

RESEARCH

Open Access

Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis in rodents in an area with sympatric existence of the hard ticks *Ixodes ricinus* and *Dermacentor reticulatus*, Germany

Cornelia Silaghi^{1*}, Dietlinde Woll², Monia Mahling³, Kurt Pfister¹ and Martin Pfeffer²

Abstract

Background: *Candidatus* Neoehrlichia mikurensis (CNM) has been described in the hard tick *Ixodes ricinus* and rodents as well as in some severe cases of human disease. The aims of this study were to identify DNA of CNM in small mammals, the ticks parasitizing them and questing ticks in areas with sympatric existence of *Ixodes ricinus* and *Dermacentor reticulatus* in Germany.

Methods: Blood, transudate and organ samples (spleen, kidney, liver, skin) of 91 small mammals and host-attached ticks from altogether 50 small mammals as well as questing *I. ricinus* ticks (n=782) were screened with a real-time PCR for DNA of CNM.

Results: 52.7% of the small mammals were positive for CNM-DNA. The majority of the infected animals were yellow-necked mice (*Apodemus flavicollis*) and bank voles (*Myodes glareolus*). Small mammals with tick infestation were more often infected with CNM than small mammals without ticks. Compared with the prevalence of ~25% in the questing *I. ricinus* ticks, twice the prevalence in the rodents provides evidence for their role as reservoir hosts for CNM.

Conclusion: The high prevalence of this pathogen in the investigated areas in both rodents and ticks points towards the need for more specific investigation on its role as a human pathogen.

Keywords: *Candidatus* Neoehrlichia mikurensis, Bank vole, Yellow-necked mouse, *Ixodes ricinus*, *Dermacentor reticulatus*, Recreational area, Host survey, Vector-host relation

Background

Rodents are reservoir hosts for several zoonotic and emerging pathogens [1]. Furthermore, rodents and other small mammals serve as main feeding and maintenance hosts for the developmental stages of various tick species. They play an important role in the endemic cycles of tick-borne pathogens by being reservoir hosts for those as well as being drivers for the tick population itself (e.g. the tick-borne encephalitis-virus or *Babesia microti*) [2-4]. Thus, the health of human beings can be

seriously impaired by contact with rodents or ticks, which have previously fed on them. Ticks found on small mammals can be either exophilic or endophilic. Exophilic species such as the anthrophilic *Ixodes ricinus* may act as bridge vectors between large mammalian species including humans and small mammals [5]. The developmental stages of *Dermacentor reticulatus* or endophilic species (e.g. *I. trianguliceps*) are more specialised regarding their hosts and may provide stable niche cycles for pathogens such as *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *B. microti* [6-8]. A new pathogen belonging to the α -proteobacteria (family *Anaplasmataceae*) was detected in the late 1990s in *I. ricinus* in the Netherlands and Italy as well as in a Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) in China and was initially called *Ehrlichia*-like (or *Schotti*

* Correspondence: cornelia.silaghi@tropavetmed.uni-muenchen.de

¹Comparative Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Leopoldstr. 5, D-80802 Munich, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

variant, *E. walkeri*, *Rattus*-strain) due to a diverging 16S rRNA gene sequence [9-11]. Further findings in rats and *I. ovatus* ticks in Japan and the passaging of the agent in laboratory rats led to its description as the new species *Candidatus* Neohrlichia mikurensis (CNM) in 2004 [12]. Although in parts genetically characterized, it was not possible till the present time to isolate the bacterium *in vitro*, thus formally remaining the taxonomical status as *Candidatus*. A recent study comparing 16S rRNA and *groEL* gene sequences confirmed the identity or very close relationship of sequences from the initial findings of the Ehrlichia-like organisms with the new species [13]. This emerging zoonotic intracellular tick-borne pathogen forms a separate cluster in the family Anaplasmataceae together with the North American *Cand. N. lotoris*, which has been detected in raccoons [14-16]. CNM has been shown to be a human pathogen as it has been identified in the blood of febrile human patients in Germany, Sweden, Switzerland and the Czech Republic, and a dog in Germany. Most of these patients were immunocompromised due to splenectomy or immunosuppressive therapy and the reported diseases were severe, including hemorrhagic events, recurrent fever of up to 8 months and even lethal outcome [14,17-21]. *I. ricinus* is most likely the vector for CNM in Europe, but the reservoir host is not fully known; however, rodents have been suggested [13]. Several studies have identified DNA of CNM in questing or host-attached *I. ricinus* in Europe including Germany [11,13,22,23]. However, potential reservoir hosts have thus far not been examined in Germany. Accordingly, in this study the occurrence of DNA of CNM was identified in small mammals, the ticks parasitizing them and questing ticks in recreational areas with co-existing *I. ricinus* and *D. reticulatus* populations in Germany. The aims were (i) to identify their infection rates, (ii) to determine the suitable screening material in rodents for epidemiological studies and (iii) to assess the co-infections with other pathogens in these areas where several pathogens have been found in rodents and ticks before [24,25].

