.3-18.9)$ $1.3$ Makoto $-3.658986$ $13.558613$ $600$ $87$ $44(30-57)$ $20$ $23.0(140-35.5)$ $4.2$ Mambouana $-3.497895$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43(28-57)$ $15$ $21.1(11.8-34.8)$ $2.9$ Mambouana $-3.497895$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43(28-57)$ $15$ $21.1(11.8-34.8)$ $2.9$ Mapti $-3.528635$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43(28-57)$ $15$ $21.1(11.8-34.8)$ $2.9$ Mapti $-3.528635$ $13.341885$ $13.42$ $100$ $45(30-60)$ $17$ $170(9.9-27.2)$ $2.9$ Mayeye $-3.528635$ $13.441355$ $3647$ $484$ $43(31-55)$ $82$ $16.9(13.5-21.0)$ $2.7$ Mayeka $-3.581605$ $13.641355$ $3647$ $484$ $43(31-55)$ $82$ $16.9(13.5-21.0)$ $2.7$ Mikakaya $-3.3487612$ $13.293929$ $390$ $811$ $39(30-50)$ $17$ $210.0(12.2-33.6)$ $4.9$ Mikakaya $-3.370511$ $13.562675$ $684$ $56$ $52(39-64)$ $17$ $210.4(17.7-48.6)$ $90$ Moukassi $-3.71051$ $13.562675$ $684$ $56$ $52(39-64)$ $17$ $30.4(17.7-48.6)$ $90$ Moukassi $-3.730349$ $13.494546$ $128$ $14$ $46(35-58)$ $30$ $21.3(14.4-30.4)$ $31.1$ Moukassi $-3.730396$ $1$	17.3 (12.5–23.4)	2.5 1360	4.8	1.6 3	1.2 (0.2–3.5)
Makoto $-3.658986$ $13.558613$ $600$ $87$ $44 (30-57)$ $20$ $23.0 (14.0-35.5)$ $4.2$ Mambouana $-3.497895$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43 (28-57)$ $15$ $21.1 (11.8-34.8)$ $29$ Mapati $-3.528635$ $13.318404$ $1375$ $71$ $43 (28-57)$ $15$ $21.1 (11.8-34.8)$ $29$ Mapeti $-3.528635$ $13.341885$ $1342$ $100$ $45 (30-60)$ $17$ $17.0 (9.9-27.2)$ $24$ Mayeye $-3.588635$ $13.341885$ $1342$ $484$ $43 (31-55)$ $82$ $16.9 (13.5-21.0)$ $27$ Mayeye $-3.681605$ $13.641355$ $3647$ $484$ $43 (31-55)$ $82$ $16.9 (13.5-21.0)$ $27$ Mixkaya $-3.487612$ $13.293929$ $390$ $81$ $39 (30-50)$ $17$ $21.0 (12.2-33.6)$ $49$ Mikakaya $-3.367121$ $13.59198$ $1180$ $148$ $43 (30-57)$ $29$ $19.6 (13.1-28.1)$ $3.1$ Minubassi $-3.71051$ $13.592032$ $1880$ $141$ $46 (35-58)$ $30$ $21.3 (14.4-30.4)$ $3.1$ Moussournou $-3.72416$ $13.578987$ $787$ $90$ $40 (28-63)$ $15$ $16.7 (9.3-27.5)$ $22.3 (14.4-30.4)$ $3.1$ Moussournou $-3.723036$ $13.595035$ $1528$ $23 (27-55)$ $50$ $21.2 (15.7-27.9)$ $46$ Ouaka $-3.739396$ $13.595035$ $1528$ $23 (22-55)$ $50$ $21.2 (14.9-36.9)$ $53$ Ouaka $-3$	10.6 (5.3–18.9)	1.3 910	2.9	1.0 0	0
Mambouana -3.497895 13.318404 1375 71 43 (28–57) 15 21.1 (11.8–34.8) 29   Mapati -3.528635 13.318404 1375 71 43 (28–57) 15 21.1 (11.8–34.8) 24   Mapati -3.528635 13.341885 1342 100 45 (30–60) 17 17.0 (99–27.2) 24   Mayeye -3.681605 13.641355 3647 484 43 (31–55) 82 16.9 (13.5–21.0) 27   Mayeye -3.487612 13.293929 390 81 39 (30–50) 17 21.0 (12.2–33.6) 49   Mikakaya -3.487612 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 31   Mikakaya -3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39–64) 17 30.4 (17.7–48.6) 90   Moukassi -3.430349 13.494546 1328 141 46 (35–58) 30 21.3 (14.4–30.4) 31   Moukassi -3.72416 13.5578987 787	23.0 (14.0-35.5)	4.2 877	3.4	0.0 1	1.1 (0.02–6.4)
Mapati -3.528635 13.341885 1342 100 45 (30-60) 17 17.0 (9.9-27.2) 2.4   Mayeye -3.681605 13.641355 3647 484 43 (31-55) 82 16.9 (13.5-21.0) 27   Mbaka -3.681605 13.641355 3647 484 43 (31-55) 82 16.9 (13.5-21.0) 27   Mbaka -3.487612 13.293929 390 81 39 (30-50) 17 21.0 (12.2-33.6) 49   Mikakaya -3.487612 13.593929 390 81 39 (30-57) 29 19.6 (13.1-28.1) 31   Mikakaya -3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39-64) 17 30.4 (17.7-48.6) 90   Moukassi -3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39-64) 17 30.4 (17.7-48.6) 90   Moukassi -3.7416 13.562675 684 56 52 (39-63) 15 16.7 (9.3-27.5) 22   Ouaka -3.72416 13.578987 787 <td< td=""><td>21.1 (11.8–34.8)</td><td>2.9 290</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0 1</td><td>1.4 (0.03–7.8)</td></td<>	21.1 (11.8–34.8)	2.9 290	0.0	0.0 1	1.4 (0.03–7.8)