Methods

Small mammals were trapped and euthanized in a metropolitan area of Leipzig from August 2010 to November 2011 (Permission-No 36.45.12/4/10-026 of the city of Leipzig). All ticks found on the small mammals were collected. Questing ticks collected during 2008 and 2009 with the flagging method in Leipzig (Saxony), near Munich (Bavaria) and the Saarland were also available for this study. For the latter two sites no rodents were trapped. The sampling sites, results of the tick collection, procedures of the rodent trapping, rodent species trapped, necropsy, and DNA extraction from animal tissues, host-attached and questing ticks have been

described in detail previously [25]. Additionally to the 80 previously described animals, 5 yellow-necked mice (*Apodemus flavicollis*) and 6 bank voles (*Myodes glareolus*) were available from November 2011. Blood (n=40), transudate (n=91) and organ samples [spleen (n=90), kidney (n=89), liver (n=90), skin (n=91)] of 91 small mammals, as well as all host-attached ticks (n=365; 234 *I. ricinus*, 117 *D. reticulatus*, 1 *I. trianguliceps*, 11 *Ixodes* spp., 1 *Dermacentor* spp., 1 without species identification due to damage) from altogether 50 small mammals, and questing *I. ricinus* ticks (n=782, in a total of 730 samples, as some nymphs were pooled) were screened for DNA of CNM. For 51 of the small mammals, no blood could be drawn prior to necropsy, for 2 animals no kidney sample, and for one animal each no spleen and no liver sample were available.

A real-time PCR previously published and targeting the *groEL* gene was used for the detection of CNM-DNA with modifications [13]. The reaction was carried out in an AB7500fast (Applied Biosystems, Germany) in a total volume of 20µl using 10µl of the Universal fast TaqMan Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 1µl of molecular grade water, the following three primers (all 10µM; 1.8µl NMikGroEL-F2 5'-CCTTGAAAATATAGCAAGATCAGGTAG-3'; 0.9µl NMikGroEL rev1 5'-CCACCACGTAACCTATTAGCACTAAAG-3' and 0.9µl NMikGroEL rev2 5'-CCACCACGTAACCTATTAGTACTAAAG-3') and 0.4µl of the probe NMikGroEL-P2a 5'-FAM-CTCTACTAATTATTGCTGAAGATGTAGAAGGTGAA GC-BHQ1-3'. 5µl of DNA-template was added to each reaction. The cycling conditions were 95°C for 20sec followed by 40 cycles (95°C for 3sec; 60°C for 30sec). To each reaction, a negative control (molecular grade water) and a positive control (DNA of CNM from naturally infected *I. ricinus*, confirmed by sequencing) were added.

Data on the occurrence of *A. phagocytophilum*, *Babesia* spp. and *Rickettsia* spp. in questing adult *I. ricinus* as well as of the small mammals and their ticks with *A. phagocytophilum* and *Babesia* spp. was available from previous investigations in the same animals and ticks. Hence, co-infections with CNM were analyzed [24,25].

Statistical analysis

Exact confidence intervals of the prevalences of small mammals and questing ticks (CI 95%) were computed with the Clopper and Pearson method. For the questing ticks, differences in the infection rates with CNM between sex of ticks, collection sites and region were computed with logistic regression model using R 2.13.1 as described [25] (R Developmental Core Team 2011 [26]). Positive detection rates were compared among all groups (sex, sites, regions) by use of a procedure for simultaneous tests for general linear hypotheses in parametric models, which adjusts for multiplicity and

Table 1 PCR results for detection of DNA of *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis* in 91 small mammals from recreational areas in Leipzig (2010–2011)