Mayeye -3.681605 13.641355 3647 484 43 (31–55) 82 16.9 (13.5–21.0) 2.7   Mbaka -3.487612 13.293929 390 81 39 (30–50) 17 21.0 (12.2–33.6) 4.9   Mikakaya -3.4670241 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 3.1   Mikakaya -3.650241 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 3.1   Mikakaya -3.650241 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 3.1   Mimbassi -3.71051 13.592035 684 56 52 (39–64) 17 30.4 (17.7–48.6) 90   Moukassi -3.430349 13.494546 132.8 141 46 (35–58) 30 21.3 (14,4–30.4) 3.1   Moukassi -3.72416 13.578987 787 90 40 (28–63) 15 16.7 (93–27.5) 2.2   Ouaka -3.739396 13.5595035 15	17.0 (9.9–27.2)	2.4 1144	3.0	2.0 1	1.0 (0.03–5.6)
Mbaka - 3.487612 13.293929 390 81 39 (30–50) 17 210 (12.2-33.6) 49   Mikakaya - 3.650241 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 3.1   Mikakaya - 3.650241 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 3.1   Mimbassi - 3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39–64) 17 30.4 (17.7–48.6) 90   Moukassi - 3.7430349 13.494546 1328 141 46 (35–58) 30 21.3 (14.4–30.4) 3.1   Moukassi - 3.72416 13.578987 787 90 40 (28–63) 15 16.7 (9.3–27.5) 2.2   Ouaka - 3.739396 13.595035 1528 236 (27–55) 50 21.2 (15.7–27.9) 46   Ouaka - 3.7458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28–53) 21.1 (14.9–369) 5.3	16.9 (13.5–21.0)	2.7 1632	4.1	1.0 9	1.9 (0.9–3.5)
Mikakaya - 3.650241 13.59198 1180 148 43 (30–57) 29 19.6 (13.1–28.1) 3.1   Mimbassi - 3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39–64) 17 30.4 (17.7–48.6) 90   Moukassi - 3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39–64) 17 30.4 (17.7–48.6) 90   Moukassi - 3.430349 13.494546 1328 141 46 (35–58) 30 21.3 (14.4–30.4) 3.1   Moukasoumou - 3.72416 13.578987 787 90 40 (28–63) 15 16.7 (9.3–27.5) 2.22   Ouaka - 3.739396 13.595035 1528 236 (27–55) 50 21.2 (15.7–27.9) 46   Ouaka - 3.458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28–53) 21 24.1 (14.9–36.9) 5.3	21.0 (12.2–33.6)	4.9 2392	9.6	1.2 0	0
Mimbassi - 3.71051 13.562675 684 56 52 (39-64) 17 30.4 (17.7-48.6) 90   Moukassi - 3.430349 13.494546 1328 141 46 (35-58) 30 21.3 (14.4-30.4) 31   Moukassi - 3.72416 13.578987 787 90 40 (28-63) 15 16.7 (9.3-27.5) 22   Ouaka - 3.739396 13.558035 1528 236 39 (27-55) 50 21.2 (15.7-27.9) 46   Ouaka - 3.7458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28-53) 21 24.1 (14.9-36.9) 5.3	19.6 (13.1–28.1)	3.1 1053	4.1	0.0 1	0.7 (0.01–3.8)
Moukassi - 3.430349 13.494546 13.28 141 46 (35–58) 30 21.3 (14.4–30.4) 3.1   Moussoumou - 3.72416 13.578987 787 90 40 (28–63) 15 16.7 (9.3–27.5) 22   Ouaka - 3.739396 13.595035 1528 236 39 (27–55) 50 21.2 (15.7–27.9) 46   Ouaka - 3.458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28–53) 21 24.1 (14.9–36.9) 5.3	30.4 (17.7–48.6)	9.0 1852	8.9	1.8 0	0
Moussoumou -3.72416 13.578987 787 90 40 (28–63) 15 16.7 (9.3–27.5) 2.2   Ouaka -3.739396 13.595035 1528 236 39 (27–55) 50 21.2 (15.7–27.9) 46   Ouandzi -3.458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28–53) 21 24.1 (14.9–36.9) 5.3	21.3 (14.4–30.4)	3.1 675	3.5	0.0 1	0.7 (0.02-4.0)
Ouaka -3.739396 13.595035 1528 236 39 (27–55) 50 21.2 (15.7–27.9) 4.6   Ouandzi -3.458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28–53) 21 24.1 (14.9–36.9) 5.3	16.7 (9.3–27.5)	2.2 586	3.3	0.0 0.0	0
Ouandzi -3.458303 13.282144 401 87 39 (28-53) 21 24.1 (14.9-36.9) 5.3	21.2 (15.7–27.9)	4.6 2793	9.7	3.0 1	0.4 (0.01–2.4)
	24.1 (14.9–36.9)	5.3 2183	8.0	2.3 0	0
Panda – 3.664232 13.409826 524 72 47 (29–62) 21 29.2 (18.1–44.6) 7.8	29.2 (18.1–44.6)	7.8 1680	6.9	1.4 0	0
Total 20,651 2444 43 (30–57) 483 20.0 (18.1–21.6) 3.4	3 20.0 (18.1–21.6)	3.4 1487	5.1	1.1 22	1.0 (0.6–1.4)



Fig. 1 Distribution of Loa loa microfilaremia prevalence by surveyed village in 2019

department in the 1980s [3], the southern region of Cameroon in 1995 [11] and several other studies [10, 13, 17, 18]. As previously suggested [10], this discrepancy may stem from unequal exposure to Chrysops bites during daily activities. Men, engaging more frequently in activities within the dense forest of the "Massif du Chaillu," spending extended periods away from home, are thus more exposed to repeated Chrysops bites than women. The proportion of individuals with high L. loa MFD (> 8000 mf/ml) was 5.1%, and those with very high MFD (> 30,000 mf/ml) constituted 1.1%. These findings align with those obtained in the Central Region of Cameroon [1]. In that context, the authors concluded that when the microfilaremia prevalence ranges between 20 and 30%, approximately 5–9% of adults exhibit a MFD > 8000 mf/ml and 1-3% of adults present a MFD > 30,000 mf/ml [19].

This study established a correlation between the prevalence of *L. loa* microfilaremia and three of the four indicators used to characterize the intensity of *L. loa* infection. Similar to findings in the Central Region of Cameroon [1], prevalence exhibited a significant correlation with CMFL, the arithmetic MFD mean and the

proportion of individuals with a MFD > 8000 mf/ml. The lack of correlation between microfilaremia prevalence and the proportion of individuals with a MFD > 30,000 mf/ml could be attributed to the limited number of the latter (n = 28). Additionally, familial predisposition to L. loa hyper-microfilaremia contributed to clusters of individuals with this condition in specific villages, potentially resulting in a substantial number of individuals with very high MFD in villages with comparatively low prevalence [20]. The association between prevalence and intensity indicators of L. loa infection has a significant implication as it opens avenues for utilizing prevalence data to assess the level of risk associated with L. loa before implementing any public health measures. Furthermore, in alignment with the WHO roadmap for neglected tropical diseases [21], which recommends accelerating actions to control filariasis (onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis) in areas of coendemicity with loiasis, these results can aid in better guiding the planning and implementation of alternative treatment strategies against onchocerciasis in these villages [22].