Small mammalian species	Total number of animals	Pos, any ^a	Blood			Transudate			Spleen			Kidney			Liver			Skin		
			Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%)
<i>Apodemus flavicollis</i> Yellow-necked mouse	37	24	12	18	(66.6)	20	37	(54.1)	23	37	(62.2)	23	36	(63.9)	16	36	(44.4)	0	37	(0)
<i>Apodemus agrarius</i> Striped field mouse	3	1	0	1	(0)	0	3	(0)	1	3	(33.3)	1	3	(33.3)	0	3	(0)	0	3	(0)
<i>Myodes glareolus</i> Bank vole	42	23	7	21	(33.3)	19	42	(45.2)	22	42	(52.4)	21	41	(51.2)	18	42	(42.9)	1	42	(2.0)
<i>Arvicola amphibius</i> European water vole	3	0	n.a.			0	3	(0)	0	3	(0)	0	3	(0)	0	3	(0)	0	3	(0)
<i>Talpa europaea</i> Common mole	1	0	n.a.			0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)
<i>Crocidura russula</i> Greater white-toothed shrew	4	0	n.a.			0	4	(0)	0	3	(0)	0	4	(0)	0	4	(0)	0	4	(0)
<i>Sorex araneus</i> Common shrew	1	0	n.a.			0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)	0	1	(0)
Total	91	48	19	40	(47.5)	39	91	(42.9)	46	90	(51.1)	45	89	(50.6)	34	90	(37.8)	1	91	(1.1)

^a positive in any organ; pos., positive; n.a., not available.

controls the overall type I error rate [27]. The latter approach was also used for the calculation of differences in co-infection rates. P-values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant. No modelling was attempted for the infestations of small mammals with ticks due to the irregular intervals of trapping.

Results

Small mammals

Altogether 48 out of 91 (52.7%; 95%CI: 42-63%) small mammals had detectable CNM-DNA in one or more of their organs or body fluids. 24 out of 37 yellow-necked mice (64.9%; 95%CI: 47.5-79.8%) and 23 out of 42 bank voles (54.8%; 95%CI: 38.7-70.2%) as well as 1 out of 3 striped field mice (*A. agrarius*) (33.3%; 95%CI: 0.8-90.6%) were positive. None of the insectivore species was positive. Most animals were positive in the spleen and the kidney (Table 1). A total of 19 out of 40 blood samples (47.5%) were positive. Animals that tested positive in the blood also tested positive in transudate, kidney, spleen and liver, with the exception that 4 of those animals were negative in the liver. The positive striped field mouse (out of three), however, tested negative in both blood and transudate, but was found to contain CNM-DNA in both spleen and kidney. One bank vole was positive exclusively in the blood. However, 6 animals positive in other organs were negative in the blood samples. One bank vole was positive in the skin sample and this animal was also positive in all other samples whereas all other animals were negative in the skin samples. One yellow-necked mouse was positive only in the kidney.

Looking at the trapping months, 0 out of 8 animals (0%; 95%CI: 0-36.9%) caught from March to May, 13 out of 25 (52.0%; 95%CI: 31.3-72.2%) caught in June, 25

out of 33 (75.8%; 95%CI: 57.7-88.9%) in August, 6 out of 14 (42.9%; 95%CI: 17.7-71.1%) in October, and 4 out of 11 (36.4%; 95%CI: 10.9-69.2%) in November were positive.

Ticks on small mammals

Small mammals with tick infestation [any tick species: 31 out of 53 (58.5%; 95%CI: 44.1-71.9%); *I. ricinus* infestation: 30 out of 49 (61.2%; 95%CI: 46.2-74.8%)] were more often infected with CNM than small mammals without ticks (17 out of 38, 44.7%; 95%CI: 28.6-61.7%). Altogether 27 ticks from 12 rodents were positive. Those were 15 out of 234 (6.4%) *I. ricinus* (9 larvae, 6 nymphs), 9 out of 117 (7.7%) *D. reticulatus* (all nymphs), 2 *Ixodes* spp. larvae and 1 larva for which species identification was not possible. The positive *I. ricinus*, 2 *Ixodes* spp. and the unidentified larva were from 11 individual mammals (5 yellow-necked mice, 5 bank voles, 1 striped field mouse) and of those animals, altogether 90.9% (10/11) were positive for CNM and of those 5 in the blood (for 4 no blood was available). The positive *D. reticulatus* were collected from 3 bank voles and all (3/3) of them were positive, also in blood.

Questing ticks

A total of 539 *I. ricinus* from recreational areas in Leipzig, 128 *I. ricinus* from Bavaria and 115 *I. ricinus* from the Saarland were screened for the presence of CNM-DNA.