Age	Men					Wome	L.				All				
	z	+ z	Prevalence (IC 95%)	GM	MFD median (range)	z	+ z	Prevalence (IC 95%)	ВM	MFD median (range)	z	+ z	Prevalence (IC 95%)	M	MFD median (range)
18-23	216	28	13.0 (8.6–18.7)	836	1350 (20–92600)	142	=	7.7 (3.9–13.9)	897	700 (40–16900)	358	39	10.9 (7.7–14.9) 8	353	1240 (20–92600)
24-32	191	42	22.0 (15.8–29.7)	2084	3580 (20–35000)	173	10	5.8 (2.8-10.6)	915	1450 (20-19400)	364	52	14.3 (10.7–18.7)	1779	3360 (20–35000)
33-40	207	57	27.5 (20.9–35.7)	2196	3100 (40–62700)	140	16	11.4 (6.5–18.6)	3275	3620 (200–33840)	347	73	21.0 (16.5–26.5) 2	2397	3200 (40–62700)
41-47	178	41	23.0 (16.5–31.2)	1653	2460 (20–41800	152	12	7.9 (4.1–13.8)	4068	3800 (800–54660)	330	53	16.1 (12.0–21.0) 2	2027	2460 (20–54660)
48-55	175	59	33.7 (25.7–43.5)	1933	2000 (20-1 09000)	189	19	15.3 (6.1–15.7)	1547	4000 (20-72080)	364	88	24.2 (19.4–29.8)	1796	2120 (20-109000)
56-65	161	51	31.7 (23.6–41.6)	1634	1800 (20-57060)	216	44	20.4 (14.8–27.3)	1884	2040 (20-70600)	377	95	25.2 (20.4–30.8)	1746	1980 (20–70600)
66–91	134	45	33.6 (24.5–44.9)	1230	900 (40–91600)	170	38	22.4 (15.8–30.7)	1753	1550 (60–62000)	304	83	27.3 (21.7–33.8)	1447	1400 (40–91600)
TOTAL	1262	323	25.6 (22.9–28.5)	1664	2140 (20-109000)	1182	150	12.7 (10.7–14.9)	1818	2070 (20-72080)	2444	483	20.0 (18.0–21.6)	1714	2100 (20–109000)
N, numt	oer of su	ubjects	examined; N + = numb	er of suk	bjects with <i>L. loa</i> microfila	remia; G	iM, gec	metric mean (among in	dividua	ls with <i>L. loa</i> MFD); MFD,	microfil	arial de	nsity		

p	
д Д	
0	
5	
2	
σ	1
ē	
g	1
>	
Ď	
⊆ ⊆	
Ű	
E	
Ľ	
$\geq$	
Ū	
E.	
Ŀ.	
E	
0	
ĕ	1
σ	
Ē	
0	
. <u>e</u>	
Ľ	
Ψ	
1	
P	
5	
÷Ē	
2	
8	
ž	
8	
-7	
ð	
Φ	
2	
ē	
a g	
ر م	
Ť	
N	
<u> </u>	
ā	
Ъ	

sex

Village	Examined 1985–1989ª	Prev mf <i>Loa</i> 1985–1989 (%)	Examined 2004 <sup>b</sup>	Prev mf <i>Loa</i> 2004 (%)	Examined 2019 <sup>c</sup>	Prev mf <i>Loa</i> 2019 (%)	Statistical analysis
Loyo	433	24.5	68	23.5	248	17.3	$\chi^2 = 1.353, df = 1, P = 0.245$
Mambouana	341	13.8	84	25.0	71	21.1	$\chi^2 = 0.328, df = 1, P = 0.567$
Mapati	439	13.2	61	31.1	100	17.0	$\chi^2 = 4.341, df = 1, P = 0.037^d$
Mayeyé			47	42.6	484	16.9	$\chi^2 = 18.257, df = 1, P < 0.0001^d$
Mbaka			53	7.5	81	21.0	$\chi^2 = 4.421, df = 1, P = 0.036^d$
Mikakaya			57	49.1	148	19.6	$\chi^2 = 17.841, df = 1, P < 0.0001^d$
Ouandzi			54	25.9	87	24.1	$\chi^2 = 0.058, df = 1, P = 0.809$
Panda	101	27.7			72	29.2	$\chi^2 = 0.047, df = 1, P = 0.829$

Table 3 Evolution of the prevalence of Loa loa microfilaremia in the villages of Lékoumou surveyed at least twice

<sup>a</sup> In Loyo, Mambouana and Mapati, subjects examined in 1985–1986 were  $\geq$  1 year, and two thick drops of 20 µl each were examined; in Panda, subjects were  $\geq$  20 years, and microfilariae were examined on 1 ml blood

<sup>b</sup> Subjects aged  $\geq$  15 years, mf tested on one 50-µl-thick drop

<sup>c</sup> Subjects aged  $\geq$  18 years, mf tested on a 50-µl-thick drop

<sup>d</sup> Statistically significant

Table 4 Evolution of the prevalence of	f <i>Mansonella perstans</i> mic	rofilaremia in the villages c	of Lékoumou surve	yed at least twice
--	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------	--------------------

Village	Examined 1985– 1989ª	Prev mf <i>Mp</i> 1985–1989 (%)	Examined 2004 <sup>b</sup>	Prev mf <i>Mp</i> 2004 (%)	Examined 2019 <sup>c</sup>	Prev mf <i>Mp</i> 2019 (%)	Statistical analysis
Loyo	432	22.2	68	8.8	248	1.2	Fisher's exact test, <i>P</i> =0.004 <sup>d</sup> , OR=7.8, 95% CI 2–50
Mambouana	340	15.3	84	3.6	71	1.4	Fisher's exact test, <i>P</i> =0.625, OR=2.6, 95% CI 0–138
Mapati	438	19.2	61	3.3	100	1.0	Fisher's exact test, <i>P</i> = 0.558, OR = 3.3, 95% CI 0–200
Mayeyé			47	6.4	484	1.9	Fisher's exact test, <i>P</i> =0.081, OR=3.6, 95% CI 1–15
Mbaka			53	1.9	81	0	Chi-square test with Yates's correction, $\chi^2 = 0.046^d$ , $df = 1$ , $P = 0.830$
Mikakaya			57	1.8	148	0.7	Fisher's exact test, <i>P</i> = 0.480, OR = 2.6, 95% CI 0–207
Ouandzi			54	7.4	87	0	Chi-square test with Yates's correction, $\chi^2 = 4.218$ , $df = 1$ , $P = 0.040$
Panda	101	5.9			72	0	Chi-square test with Yates's correction, $\chi^2 = 2.834$ , $df = 1$ , $P = 0.092$

<sup>a</sup> In Loyo, Mambouana and Mapati, subjects examined in 1985–1986 were  $\geq$  1 year old, and two thick drops of 20 µl each were examined; in Panda, subjects were  $\geq$  20 years, and microfilariae were examined on 1 ml blood

 $^{\rm b}$  Subjects aged  $\geq$  15 years, mf tested on one 50-µl-thick drop

 $^{\rm c}$  Subjects aged  $\geq$  18 years, mf tested on a 50-µl-thick drop

<sup>d</sup> Statistically significant

This study compared the endemic levels measured in 2019 with those identified in previous surveys in eight villages. While several studies have evaluated the impact of mass ivermectin treatments on loiasis endemicity [21, 23], there is a dearth of documentation on the evolution of these indicators in areas that have not undergone any intervention. As far as we know, the only available data for assessing this spontaneous evolution are from studies conducted in 2001 and 2013 in five villages in the Eastern region of Cameroon (refer to Additional file 1: Table S1) [24].