Altogether, 24.2 – 26.6% *I. ricinus* were positive for DNA of CNM. The range of infection rate is the minimal and maximal infection rate (MinIR, MaxIR), assuming that either only one or all of the nymphs in a pool were positive: 89/297 (30%; 95%CI: 24.8-35.5%) of the females, 75/313 (24%; 95%CI: 19.4-29.1%) of the males and 25-44/172 (19 individuals and 6 pools) of the

Table 2 Infection rates with *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis* in questing *Ixodes ricinus* ticks from recreational areas in Bavaria, the Saarland and in and around the city of Leipzig, Saxony

Region	Female			Male			Nymph			Total		
	Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%)	Pos	Total	(%) ^a	Pos	Total	(%) ^a
Leipzig	67	212	(31.6)	52	219	(23.7)	20-28	108	(18.5-25.9)	139-147	539	(25.8-27.3)
Site												
E	7	45	(15.6)	8	56	(14.3)	3	6	(50)	18	107	(16.8)
F	2	6	(33.3)	1	4	(25.0)	1-5	10	(10-50)	4-9	20	(20.0-45.0)
G	0	6	(0)	0	2	(0)	0	13	(0)	0	21	(0)
H	35	74	(47.3)	18	53	(34.0)	10-14	45	(22.2-31.1)	63-67	172	(36.6-39.0)
I	23	81	(28.4)	25	104	(24.0)	6	34	(17.6)	54	219	(24.7)
Bavaria	5	42	(11.9)	5	58	(8.6)	1	28	(3.6)	11	128	(8.6)
Saarland	17	43	(39.5)	18	36	(50.0)	4-12	36	(11.1-33.3)	39-47	115	(33.9-40.1)
All regions	89	297	(29.7)	75	313	(24.0)	25-44	172	(14.5-25.6)	189-208	782	(24.2-26.6)

^a cannot be exactly provided due to varying numbers (up to 5) of nymphs in the pools; The range of infection rate is the minimal and maximal infection rate (MinIR, MaxIR), assuming that either only one or all of the nymphs in a pool were positive.
 pos = positive.

nymphs (MinIR: 17.4%, 95%CI: 11.6-24.6% and MaxIR: 29.2%, 95%CI: 21.9-37.3%) were positive. Details for the individual sites and regions are shown in Table 2. Comparing regions pairwise with a linear hypothesis, significantly fewer ticks were positive in Bavaria than in Leipzig ($p < 0.001$), but in the Saarland, significantly more ticks were infected than in Leipzig ($p < 0.001$). Logistic regression models were used to assess the effects of sex/developmental stage and site and sex/developmental stage and region, respectively. A pairwise comparison between the major collection sites in Leipzig (E, H, I) revealed statistically significant differences between sites E and H ($p < 0.001$) and H and I ($p = 0.01$). There were no statistically significant differences between male and female ticks, but between females and nymphs ($p < 0.001$) and males and nymphs ($p = 0.01$).

Co-infections

The animals, host-attached and questing ticks have been investigated for *A. phagocytophilum*, *Babesia* spp. and *Rickettsia* spp. (questing ticks only) in previous studies [24,25]. The following double infections with CNM were observed (for nymphs, only those investigated individually were considered for co-infections, resulting in a total of 101 nymphs): (i) with *B. microti* in 11 ticks (7 males, 4 females; 1 in the Saarland; 10 in Leipzig), (ii) with *B. divergens* in 2 ticks in Bavaria (1 male, 1 female), (iii) with *Babesia* sp. EU1 in 1 male tick in Leipzig, (iv) with *A. phagocytophilum* in 22 ticks (10 males, 10 females, 2 nymphs; 4 in Bavaria; 5 in the Saarland; 13 in Leipzig), (v) with *R. helvetica* in 16 ticks (10 males, 6 females; 7 in the Saarland; 9 in Leipzig), (vi) with *Rickettsia* spp. in one further female *I. ricinus* in the Saarland. Six ticks (5 males, 1 female) had a triple infection with CNM, *A. phagocytophilum* and *R. helvetica* (4 in the Saarland and 2 from Leipzig). The statistical analysis of co-infection taking into account both stage and sex of the tick as well as collection site, was performed for double infections with CNM (all co-infections in general, and CNM with *Rickettsia* spp., *Babesia* spp. and *A. phagocytophilum*, respectively). For the purpose of this analysis, triple infected ticks were counted as double infected with each of the pathogens. No statistically significant effects of stage and sex regarding co-infections of any type could be found.

Discussion

In this study, we investigated small mammals, their ticks and questing *I. ricinus* for the occurrence of DNA of CNM in areas with sympatric *I. ricinus* and *D. reticulatus* populations. Rodents have been found to harbour CNM and this group of animals has thus been suggested as reservoir hosts [12-14,28-31]. The high infection rates of yellow-necked mice and bank voles in the present

study corroborate this hypothesis. DNA of CNM was also detected in a striped field mouse, but the number of animals ($n=3$) was too low to draw a conclusion from this finding. We found the highest percentage of infected animals in August, when the developmental stages of both *I. ricinus* and *D. reticulatus* are most active [4,32]. Rodents were still infected in October and November, but DNA was not detected in the animals in spring. Hence, it may be that the rodents cannot carry the infection over the winter. All the same, the number of animals caught in spring was low, and to prove this hypothesis, more systematic studies with a larger number of animals are needed.

We compared different organs as material for detection of DNA of CNM in epidemiological studies. During experimental infection of rats, infection was not observed after 15 days in blood, spleen and liver, weak infection in the spleen after 30 days, and infection in all three sample types after 60 days. Histologically, the agent was recognized in the spleen sinus endothelial cells forming intracellular inclusions in the cytoplasm. Consequently, blood does not seem to be an ideal target material, because infection may be detectable only after more than 30 days, assuming the course of infection in mice is similar to the one observed in rats. Experimentally infected mice did not have detectable CNM-DNA in their spleen after 10 days [12]. Our finding that blood samples were negative when other organs were positive may therefore reflect different time points of infection [12], but further experimental infections of mice are lacking thus far. According to our own results and a comparison with experimental infection in the literature, we conclude that spleen and kidney are the best organ material for detection of DNA of CNM in epidemiological studies involving rodents. Skin seems unsuitable for the detection of DNA of CNM. At any rate, all results taken together strongly argue for a systemic course of infection [12,13], which is supported by observations in human patients. Fehr *et al.* [18] and von Loewenich *et al.* [20] detected DNA of CNM in peripheral blood samples of human patients. Peková *et al.* [19] were able to show the agent in patients' granulocytes in transmission electron microscopy. In spite of this, the agent has as yet not been observed in blood smears.

In comparison to previous studies from other areas in Europe, the prevalence rates in the small rodents in the present study were high and similar to the initial investigations in Japan where 7 out of 15 wild caught Norway rats were infected [12]. In Sweden, between 4 and 10% of rodents (bank voles, field voles (*Microtus agrestis*), wood mice (*A. sylvaticus*) and yellow-necked mice), but no shrews were infected. In different sites in Sweden the prevalence ranged from 0% to 12.5% [29]. The fact that blood was used in that study may account for the lower

prevalence compared to our study. In the Netherlands, spleens were investigated and prevalence was higher: 21.7% of wood mice, 25% of common voles, and 11.4% of bank voles, but none of the two yellow-necked mice were infected. Shrews were not infected either [13]. Our findings strongly support the hypothesis that rodents may be competent reservoir hosts and that they may play an important role in the endemic cycle of CNM [29]. In line with the findings in Sweden and the Netherlands, we did not detect CNM in any insectivore species [13,29]. With a prevalence about twice as high as in questing ticks a reservoir function of the rodents seems highly likely at least for the season of the year when ticks are active.

Altogether 6.5% of host-attached *I. ricinus* ticks (larvae and nymphs) were positive, which is lower in comparison to questing ticks. When interpreting this result, it has to be taken into account that the questing ticks and the rodents and their ticks were from different years (2009: questing ticks; 2010/11: rodents and their ticks). Tick-host-pathogen cycles are influenced by a lot of factors, many of which are still largely unknown and may account for differences in prevalence rates at different time points. Variations have, for example, been shown in the prevalence over several years with *A. phagocytophilum* in ticks [33].

Previously investigated questing *D. reticulatus* collected from vegetation had no detectable DNA of CNM in them [13,34]. All positive developmental stages of *D. reticulatus* from the present study were from bank voles that were positive for CNM in the blood; therefore it may be assumed that the detection of CNM-DNA represents the blood meal. The same can be said for the *I. ricinus* larvae from the small mammals, as they were all engorged. It is not known yet whether transovarial transmission occurs, but 55 larval pools from questing larvae were negative [13]. Unfortunately, we did not have questing larvae available for the present study. It seems likely that CNM cannot be transmitted transovarially and experimental evidence for transstadial transmission is also lacking, thus, an experimental setting to uncover the epidemiological transmission cycle is needed.