In the Lékoumou region, a decline in microfilaremia prevalence was observed in four localities between the first survey and 2019. Notably, this reduction was significantly marked in Mayéyé (from 42.6 to 16.9% between 2004 and 2019) and Mikakaya (50.8% and 19.6% during the same period). In two villages (Mambouana and Ouandzi), the prevalence seemed to remain stable, while

Village	CMFL Loa 2004 <sup>a</sup>	CMFL <i>Loa</i> 2019 <sup>b</sup>	Statistical analysis	% > 8000 mf/ml 2004 <sup>a</sup>	% > 8000 mf/ml 2019 <sup>b</sup>	Statistical analysis	% > 30,000 mf/ml 2004 <sup>a</sup>	% > 30,000 mf/ml 2019 <sup>b</sup>	Statistical analysis
Loyo	3.8	2.5	t-test, t(314) = 0.54, P = 0.587	5.9	4.8	Fisher's exact test, $P = 0.756$ , OR = 1.2, 95% Cl 0-4	0.0	1.6	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.195$ , df = 1, $P = 0.659$
Mambouana	5.4	2.9	t-test, t(153) = 0.65, P = 0.516	4.8	0.0	Chi-square test with Yates's correction, $\chi^2 = 1.835, df = 1,$ P = 0.176	1.2	0.0	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.001$ , $df = 1$ , $P < 0.001^{c}$
Mapati	6.8	2.4	t-test, t(159) = 1.40, P = 0.163	1.6	3.0	Fisher's exact test, $P = 0.999$ , OR = 0.5, 95% CI 0-7	0.0	2.0	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.143$ , df = 1, $P = 0.705$
Mayeyé	0.9	2.7	t-test, t(539) = -0.65, P = 0.515	4.6	4.1	Fisher's exact test, $P = 0.999$ , OR = 1.1, 95% CI 0-4	0.0	1.0	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.001$ , df = 1, $P = 0.999$
Mbaka	1.0	4.9	t-test, t(132) = -0.81, P = 0.418	1.9	9.9	Fisher's exact test, $P = 0.087$ , OR = 0.2, 95% CI 0-1	0.0	1.2	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.001$ , df = 1, $P = 0.999$
Mikakaya	3.7	3.1	t-test, t(203) = 0.21, P = 0.837	9.8	4.1	Fisher's exact test, <i>P</i> = 0.097, OR = 2.7, 95% CI 1-11	3.3	0.0	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.247$ , df = 1, $P = 0.619$
Ouandzi	5.4	5.3	t-test, t(139) = 0.02, P = 0.983	5.6	8.0	Fisher's exact test, $P = 0.741$ , OR = 0.7, 95% CI 0-3	0.0	2.3	Chi-square test with Yates's cor- rection, $\chi^2 = 0.152$ , df = 1, $P = 0.697$

Table 5 Trend in community microfilarial load (CMFL) and microfilarial densities of *Loa loa* microfilaremia in Lékoumou villages surveyed in 2004 and 2019

 $^a$  Subjects aged  $\geq$  15 years old, mf tested on one 50-µl-thick drop

<sup>b</sup> Subjects aged  $\geq$  18 years, mf tested on a 50-µl-thick drop

<sup>c</sup> Statistically significant

in two others (Panda, especially Mbaka), it appeared to be on the rise. While the relatively small number of participants in the 2004 surveys might contribute to some variability, it is unlikely to account for the more substantial decreases or increases. The rural exodus, particularly among men, following the May–October 1997 civil war in these villages could have influenced both population composition and infection levels. Additionally, pronounced deforestation around specific villages might explain a decrease in prevalence, whereas shifts in lifestyle or work location, such as an extended presence in the forest, could elucidate an increase in prevalence. Interestingly, despite potential significant variations in prevalence in some villages, intensity of infection (CMFL) remained stable.

The prevalence of *M. perstans* microfilaremia (1.0%) was notably lower than observed in other regions [1, 25, 26] and even lower than the rates documented in the Lékoumou region during the 1980s [4]. An entomological study conducted in April 1987 and January 1988 in a

village in the Lékoumou region (Missama) indicated that over 98% of the *Culicoides* bites on humans were attributable to the species *Culicoides grahamii*. Captures were conducted daily from 7 to 9 a.m. and from 5 to 7 p.m., with an average number of bites per human per hour reaching 367 in April (midpoint of the "long rainy season") and 70.3 in January (start of the "short dry season") [26]. The decomposition of banana or plantain bunches is considered to be the preferred breeding ground for *C. grahamii* [27]. It is possible that the surface area of these environments has diminished over the last 30 years or that certain agricultural practices, notably the use of insecticides, have led to a substantial reduction in the population densities of *M. perstans* vectors.