Average prevalences of CNM in questing *I. ricinus* or *I. persulcatus* ticks in several Eurasian countries range from 0% to 16.7% [9,13,14,22,23,35-42]. Thereby, the prevalence rates in *I. ricinus* seem to average around 6%, whereas the prevalence in *I. persulcatus* seems to be lower, reaching up to 3.8%, but staying in general around or below 1% [23,30,43]. Most previous studies used conventional PCR; sometimes combined with sequencing and/or hybridization with oligonucleotide probes for species identification. The increased sensitivity of real-time PCR used in the present and another study may also account for the increased detection rate of DNA of

CNM in these studies [13,23]. In our investigated area, the rodents and ticks seem to provide a very efficient system for CNM to thrive on and to develop such high prevalence. Whether this persists over time needs further systematic and longitudinal investigations in both ticks and host species.

Co-infections of CNM in ticks in our study with *R. helvetica*, *A. phagocytophilum* and *B. microti* may be explained by using the same (suggested) reservoir host animals [3,44,45]. No difference was found in prevalence between males and females in infection rates, which is in line with our findings [13]. Data from northern Italy found females significantly more often co-infected with more than one pathogen [36], whereas in our study, there was a tendency in the opposite direction comparing female ticks with male ticks.

Conclusion

The high prevalence of CNM in this study in metropolitan and recreational areas points towards the necessity for a larger scale surveillance of risk populations and/or humans after being bitten by ticks, in order to get a picture of the full scale public health impact. Especially among immunocompromised patients with fever of unknown origin this may be largely underdiagnosed. First and foremost, isolation of the pathogen should now be attempted in order to develop diagnostic tools such as specific serological tests and to study the transmission cycle and pathogenic properties of the agent in experimental and controlled settings.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

CS and MP conceived the idea and design of the study. CS, DW and MP carried out field and laboratory work. MM performed the statistical analysis. CS analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. DW, MP and KP critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Claudia Thiel and Tim Tiedemann for excellent technical assistance. The help of Carolin Karnath and Claudia Freigang during rodent trapping is gratefully acknowledged. Publication of this article was sponsored by Bayer Animal Health.

Author details

¹Comparative Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Leopoldstr. 5, D-80802 Munich, Germany. ²Institute of Animal Hygiene and Veterinary Public Health, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany. ³Statistical Consulting Unit, Department of Statistics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Munich, Germany.

Received: 15 October 2012 Accepted: 2 December 2012

Published: 7 December 2012

References

1. Goeijenbier M, Wagenaar J, Goris M, Martina B, Henttonen H, Vaheri A, Reusken C, Hartskeerl R, Osterhaus A, Van Gorp E: **Rodent-borne hemorrhagic fevers: under-recognized, widely spread and preventable -**