## Conclusions

In 2019, the prevalence of *L. loa* microfilaremia in adults exceeded 20% in the majority (62%) of the surveyed villages. Previous parasitological survey data from 1985–1986 and 2004 revealed that in this region, which has not

undergone mass ivermectin treatment for onchocerciasis control, the prevalence of *L. loa* infection has generally remained stable for 15 years or more. Nevertheless, variations in prevalence trends exist between villages, and alterations in the environment or in people's activity patterns could account for these differences. The results also indicate a dramatic decrease in the prevalence of *M. perstans* microfilaremia in the region.

## Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13071-023-06056-w.

Additional file 1: Figure S1: Distribution of *Mansonella perstans* cases by surveyed village in 2019. Figure S2: Satellite images and prevalence levels of *Loa loa* microfilaremia from each village in 2019. Table S1: Spontaneous evolution of *Loa loa* endemicity between 2001 and 2013 in five villages in Cameroon.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the 21 village chiefs surveyed as well as all the people interviewed in this study. We thank the authorities of the Ministry of Health and Population of the Republic of Congo for the authorizations granted. We also thank the team of the National Program for the Control of Onchocerciasis of the Republic of Congo for their support during this study.

#### Author contributions

MCH, CBC and MB wrote the protocol for this study. MCH, CBC, JTC and MF conducted the field data collection. MCH and CBC performed the statistical analyses. MCH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

#### Funding

This work was funded by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) (grant no. 18-CE17-0008).

#### Availability of data and materials

Data supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the present study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This screening was carried out in a clinical trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of single doses of levamisole in individuals infected with *Loa loa*. This trial was approved by the Committee on Ethics in Health Sciences Research (no. 226/MRSIT/IRSSA/CERRSSA), and an Administrative Authorization (no. 469/ MSP/CAB/UCPP-19) was released by the Ministry of Health and Population of the Congo.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 9 May 2023 Accepted: 14 November 2023 Published online: 09 December 2023

#### References

- Boussinesq M, Gardon J. Prevalences of *Loa loa* microfilaraemia throughout the area endemic for the infection. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1997;91:573–89.
- Zouré HGM, Wanji S, Noma M, Amazigo UV, Diggle PJ, Tekle AH, et al. The geographic distribution of *Loa loa* in Africa: results of large-scale implementation of the Rapid Assessment Procedure for Loiasis (RAPLOA). PLOS Negl Trop Dis. 2011;5:e1210. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.00012 10.
- Noireau F, Apembet JD, Nzoulani A, Carme B. Clinical manifestations of loiasis in an endemic area in the Congo. Trop Med Parasitol. 1990;41:37–9.
- Noireau F, Carme B, Apembet JD, Gouteux JP. Loa loa and Mansonella perstans filariasis in the Chaillu mountains, Congo: parasitological prevalence. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1989;83:529–34.
- Carme B, Ntsoumou Madzou V, Samba Y, Noireau F. Prévalence des filarioses à microfilarémie au Congo. Bull OCEAC (Yaoundé). 1986;74:61–5.
- Hemilembolo MC, Niama AC, Campillo JT, Pion SD, Missamou F, Whittaker C, et al. Excess mortality associated with loiasis: confirmation by a new retrospective cohort study conducted in the Republic of Congo. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2023;10:ofad103. https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofad103.
- Campillo JT, Bikita P, Hemilembolo M, Louya F, Missamou F, Pion SDS, et al. Safety and efficacy of levamisole in loiasis: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. Clin Infect Dis. 2021;75:19–27. https:// doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab906.
- République du Congo. Annuaire Statistique: 2018. https://ins-congo.cg/ annuaire-statistique-2018-Lékoumou/. Accessed 15 Jan 2023.
- 9. Wilson EB. Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference. J Am Stat Assoc. 1927;22:209–12.
- Pion SDS, Gardon J, Kamgno J, Gardon-Wendel N, Chippaux JP, Boussinesq M. Structure of the microfilarial reservoir of *Loa loa* in the human host and its implications for monitoring the programmes of communitydirected treatment with ivermectin carried out in Africa. Parasitology. 2004;129:613–26. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182004005694.
- Mommers EC, Dekker HS, Richard P, Garica A, Chippaux JP. Prevalence of L. loa and M. perstans filariasis in southern Cameroon. Trop Geogr Med. 1995;47:2–5.
- Gardon J, Gardon-Wendel N, Demanga-Ngangue, Kamgno J, Chippaux JP, Boussinesq M. Serious reactions after mass treatment of onchocerciasis with ivermectin in an area endemic for *Loa loa* infection. Lancet. 1997;350:18–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(96)11094-1.
- Pion SDS, Demanou M, Oudin B, Boussinesq M. Loiasis: the individual factors associated with the presence of microfilaraemia. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2005;99:491–500.
- Tatuene JK, Fotsing RG, Nkoa T, et al. Epidemiology of *Loa loa* and *Mansonella perstans* filariasis in the Akonolinga health district, Centre Region, Cameroon. Health Sci Dis. 2014;15:1.
- Ministère de l'agriculture et de l'élevage, République du Congo. Monographie Lékoumou, secteur agricole. https://wri-sites.s3.amazonaws.com/ forest-atlas.org/assets.forest-atlas.org/cog/resources/amenagementterr itoire/Agriculture/Monographie\_Lékoumou\_final\_SOFRECO-CERAPE.pdf. Accessed 20 Sept 2023.
- Duke BOL. Studies on the biting habits of Chrysops. IV. The dispersal of Chrysops silacea over cleared areas from the rain-forest at Kumba, British Cameroons. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1955;49:362–7.
- Fischer P, Kilian D, Bamuhiiga J, Kipp W, Büttner D. Prevalence of Mansonella perstans in western Uganda and its detection using the QBCfluorescence method. Appl Parasitol. 1996;37:32–7.
- Thomson MC, Obsomer V, Kamgno J, Gardon J, Wanji S, Takougang I, et al. Mapping the distribution of *Loa loa* in Cameroon in support of the African Programme for Onchocerciasis Control. Filaria J. 2004;3:7. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1475-2883-3-7.
- Boussinesq M, Gardon J, Kamgno J, Pion SDS, Gardon-Wendel N, Chippaux JP. Relationships between the prevalence and intensity of *Loa loa* infection in the Central province of Cameroon. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2001;95:495–507. https://doi.org/10.1080/00034980120073184.