- epidemiology, diagnostics and treatment. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2012, epub June 7th, 2012.
- Bolzoni L, Rosà R, Cagnacci F, Rizzoli A: **Effect of deer density on tick infestation of rodents and the hazard of tick-borne encephalitis. II: population and infection models.** *Int J Parasitol* 2012, **42**:373–381.
 - Karbowiak G: **Zoonotic reservoir of *Babesia microti* in Poland.** *Pol J Microbiol* 2004, **53**(Suppl):61–65.
 - Hillyard PD: *Ticks of North-West Europe*. Dorchester: The Dorset Press; 1996.
 - Oliver JH, Lin T, Gao L, Clark KL, Banks CW, Durden LA, James AM, Chandler FW: **An enzootic transmission cycle of Lyme borreliosis spirochetes in the southeastern United States.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2003, **100**:11642–11645.
 - Bown KJ, Begon M, Bennett M, Birtles RJ, Burthe S, Lambin X, Telfer S, Woldehiwet Z, Ogdén NH: **Sympatric *Ixodes trianguliceps* and *Ixodes ricinus* ticks feeding on field voles (*Microtus agrestis*): potential for increased risk of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in the United Kingdom?** *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2006, **6**:404–410.
 - Bown KJ, Lambin X, Telford GR, Ogdén NH, Telfer S, Woldehiwet Z, Birtles RJ: **Relative importance of *Ixodes ricinus* and *Ixodes trianguliceps* as vectors for *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* and *Babesia microti* in field vole (*Microtus agrestis*) populations.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008, **74**:7118–7125.
 - Randolph SE: **Quantifying parameters in the transmission of *Babesia microti* by the tick *Ixodes trianguliceps* amongst voles (*Clethrionomys glareolus*).** *Parasitology* 1995, **110**(Pt 3):287–295.
 - Schouls LM, Van De Pol I, Rijpkema SG, Schot CS: **Detection and identification of *Ehrlichia*, *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato, and *Bartonella* species in Dutch *Ixodes ricinus* ticks.** *J Clin Microbiol* 1999, **37**:2215–2222.
 - Pan HUA, Liu S, Ma Y, Tong S, Sun Y: ***Ehrlichia*-like organism gene found in small mammals in the Suburban district of Guangzhou of China.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2003, **990**:107–111.
 - Sanogo YO, Parola P, Shpynov S, Camicas JL, Brouqui P, Caruso G, Raoult D: **Genetic diversity of bacterial agents detected in ticks removed from asymptomatic patients in northeastern Italy.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2003, **990**:182–190.
 - Kawahara M, Rikihisa Y, Isogai E, Takahashi M, Misumi H, Suto C, Shibata S, Zhang C, Tsuji M: **Ultrastructure and phylogenetic analysis of 'Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis' in the family Anaplasmataceae, isolated from wild rats and found in *Ixodes ovatus* ticks.** *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2004, **54**:1837–1843.
 - Jahfari S, Fonville M, Hengeveld P, Reusken C, Scholte EJ, Takken W, Heyman P, Medlock J, Heylen D, Kleve J, Sprong H: **Prevalence of *Neoehrlichia mikurensis* in ticks and rodents from North-west Europe.** *Parasit Vectors* 2012, **5**:74.
 - Rar V, Golovljová I: ***Anaplasma*, *Ehrlichia*, and "Candidatus Neoehrlichia" bacteria: pathogenicity, biodiversity, and molecular genetic characteristics, a review.** *Infect Genet Evol* 2011, **11**:1842–1861.
 - Yabsley MJ, Murphy SM, Luttrell MP, Wilcox BR, Ruckdeschel C: **Raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), but not rodents, are natural and experimental hosts for an ehrlichial organism related to "Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis".** *Vet Microbiol* 2008, **131**:301–308.
 - Munderloh UG, Yabsley MJ, Murphy SM, Luttrell MP, Howerth EW: **Isolation and establishment of the raccoon *Ehrlichia*-like agent in tick cell culture.** *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2007, **7**:418–425.
 - Diniz PP, Schulz BS, Hartmann K, Breitschwerdt EB: **"Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis" infection in a dog from Germany.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2011, **49**:2059–2062.
 - Fehr JS, Bloemberg GV, Ritter C, Hombach M, Luscher TF, Weber R, Keller PM: **Septicemia caused by tick-borne bacterial pathogen *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis*.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010, **16**:1127–1129.
 - Peková S, Vydra J, Kabiciková H, Franková S, Haugvicova R, Mazal O, Cmejla R, Hardekopf DW, Jancuskova T, Kozak T: ***Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis* infection identified in 2 hematocologic patients: benefit of molecular techniques for rare pathogen detection.** *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011, **69**:266–270.
 - Von Loewenich FD, Geissdorfer W, Disque C, Matten J, Schett G, Sakka SG, Bogdan C: **Detection of "Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis" in two patients with severe febrile illnesses: evidence for a European sequence variant.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2010, **48**:2630–2635.
 - Welinder-Olsson C, Kjellin E, Vaht K, Jacobsson S, Wenneras C: **First case of human "Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis" infection in a febrile patient with chronic lymphocytic leukemia.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2010, **48**:1956–1959.
 - Fertner ME, Molbak L, Boye Pihl TP, Fomsgaard A, Bodker R: **First detection of tick-borne "Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis" in Denmark 2011.** *Euro Surveill* 2012, **17**(8):pii=20096.
 - Richter D, Matuschka FR: **"Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis," *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, and Lyme disease spirochetes in questing European vector ticks and in feeding ticks removed from people.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2012, **50**:943–947.
 - Silaghi C, Hamel D, Thiel C, Pfister K, Pfeffer M: **Spotted fever group rickettsiae in ticks, Germany.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011, **17**:890–892.
 - Silaghi C, Woll D, Hamel D, Pfister K, Mahling M, Pfeffer M: ***Babesia* spp. and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in questing ticks, ticks parasitizing rodents and the parasitized rodents - Analyzing the host-pathogen-vector interface in a metropolitan area.** *Parasit Vectors* 2012, **5**:191.
 - Team RDC: *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2011.
 - Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P: **Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.** *Biom J* 2008, **50**:346–363.
 - Beninati T, Piccolo G, Rizzoli A, Genchi C, Bandi C: **Anaplasmataceae in wild rodents and roe deer from Trento Province (northern Italy).** *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2006, **25**:677–678.
 - Andersson M, Raberg L: **Wild rodents and novel human pathogen *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis*, Southern Sweden.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011, **17**:1716–1718.
 - Rar VA, Livanová NN, Panov VV, Doroschenko EK, Pukhovskaya NM, Vysochina NP, Ivanov LI: **Genetic diversity of *Anaplasma* and *Ehrlichia* in the Asian part of Russia.** *Ticks Tick Borne Dis* 2010, **1**:57–65.
 - Pan L, Zhang L, Wang G, Liu Q, Yu Y, Wang S, Yu H, He J: **Rapid, simple, and sensitive detection of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* by loop-mediated isothermal amplification of the *msp2* gene.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2011, **49**:4117–4120.
 - Arthur DR: *Ticks - A monograph of the Ixodoidea*. London, UK: Cambridge University Press; 1960.
 - Grzeszczuk A, Stanczak J: **Highly variable year-to-year prevalence of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in northeastern Poland: a 4-year follow-up.** *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006, **1078**:309–311.
 - Richter D, Kohn C, Matuschka FR: **Absence of *Borrelia* spp., *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis*, and *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* in questing adult *Dermacentor reticulatus* ticks.** *Parasitol Res* 2012, epub 8 Sep.
 - van Overbeek L, Gassner F, van der Plas CL, Kastelein P, Nunes-da Rocha U, Takken W: **Diversity of *Ixodes ricinus* tick-associated bacterial communities from different forests.** *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2008, **66**:72–84.
 - Capelli G, Ravagnan S, Montarsi F, Ciocchetta S, Cazzin S, Porcellato E, Babiker AM, Cassini R, Salviato A, Cattoli G, Otranto D: **Occurrence and identification of risk areas of *Ixodes ricinus*-borne pathogens: a cost-effectiveness analysis in north-eastern Italy.** *Parasit Vectors* 2012, **5**:61.
 - Lommano E, Bertaiola L, Dupasquier C, Germ L: **Infections and co-infections of questing *Ixodes ricinus* ticks by emerging zoonotic pathogens in Western Switzerland.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012, epub 24 Apr.
 - Alekseev AN, Dubinina HV, Van De Pol I, Schouls LM: **Identification of *Ehrlichia* spp. and *Borrelia burgdorferi* in *Ixodes* ticks in the Baltic regions of Russia.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2001, **39**:2237–2242.
 - Von Loewenich FD, Baumgarten BU, Schröppel K, Geissdorfer W, Röllinghoff M, Bogdan C: **High diversity of *ankA* sequences of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum* among *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in Germany.** *J Clin Microbiol* 2003, **41**:5033–5040.
 - Wielinga PR, Gaasenbeek C, Fonville M, de Boer A, de Vries A, Dimmers W, Akkerhuis Op Jagers G, Schouls LM, Borgsteede F, van der Giessen JW: **Longitudinal analysis of tick densities and *Borrelia*, *Anaplasma*, and *Ehrlichia* infections of *Ixodes ricinus* ticks in different habitat areas in The Netherlands.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006, **72**:7594–7601.
 - Špitalská E, Boldis V, Kostanová Z, Kocianová E, Stefanidesová K: **Incidence of various tick-borne microorganisms in rodents and ticks of central Slovakia.** *Acta Virol* 2008, **52**:175–179.
 - Rar VA, Fomenko NV, Dobrotvorskyy AK, Livanova NN, Rudakova SA, Fedorov EG, Astanin VB, Morozova OV: **Tickborne pathogen detection, Western Siberia, Russia.** *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005, **11**:1708–1715.
 - Shpynov S, Fournier P-E, Rudakov N, Tarasevich I, Raoult D: **Detection of members of the genera rickettsia, anaplasma, and ehrlichia in ticks**

collected in the asiatic part of Russia. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2006, **1078**:378–383.

44. Schex S, Dobler G, Riehm J, Müller J, Essbauer S: *Rickettsia* spp. in wild small mammals in Lower Bavaria, South-Eastern Germany. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis* 2011, **11**:493–502.
45. Woldehiwet Z: The natural history of *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*. *Vet Parasitol* 2010, **167**:108–122.

doi:10.1186/1756-3305-5-285

Cite this article as: Silaghi *et al.*: *Candidatus* Neoehrlichia mikurensis in rodents in an area with sympatric existence of the hard ticks *Ixodes ricinus* and *Dermacentor reticulatus*, Germany. *Parasites & Vectors* 2012 **5**:285.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