- Eyebe S, Sabbagh A, Pion SD, Nana-Djeunga HC, Kamgno J, Boussinesq M, et al. Familial aggregation and heritability of *Loa loa* microfilaremia. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66:751–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix877.
- Organisation mondiale de la Santé. 2021. Lutter contre les maladies tropicales négligées pour atteindre les objectifs de développement durable : feuille de route pour les maladies tropicales négligées 2021–2030. https://www.who.int/fr/publications/i/item/9789240010352. Accessed 20 Sept 2023.
- Kamgno J, Pion SD, Chesnais CB, Bakalar MH, D'Ambrosio MV, Mackenzie CD, et al. A test-and-not-treat strategy for onchocerciasis in *Loa loa*– endemic areas. N Engl J Med. 2017;377:2044–52. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1705026.
- Sumo L, Ntonifor NH, Afor AR, Bopda J, Bamou Heumou R, Ondoua Nganjou GS, et al. Loiasis is endemic in the Ndikinimeki Health District (Centre Region, Cameroon) but does not represent a hindrance to onchocerciasis elimination. Acta Trop. 2022;225:106218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actat ropica.2021.106218.
- Takougang I, Meli J, Lamlenn S, Tatah PN, Ntep M. Loiasis—a neglected and under estimated affliction: endemicity, morbidity and perceptions in eastern Cameroon. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2007;101:151–60. https://doi. org/10.1179/136485907X154511.
- Asio SM, Simonsen PE, Onapa AW. Mansonella perstans filariasis in Uganda: patterns of microfilaraemia and clinical manifestations in two endemic communities. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 2009;103:266–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trstmh.2008.08.007.
- Noireau F, Itoua A, Carme B. Epidemiology of Mansonella perstans filariasis in the forest region of South Congo. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1990;84:251–4. https://doi.org/10.1080/00034983.1990.11812464.
- Hopkins CA. Notes on the biology of certain *Culicoides* studied in the British Cameroons, West Africa, together with observations on their possible role as vectors of *Acanthocheilonema perstans*. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1952;46:165–72. https://doi.org/10.1080/00034983.1952.11685521.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

